

A HISTORY
OF THE
THIRTY YEARS' PEACE.

A.D. 1816-1846.

BY HARRIET MARTINEAU.

IN FOUR VOLUMES.

VOL. II. (FROM 1824-1833).

LONDON: GEORGE BELL AND SONS, YORK STREET,
COVENT GARDEN.

1877.

LONDON :

PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWEN AND SONS, &
STAMFORD STREET AND CHANCERY CROSS.

CONTENTS.



BOOK II. (*Continued*).



CHAPTER VIII.

	PAGE
Speculation—Joint-Stock Companies—Collapse—Panic—Crash— Issue of Small Notes and Coin—King's Speech—Arrangement with Bank of England—Suppression of Small Notes—Scotch Banks—Branch and Joint-Stock Banks—Advances on Goods— Position of Ministers—Suffering of the Period	1

9

CHAPTER IX.

Riots—Release of Bonded Corn—Opening the Ports—Emigration —Colonial Office—Emigration Committee	23
--	----

CHAPTER X.

Catholic Question reviewed—State of Opinion in 1824—Catholic Association—Catholic Deputation—Mr. O'Connell—Progress of the Question—Sir F. Burdett's Relief Bill—Duke of York's Declaration—Bill lost—Catholics and Dissenters—Aspect of the Question	34
---	----

CHAPTER XI.

Chancery Reform—Government moves for Inquiry—Report of Commissioners—Lord Eldon—Bill proposed—Jurors in India —Finance—Close of Session and Dissolution—The Elections ..	67
--	----

CHAPTER XII.

Crimes and Punishments—Education—Emigration—Arts and Discovery—Remarkable Seasons—Art and Literature—Necro- logy—Politicians—Men of Science—Travellers—Artists— Authors—Poets : Byron, Keats, Shelley—Close of the Period ..	82
---	----

BOOK IV.

FROM THE ACCESSION OF WILLIAM IV. TO THE
BURNING OF THE HOUSES OF PARLIAMENT.

CHAPTER I.

	PAGE
William IV.—King's Message—Regency Question—Manners of the Commons—Prorogation—Dissolution—Sympathy with France—Mr. Brougham—Yorkshire Election—New House—Death of Mr. Huskisson—O'Connell and the Viceroy—Repeal of the Union—Hick-burning—Anxieties of Parties—Opening of the Session—The Duke's Declaration—Alderman Key's Panicle—Change of Ministry	375

CHAPTER II.

The Grey Ministry—Regency Bill—Official Salaries—State of Ireland—The Cholera	407
---	-----

CHAPTER III.

Popular Discontents—Prospect of Conflict—Ministerial Declaration—Reform Bill brought forward—Reception of the Bill—Debate—First Reading—Second Reading—Defeat of Ministers—True Crisis—The Palace—The Lords—The Commons—Prorogation—Dissolution	413
---	-----

CHAPTER IV.

General Election—Popular Action—Riots—New House—Second Reform Bill—Committee—Bill passes the Commons—First Reading in the Lords—Debate—Lord Grey—The Bishop—The Bill lost—Prorogation—Vote of Confidence—Robert Peel and Nottingham—At Bristol—Prevalence of Order	435
--	-----

CHAPTER V.

National Political Union—Metropolitan Union—Question of a Creation of Peers—The Watercress—Gravity of the Times—Proclamation against Political Unions—The Cholera—The Unknown Tongues—Opening of the Session—Third Reform Bill—Final Passage through the Commons—First Reading in the Lords—Debate and Division—Prose and Verse—The Meeting at and Peitance—Newhall Hill Meeting—Defeat of Ministers—	
---	--

	PAGE
• Resignation of Ministers—Address of the Commons—Attempt to form a Cabinet—Failure—Agitation throughout the Country—The Unions—London Municipality—Soldiers and Police—Lord Grey recalled—King's Appeal to the Peers—Progress of the Bill—Its Passage into Law—Position of the House of Lords—Substance of the Reform Bill—What the Bill is and is not—State of Public Interests—The King—The Administration—Aspects of the Time	153

CHAPTER VI.

The Cholera—The Poor-Law—Swan River Settlement—Slavery—Canada—India—Irish Church—Tithes—Law Reform—Education—Bank—Municipal Reform—Strength of the Government—Weakness of the Government	481
--	-----

CHAPTER VII.

Civil List—Pensions—Regal Income—Pauperism—Confusion of Poverty with Pauperism—New Poor-Law—Its Principles—Its Machinery—Reception of the Measure—Its Passage and Operation—Factory Children	496
--	-----

HISTORY OF THE PEACE.

BOOK II.—(*Continued.*)

CHAPTER VIII.

Speculation—Joint-Stock Companies—Collapse—Panic—Crash—Issue of Small Notes and Coin—King's Speech—Arrangement with Bank of England—Suppression of Small Notes—Scotch Banks—Branch and Joint-Stock Banks—Advances on Goods—Position of Ministers—Suffering of the Period.

WE now enter upon a chapter of modern English history which the moralist regards, and will for a century to come regard, with wonder and shame. It shows how childish the mind of a nation can be; as crises of another kind show how brave and noble it can be, according to the appeal made to its lower or its higher faculties. The same people who had been calm and courageous when their national existence appeared to be in peril, magnanimous and disinterested when the partition of European territory was going on abroad after the peace, staunch and loyal in the cause of a persecuted queen, and well principled in liberty when a new course of foreign policy was entered upon, were now to prove themselves very children under the temptation of sudden prosperity, amidst extraordinary facilities for gambling. It was not altogether rapacity which instigated the follies of 1824 and 1825. Too many were eager for gain, making haste to be rich; and of these the sharpers of society made an easy prey; but with many more, the charm was in the excitement—in the pleasure of sympathy in large enterprises—in the rousing of the faculties of imagination and conception, when their field

of commerce extended over the Pampas and the Andes and beyond the furthest seas and among the ice-rocks of the poles. When the grey haired merchant grew eloquent by his fireside about the clefts of the Cordillera where the precious metals glitter to the miners' torch it was not the expected guns alone that fired his eye and quickened his utterance but that gratification of his conceptive faculty to which his ordinary life had ministered but too little. When the professional man perilled his savings to cut through the Isthmus of Panama he gloried in helping on a mighty work and described, like a poet the pouring of the one vast ocean into the other and the procession of the merchant-ships of the world riding through on the new-made current. And so with the aged ladies and retired servants who gave from theirittance of property and income whatever they could squeeze out, who held shares in steam-movens steam laundries, or milk and egg companies. They had their visions of domestic comfort and luxury, and looked joyfully for the time when the good things of the table and the wardrobe should be at hand with little expense of toil. Now was the time for the who make their market of the unwary to come for him and be they. Neely speculators and cunning attorneys and gamblers of every class, used their opportunity, first for exciting the gambling spirit everywhere within their reach and then for introducing themselves into a society where at other times they could have obtained no admittance. Now that their opportunity was short, and they diligently. Scams of speculation and reaction observed in the history of every nation, and it is expected to recur till nations have grown much

years have produced. We see the fields better cultivated, the barns and stackyards more fully stored, the horses, cows, and sheep, more abundant and in better condition, and all the implements of husbandry improved in their order, their construction, and their value. In the cities, towns, and villages, we find shops more numerous and better in their appearance, and the several goods more separated from each other; a division that is the infallible token of increased sales. We see the accumulation of wares of every kind adapted to the purses, the wants, and even the whims of every description of customers. This vast increase of goods, thus universally dispersed, is an indication and exhibition of flourishing circumstances. It may be traced into all the manufactories, and observed in the masses of raw materials in each, in commodities of every kind in their several stages of preparation, and in all the subdivisions of those stages, by which not only the increase of wealth is manifested, but the modes by which it is acquired are practically illustrated. If we could ascend a little higher, and examine the accounts of the bankers in the metropolis, and in the provincial towns, small as well as large, we should find that the balances of money resting with them, ready to embrace favourable changes in the price of any commodity, or to be placed at interest as beneficial securities present themselves, are increased to an enormous amount. This, indeed, may be fairly inferred from the low rate of interest in the floating public securities, from the prices of the funds, from the avidity with which every project for the employment of capital is grasped at, and from the general complaint, almost the only complaint heard, that there is now no way of making interest of money. The projects for constructing tunnels, railroads, canals, or bridges, and the eagerness with which they are embraced, are all proofs of that accumulation from savings which the intermediate ranks of society have, by patience and perseverance, been enabled to form. The natural effect of this advancement in possessions has been an advance in the enjoyments which those possessions can administer; and we need not be surprised at the general diffusion of those gratifications which were formerly called luxuries, but which, from their familiarity, we now

complained, after the crisis, of the system which allows any petty tradesman, any cobbler, or cheesemonger, to usurp the royal prerogative, and to issue money without check or control. There was a perfect mania of competition in making paper issues. Many of the country bankers who afterwards failed discounted the paper that was brought to them by the wildest and wickedest speculators, and paid a large commission to persons who undertook to promote the circulation of their notes. This inordinate supply of money followed upon a deficiency of currency in 1821 and 1822, in which latter year an act was passed permitting the circulation of small notes beyond the date originally fixed. This extension of time tempted the bankers to increase their issues instead of providing for the withdrawal of some of their paper. In 1820 there was from thirty to forty per cent more paper out than in 1822. Just at that time the Bank of England, followed by other banks, lowered the rate of interest. Thus there was money in abundance, which its owners did not know what to do with. The rate of interest was low. Prices had been so low for two years that they were sure to rise suddenly and vastly while so much money was abroad, and the opportunity for speculating was one which few men of enterprise engaged in trade were able to

proached for injustice to their families, in declining to help themselves from the stores of wealth which were poured out all around. These were justified in the end; but they suffered, more or less, with the rest; for this is a case in which the suffering can never be confined to those who err. The scheming attorneys, the needy speculators, the excitable professional men and ladies, and the ignorant small capitalists whom they led astray, were the sinners; but many an honourable and sagacious merchant, who saw whither things were tending, and did his utmost to preserve himself and his neighbours, was half ruined, or wholly ruined, by the consequences of other people's folly. He, like others, suffered by the stoppage of the banks, the sudden contraction of the currency, and the prodigious depreciation of every kind of stock.

While the rate of interest was lowest, the possessors of capital were easily tempted to invest their money in some scheme which should yield them an abundant return. While the rate of interest was lowest, men were tempted to borrow larger sums than they would otherwise have ventured on, wherewith to carry on their speculations. And, again, this was the time, when bankers were willing to discount bills at very long dates, for speculators to buy up goods, hold them back for the high prices expected to ensue, and thus enhance the prices yet further by creating an artificial scarcity. At the very time when even reasonable people were discontented with the low interest they obtained for their money, while threatened with high prices to come, they saw their neighbours making fortunes almost in a day, by skilful buying and selling among the projects afloat. A young lady, whose brother had encouraged her to take a share of £100 in some joint-stock project, might pay her first instalment of £5 with some trembling, and wonder when the next call would come. But if her brother brought her £140 in a few days, with the news that he had sold out for her while the premium was thus high, would she sit down content with having for once gained £35 by her £5? Would she not be as eager to invest again as the managers could be that she should? Thus it was with many thousands of ladies, and gentlemen as inexperienced as they. Some selfish wretches

knew well enough what must happen, and only wanted to get rich before the crash—to use the madness while it might serve their turn. The greater number were seduced into the gambling game—but all, guilty, thoughtless, and innocent together, suffered more or less under the inevitable retribution.

As for what the speculation was like, it can hardly be recorded, even at this day, on the open page of history, without a blush. Besides the joint stock companies which undertook baking washing baths life-insurance, brewing, coal portage, wool growing, and the like, there was such a rage for steam navigation canals and railroads that in the session of 1824-38 petitions for private bills were presented and 286 private acts were passed. Part of the retribution of the national folly lay in the decline of the character of the House of Commons too many of whom mistook action in regard to the public with a recklessness which subjected them to a suspicion that they like others, had forgotten themselves and had sacrificed their legislative conscience to the interests of themselves and their friends. The acknowledgment of the independence of some of the South American states at this time turned the stream of speculation in that direction. Companies were formed to obtain gold and silver from mountain tops and cliffs where there were no workmen or tools to do the work nor fuel for the fires and no roads or carriages to bring away the produce. Men were to be pearls from the oars of Columbus and precious articles were to come from the other hemisphere that were to be sold at a price to create a change in the affairs and the mind of

£70 had been paid, yielded £2000 per cent., having risen speedily to a premium of £1400 per share.

People who declined the grosser kind of gambling—by Stock Exchange speculations—attached themselves to the idea of growing rich by trading with the new markets opened on the other side of the Atlantic. At Rio Janeiro more Manchester goods arrived in a few weeks than had been before required for twenty years; and merchandise—much of it perishable—was left exposed on the beach, among thieves and under variable weather, till the overcrowded warehouses could afford room for its stowage. It is positively declared, that warming-pans from Birmingham were among the articles exposed under the burning sun of that sky; and that skates from Sheffield were offered for sale to a people who had never heard of ice. China and cut-glass were, in some places, pressed upon the natives, as preferable to the cocoa-nut shells and cow-horns, which had hitherto been their dishes and drinking-vessels. A work of the time, written by a lively observer of things on the spot, gives an idea which may be exaggerated, but which must have some truth in it, of how these South American projects were set on foot, and carried out:

‘We had all sorts of English speculations in South America, some of which were really amusing. Besides many brother companies which I met with at Buenos Ayres, I found a sister association of milkmaids. It had suddenly occurred to some of the younger sons of John Bull, that, as there were a number of beautiful cows in the United Provinces of Rio de la Plata, a quantity of good pasture, and as the people of Buenos Ayres had no butter to their bread, a churning company would answer admirably; and before the idea was many months old, a cargo of Scotch milkmaids were lying becalmed under the line on their passage to make butter at Buenos Ayres.’ When arrived, ‘the difficulties they had to contend with were very great. Instead of leaning their heads against patient domestic animals, they were introduced to a set of lawless, wild creatures, who looked so fierce that no young woman who ever sat upon a three-legged stool could dare to approach, much less to milk them. But the guachos attacked the coys, tied their legs with strips of hide, and as soon as

their defence, the urgent necessity of the case. After a little complaint and remonstrance, the government heard no more of the matter—the state of the commercial world being such as to make the most vigilant politicians less scrupulous than usual about ‘statutory guarantees’ being strictly observed. It was a question of an act like that of blowing up a private house, without leave asked, to stop a conflagration. Anything was better than running the risk of a deluge of small-note paper in the year to come, like that of the year that was gone. Lord Liverpool and the chancellor of the exchequer explained that in 1825 the amount of small country note paper had not been less than six millions. Since the crash, it had been reduced to four millions; the vacancy having been supplied by coin; and now there was no reason to expect that there would be any difficulty in replacing the other four millions by coin; a measure most desirable for the benefit of the poorer classes, who, as the principal holders of small notes, were always the first to suffer, while the least able to bear the suffering, from such a crisis as had just taken place. In some essential points of the discussion, almost all the members of both Houses agreed—that the present question was in fact of a metallic currency at all, as it was invariably found that, under an unrestricted small note currency, gold and silver were driven out of circulation by an equal amount of paper—Lancashire, where no small notes existed, being the only part of the country which had hitherto had a metallic circulation at all, and the coin which had been issued with great expense and trouble, being sent back to London by return of the mail which had carried it down; that the present was the time for the restriction to be made—the work being, as Mr. Brougham observed, already half done by the panic and crash, and that the present was the moment, for another reason—the severe test which had been just applied to the stability of the country banks which had stood the shock, and which could therefore easily stand the gradual withdrawal of the currency notes. The opposition, led by Mr. Baring, numbered only 33 voices against 222, and when the opinion of the majority was thus decisively declared, the minority stated no further objections.

Some needless difficulty arose, from the imprudent conduct of certain of the country-bankers, who withdrew their small notes from circulation too hastily, allowing no time for the new metallic currency to supply their place. In some districts this created great difficulty about carrying on the smaller transactions of commerce. To meet it, an enactment was proposed, and passed by a large majority, by which the Bank of England was enabled to continue stamping small notes during the interval till the 10th of October. This liberty did not affect the term fixed for the circulation of small notes; and the enlarged power of preparation of notes for that term was sure not to be abused; for the Bank of England found its small-note circulation a pure inconvenience. The purpose of the enactment was merely to enable the bank to furnish a small currency in particular districts, where it might be urgently wanted during the period of change, when the country-bankers were drawing in their £1 and £2 notes.

One of the strangest arguments brought against the new measures was by Lord Carnarvon in the Upper House. He gravely urged, that with a return to a metallic currency, highwaymen would again come out upon the roads. At a time within his recollection, before the common use of small notes, 'a friend of his had been robbed on the highway; another had been wounded by a shot fired at him by a footpad; and a third had narrowly escaped with his life, by seizing the muzzle of the pistol which the robber had thrust into his carriage, and wresting it out of his hand.' This objection was easily met by proofs of the extent of thefts, even on the high-road, of bank-notes; and of the great amount of the easy crime of forgery. Lord Carnarvon probably derived his plea from the celebrated *Letters of Malachi Malagrowther*, as Sir Walter Scott chose to style himself. In these letters, which pleaded against the abolition of the small-note currency of Scotland, the author drew pictures of the probable robberies of bankers' chests in the Highland glens.

The prohibition of the small-note currency was not made to extend to Scotland. The banking system of Scotland had all along been essentially different from that

The shipowners, and all the obnoxious crew, except the master and the mate, were thrown into the sea, whence they were picked up in no condition for further fight. A party of dragoons was brought up, the Riot Act was read, but on the opposite side of the river from that where the proceedings of the rioters had collected a mob of men, and children. Some stones were thrown from the
heard the reading of the
five persons were killed,

one of whom was a carpenter, at work on his stage, and another a labourer, returning from the field. The funeral of the victims was solemn with banniers and flags, and a band of singers and for mourners, twelve hundred seamen, with each a crape round the left arm, walking hand in hand, two and two. The circumstances had however, been too fatal for the courage of the men, and they yielded the points for which they had struck.

A more successful stand against authority and law was made in the Isle of Man the next November, when the island was kept in a state of uproar for a week, by the resistance of the poor to the collection of the tithe of potatoes by the proctors of the bishop. The people overturned the laden carts, stood guard over the potatoes, pursued the bishop's proctors rescued such of their own body as were apprehended, defied the constables, evaded the magistrates and military, and obtained from the bishop, at the end of a week, the following written declaration, which was delivered by his lordship himself into the hands of a deputation from the malcontents. 'Whereas it has been reported by evil minded persons, that a tithe of potatoes will be taken from the poor tenants of this island and from persons little able to pay the same—they are hereby assured that such tithe will not be demanded from them either this year or at any future time.' These poor people needed only the assurance that their potatoes should not be taken from their children to be given to the church, and the bishop saw that it would be little for the advantage of religion to give the food of the poor to the church. So there was grace on the one side, and cheering on the other, and the affair was over for the time.

By the spring of the next year, 1826, there was such

• fearful suffering among the poor of the manufacturing districts, that no one could wonder much at the spirit of violence which broke out in Lancashire. The people rose up against the power-looms, which they believed to be the cause of their distress; and in one day, every power-loom in Blackburn, and within six miles of it, was destroyed. It is worthy of note, that the rioters took the utmost care not to injure any spinning-machinery. Time was when the hand-spinners were as much exasperated against spinning-jennies as the hand-loom weavers now were against power-looms. They had discovered the value of the spinning-machinery by this time, but could not be persuaded that they should ever derive any benefit from weaving-machinery. It was a mournful spectacle in Lancashire, that week in April; the mob going from town to town, from factory to factory; snatching their food from bakers' shops and public-houses; throwing stones at the soldiers, and being shot down, rather than give up their object, believing sincerely that their very lives depended on the destruction of these looms; leaping from two-story windows to escape the soldiery, after having cut up every web, and hewn down every beam and stick within; striking at their pursuers with table-knives made into pikes; with scythes and sledge-hammers; swimming canals, hiding in woods, parading the streets of towns, to the number of 10,000 at a time, frightening the night with cries of hunger and yells of rage—all this was terrible; but it came at the end of many months of such sore distress as rouses the fiercest passions of men. On the first day, three persons were killed by the soldiers; on another day, nine: here, it might be seen that wounded men were carried away across the fields; there, the street was found, when emptied, to be 'much stained with blood.' Here, a poor creature was loading his rusty gun with marbles, while the manufacturers were bringing up cannon to plant round their factories; there, haggard men were setting buildings on fire, and snatching buckets from the hands of those who would have supplied water to the engines. Between Monday morning and Saturday night, a thousand power-looms were destroyed. The immediate money-value of this machinery was £30,000; but it had a greater value as

the only means of bread of a large number of people who were now left idle and destitute.

In the first week in May, the Manchester operatives rose again, and then the Bradford wool combers and weavers met to consider 'the present unparalleled distress and furnishing condition of the operatives,' and could think of no way of mending it but by breaking windows. There were inquests first, and trials afterwards, but no relief. In Lancashire, the noblemen, magistracy, and gentry of the county, assembled to consult upon the wretched and helpless state of the Glasgow operatives knew no better than to throw the blame on the invention of machinery. In Dublin, the starving silk weavers formed in procession, to exhibit their hunger in the streets. Their idea of a remedy was that the public subscription raised for them should be applied in the purchase of the manufacturers' stocks and thus, when the shelves were cleared they thought a new demand must at once ensue. At Trowbridge, the people were dismayed at a rise in the price of potatoes in May and would have it that the gardeners and greengrocers were hoarding the potatoes. On market day, they attacked the gardeners' stalls so vigorously, that by eleven o'clock not a vegetable was left in the place. The frightened lutchers removed—the soldiers came—window-breaking went on all night—a prisoner was released by unroofing the prison and two more were sent off to Salisbury for trial at the assizes. At Carlisle, the starving weavers mobbed one of the candidates for the city, clamouring for a repeal of the corn laws and radical reform, and a riot ensued, in which a woman standing at her own door, with a key in her hand, and a little girl in the street, were shot through the head. The inquests in these cases were

In
Riot
trial
and

robbed everybody in the name of the distressed weavers. In Norwich, the unemployed weavers, who would not take work at the wages which the manufacturers could afford, kept watch at the city gates for goods brought in from the country. They destroyed one cart load in the street, and

threw the cart into the river; broke the manufacturers' windows; cooped in a public-house three men from the country who had silk canes about them; and kept the magistracy busy and alarmed for some weeks. About 12,000 weavers in Norwich were then unemployed, and the whole city in a state of depression, the more harassing from its contrast with the activity and high hope of the preceding year.

While these scenes of disorder and wretchedness were witnessed from end to end of the kingdom, the ministers adhered to the principle on which they had refused to issue exchequer bills, and declined to purchase popularity by the offer of any apparent assistance, while convinced that they could afford none that was real and effectual. They were confident that the mischief must work its own cure, and could not be cured in any other way. Yet, something might be done to relieve the despair of the starving, who saw large stores of wheat laid up in bond in Liverpool, Hull, and other ports, while the prospects of the harvest were very doubtful, and parliament was about to be dissolved; leaving the people without advocacy to the care of the government for an interval of months before the new parliament could assemble. The ministers and parliament had agreed, early in the session, that it would be improper to bring forward the whole question of the corn-laws while the country was in a state of high excitement and on the eve of a general election. But it was thought by ministers that the 300,000 quarters of corn in bond in the ports might be let out without tampering with the great question, and without doing any appreciable injury to the agricultural interest; while the manufacturers declared that even the small imports of foreign corn which would follow upon such a measure would afford just the stimulus to their business that was wanted. They were ready to resume business if they could obtain any returns from abroad of the only commodity which their foreign customers could at present send with advantage. It was decided, after eager and protracted discussions, that the people should have the prospect of a supply of food, under arrangements which met the objections of both the parties who were constantly

opposed to each other on all branches of the question of the corn laws. The manufacturers were to be gratified by the letting out of bond of the 300,000 quarters already in the ports, and the agricultural interest obtained the point, that no prices and amounts of duty should be fixed in relation to the further supply of 500,000 quarters which the ministers were authorised to import, if necessary, within the space of two months. The responsibility in regard to the prices and duties was thrown wholly upon the ministers by the agriculturists, lest any fixing of these by parliament should be made a precedent in any future action for the repeal of the corn-laws. This period of two months was short, and the amount of 500,000 quarters was less than half of the largest previous importation, so that the arrangement was not so formidable but that the landed interest were brought to agree to it, under the extreme pressure of the times, while the manufacturers were thankful for even this slight relaxation of the laws to which they were willing to ascribe almost the whole of their distresses. The opposition to both bills was strong in the House of Lords, but the premier made an earnest appeal to them in view of a possible scarcity of food during the recess, following upon all the recent disasters which had afflicted the country, and at last both bills passed their Lordships' House on the 26th of May.

The object of the ministers, real and avowed, in urging these bills, was to obtain a constitutional permission to do that which they might otherwise be compelled to do without authority, and on the chance of procuring indemnity when the new parliament should meet. They foresaw that they should be compelled to open the ports, during the recess, whether they obtained leave beforehand or not, and of course they were extremely anxious for such authorisation. But, after all, it did not answer their purpose. The hot summer of 1826 is well remembered. It was not very unfavourable to wheat, of which there was about an average crop. But the barley crop was far below the average, and at one time it appeared as if there would be no oats or pulse at all. Oats are generally harvested in June, when the preceding year's crop is coming to an end. This year, oats were 22s 11d in the middle

then tatters, are poured out upon the coasts of England and Scotland every year, and when they are too many for the existing work and food, or when the work and food fall short from other causes, the grave and decent poor of England and Scotland wander away too, shipping themselves off westwards, or to our furthest settlements in the east. The subject of emigration must, sooner or later, become one of interest and importance to every civilised state, and soonest to an insular kingdom. It may be theoretically a question whether, if the English nation had been altogether wise—had assumed the conduct of its own civilisation, instead of being the subject, and in some sense the victim, of its own civilisation—the time would have yet arrived for sending abroad any of its people. It may be a question whether, if we were all wise and all of one mind about social affairs, there is not enough for every one to do and to enjoy on his native soil. This is a theoretical question now, which may become a practical one any day, and the sooner the better. But it has for a course of years now been a prominent question how best to arrange matters for the needy among our people who will and must roam because they have no food for their little ones, and no home for their own hearts. The restlessness which forces upon us the question of emigration is of course greatest in seasons of adversity, and in the adversity of the year 1826, it was fierce enough to originate what may prove to be an important period in our national history.

In 1825 it was announced to the country that the business of the colonial office had so increased of late years, that it had become necessary to have an additional under secretary of state. Mr R Wilmot Horton was the existing under secretary, and Mr R W Hay was now appointed in addition. It may be well that a future time should see what amount of business was apportioned to our colonial secretaries in 1825, when emigration, in the modern import of the word, first began seriously to engage the attention of society. It is still our way to approve of our colonial minister as we approve of ministers for home offices on account of his general character and qualifications, without much regard to his capacity for a function requiring a special and elaborate training. It is still our

way to permit our colonial minister to go out and come in at short intervals, as if the stability of the administration were not of the highest importance, when his administration extends over various and distant countries. It is still too probable that a colonial minister's first business is to shut himself up in his study, and find out on the globe where the territories lie which he has to set about governing. But we are beginning to learn how absurd it is to expect the machinery of the colonial office to do the necessary work; to understand the growing magnitude of the business of colonisation, and to be prepared for a reconstitution and prodigious enlargement of the office which is to superintend it. When this impending change is made, men will look back with astonishment on this list furnished in 1825, of the colonies whose affairs at headquarters had to be managed by Mr. Wilmot Horton and Mr. Hay.

Mr. R. Wilmot Horton : Jamaica, Barbadoes, St. Christopher, Nevis and Tortola, Antigua and Montserrat, Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, St. Vincent, Tobago, Trinidad, Demerara and Essequibo, Berbice, Honduras, Bahamas, Bermuda, Lower Canada, Upper Canada, Nova Scotia and Cape Breton, New Brunswick, Prince Edward's Island, Newfoundland. Commission of Inquiry and Criminal Justice, West Indies; and Apprenticed Africans.

Mr. Hay : Gibraltar, Malta, Ionian Isles, Marocco, Algiers, Tunis, Tripoli, Missions to the Interior of Africa, Sierra Leone, Gold Coast, Cape of Good Hope, Heligoland, New South Wales, Van Diemen's Land, Ceylon, Mauritius, East Indies. Commission of Inquiry, Cape, Mauritius and Ceylon; Sierra Leone.

The work of assisting emigration was henceforth to be looked forward to by the colonial office as a part of its business. Since 1822, government had given occasional aid to emigration to Canada; and now it heard on every side of expectations from individuals and societies that it would assist in conveying the needy to new fields of labour. The landowners of a Scotch county applied to ministers for encouragement to their poor to emigrate; and the working-men formed themselves into societies, in many parts of the country, whose object was to obtain

funds for emigration from rich neighbours and from the government. Government was compelled to deliberate on this important subject. It would not do to go on giving sums of money here and there without inquiring what was done with it. It was not right to continue supplying grants without knowing how the former schemes had issued. It was not possible to keep at home the poor creatures, rendered desperate by want, who were resolved to try their fortunes abroad, and it was cruel to let them go wholly unprepared and destitute. It became known by this time how piteous was the lot of the emigrant when he found himself among the snows of Canada with the remnant of his family about him—the few whom hardship and fever and the miseries of the voyage had spared—and no possessions whatever but the axe on his shoulder and the tatters they wore. It became known how the Irish who flocked to the United States are naturally regarded as a nuisance in their ports and how they die in the swamps, digging canals which the Americans will not work at and crouching in shanties which no American would enter—unless it were the emigrant and the priest. Society had not yet awakened.

ought to be, had no small complete society removed with all necessaries to a new scene where it would be bound together as at home by its mutual wants and aids by its capital and its labour its church its schools its gradations of ranks and employments and sufficient powers of self government. Such a conception as this had not yet entered the mind of the government or of the nation. But all were aware that the desperate and random emigration of the time was bad, and must give place to something better.

On the 11th of March, 1826 Mr R. Wilmot Heron moved that a select committee be appointed to inquire into the expediency of encouraging emigration from the United Kingdom. He detailed the circumstances of the experiments of the years 1823 and 1825, when first, 213 persons emigrated from Ireland to Canada at the expense of £22 each, and next 2024 persons followed at an expense of £20 each. It had never been the intention of government to go on making grants for the removal of

paupers in this mode; but it was thought that the issue of these first attempts was sufficiently favourable to indicate further inquiry and consideration. As the scheme was advocated on the ground of its being a successful method of removing paupers, it was opposed as an expensive and fruitless remedy for pauperism, as the numbers removed could never perceptibly reduce the superabundance of labour at home. The wider considerations of the benefits of calling new regions into fertility, and of creating new markets, and thus feeding and employing many who remained behind; the considerations of the proper ages of those who were to go; of their mutual apportionment and co-operation as capitalists and labourers; of the means of making emigration presently self-supporting and expansive—these points were not yet discussed, because they were not yet thought of. The great subject which was soon to become a science was as yet treated superficially, partially, and empirically. But a beginning was made. The committee asked for was appointed; and it presented its report and evidence before the dissolution of parliament, with a recommendation that the subject should be pursued without loss of time.

It was a disastrous year, this year 1826; but if we have seen what miseries marked its progress, we have witnessed, too, the birth of a great redeeming blessing. It is possible that from the woes and the terror and the clamour of that fearful season may have sprung the fertilisation and peopling of vast new regions abroad, and the redemption of future generations at home.

CHAPTER X

Catholic Question reviewed—State of Opinions in 1824—Catholic Association—Catholic Disputation—Mr. O'Connell—Progress of the Question—Sir F. Bouverie's Relief Bill—Duke of York's Declaration—Bill lost—Catholics and Dissenters—Aspect of the Question.

THE year 1825 was marked by nothing more conspicuously than by a great change in the aspect and conduct of the Catholic question. In a preceding page of this History, a promise was given of a brief narrative of this great question—and here at the beginning of its final stage, we seem to be at the right point for a rapid review of its history.

The difficulty of most or all perilous political questions lies in the relation they bear to the long distant past, a past which did not involve social principles that have since become of primary importance—and by whose rule the matter must be finally disposed of. For long before the present date there had been an incessant and unmanageable confusion in the general mind of the anti-Catholic party, between the religious and political mischiefs of admitting the Catholics to an equality of civil rights with the Protestants—and this confusion itself was modern compared with the sufferings of the Catholics. This was because the sufferings of the Catholics began in an age when there was no distinction between civil and religious rights. When the distinction rose into recognition the Romanists were severely persecuted sometimes on the religious, and sometimes on the political ground—and when the persecution became negative and therefore confined to the political ground their enemies had still not arrived at any clearness of thought, or any common agreement, as to the basis of their opposition to the Catholic claims. This is illustrated by the whole course of the history of these claims.

The Reformation is of course the point from which the separation of the Catholic body must date. When Henry VIII. by his own authority dissolved the monastery of St. Hieron and turned out its religious inhabitants, and

burned the costly crosier of St. Patrick, he did not persecute the Irish Catholics as Irish, but as Catholics; but his acts had the immediate effect of uniting in a general hostility to England the chiefs and tribes who were before incessantly at feud with each other. Nobody then thought of the distinction which grew up in a subsequent age. There was so little call for a religious reformation in Ireland, that we have it on good authority that there were not sixty Protestants in the island when Elizabeth became queen. During her 'vigorous rule' in Ireland, she and her ministers made no nice distinctions between her functions of head of the Church and head of the State, in the penal laws decreed against the Irish Catholics, and the legalised force by which she put down the Irish malcontents. In spite of the talk of the reformed religion in both countries, and the laws against the exercise of the Catholic religion, the conflicting parties were evidently full of political matters, and not of religious. The English government employed Catholic officials in the most important and confidential services in Ireland; even, if they belonged to the Pale, in repelling the Spanish invasions which took place on account of her anti-Catholic laws and policy. The Catholics of the Pale fought against those out of the Pale; and in the reign of James I., as a fierce Catholic, O'Sullivan, tells us, 'the eyes even of the English Irish'—the Catholics of the Pale—'were opened, and they cursed their former folly for helping the heretic.' Elizabeth's wars were waged against the chiefs of savages; chiefs whose tribes knew nothing of tidings, of homes, of property, or comforts; who, in the remoter parts of the island, went almost unclothed, and lay down round fires to sleep on the ground. These chiefs had lands to be robbed of. 'There will be lands for those who want,' said Queen Elizabeth, by way of stirring up her officials, when there were tidings that O'Neal was about to rise; and it would, no doubt, have been exactly the same—the whole course of her conquest of the rebels, whatever had been their religion, of all that existed, from pole to pole. Meantime, her Protestant Church of sixty members did not expand to her wish, though she gave bounties to it, and proscribed its enemies. When it did expand, it was not from con-

versions in Ireland but by the accession of the colonists of her successor and the settlement of the soldiers of Cromwell

The confusion which arose after the incursion of these new dwellers gave rise to the Act of Settlement by which 7 800 000 acres of land were transferred from Irish Catholic to English Protestant proprietors. At the first possible moment—that is during the brief season when James II held up his head in Ireland—the native parliament, in which only six Protestants sat, repealed the Act of Settlement, against the will of the king. The battle of the Boyne presently overthrew whatever had been done and it is not to be wondered at that the popery laws which succeeded were excessively severe. Though they said a great deal about religious error they were imposed in dread of a political foe, whose physical force was truly formidable. The Protestant ascendancy of Ireland says the *Edinburgh Review* of Sir J. Throckmorton's work on the Catholic question 'care[d] very little about purgatory and the seven sacraments. They acted upon principles simply political and their severity was not derived from polemical rancour but from the two great springs of bitterness which turn the milk of human nature into gall—revenge and fear. They knew what the vanquished had done in the hour of success they looked at their numbers with alarm and sought to strengthen the barriers of law against the rude arm of physical power. The system of the popery laws indeed, in Ireland must be looked at as a whole. In their present state (1806) they are folly, caprice, cruelty and petulant tyranny. As they stood originally they were vigorous and consistent the firm, well riveted fetters of conquest locking into one another, and stretching down the captive giant to the floor.'

More misfortunes ensued as soon as King William had driven out his enemy. The estates transferred on this occasion are declared to have covered 1,060 793 acres. The one circumstance which softened their political aspersions to the Irish was that, by the Treaty of Limerick framed when the struggle was over, the free exercise of their religion was secured to them for the future on the strength of the king's guarantee for himself, his heirs, and

successors, as far as in him lay. By the words of the treaty it was expressly declared, that 'the Roman Catholics should enjoy such privileges in the exercise of their religion as are consistent with the laws of Ireland, or as they did enjoy in the reign of Charles II.; and their majesties, as soon as they can summon a parliament in this kingdom, will endeavour to procure the said Roman Catholics such further security in that particular as may preserve them from any disturbance on account of their religion.' These articles, afterwards published in letters-patent under the great seal, were signed by the English general on the 3rd of October, 1691; and for three weeks the Irish Romanists were hopeful and happy. But it was only for three weeks; and then followed a season of oppression so cruel as to provoke the question how it could have been borne, in an age of the world so advanced. Of the English government of that time, Burke says: 'The severe and jealous policy of a conqueror in the crude settlement of his new acquisition, was strangely made a permanent rule for its future government.' And of the oppressed party, Swift declared that it was 'just as inconsiderable in point of power as the women and children.' In this weakness lay their strength. It was nourishing the germ of that future Catholic question which was soon to begin disturbing cabinets, and with more and more power, till, a century after, it should be looked upon with constant dread as the explosive force which was to shatter one administration after another for five-and-thirty years together, and threaten at last to revolutionise the empire. Little did the government of Queen Anne foresee the consequences of setting its heel on the neck of the Catholic interest; but, though it could not foreknow how it would perplex and destroy a succession of administrations, and craze the feeble brain of a sovereign, and invite invasion again and again, it might have remembered how dangerous it is to sink individuals, and, yet more, whole classes, so low, that they can fall no lower, and will therefore make desperate efforts to raise themselves. They might have taken to heart Swift's words: 'General calamities, without hopes of redress, are allowed to be the great uniters of mankind; since nature hath instructed even a brood of

goslings to stick together, while the kite is hovering over their heads. It is certain that a firm union in any country where every man wishes the same thing with relation to the public, may, in several points of the greatest importance, in some measure supply the defect of power, and even of those rights which are the natural and undoubted inheritance of mankind.

On the 3rd of October 1691, as we have said, the Treaty of Limerick, including provisions favourable to the Catholics was signed. On the 22nd of the same month, the English parliament decreed that Irish members of both Houses should take the oaths of supremacy, an enactment which excluded Catholics from both the Irish Houses of parliament. King William forgot his pledge to recommend the liberties of the Catholics to the attention of parliament. Three years after that pledge was given, and when nothing had been done to redeem it, a set of enactments was passed which left the Romanists in such a condition that the wonder is that they did not spring at the throats of their oppressors, and peril everything for a savage revenge. All Catholics were disarmed, and the priests banished: that much might have been borne, but the whole body were deprived of all means of educating their children, and were prohibited from being the guardians, not only of other people's children, but of their own. As this was endured other privations followed in 1704. Every son who would turn Protestant might now succeed to the family estate which was stringently secured to him. A boy of ten years old or younger, might thus dispose his family, if he declared himself a Protestant. A Catholic could no longer purchase land or enjoy a long lease or make more than a certain income by his land or marry a Protestant or take his place in a line of entail, or hold any office, civil or military, or vote at elections, or, except under certain conditions dwell in Limerick or Galway. Five years after, more penalties were added, and again in the next reign. Any son of a Catholic might bring his father into chancery, force him to declare on oath the value of his property, and to settle such an allowance upon the family informer as the court should decree, not only for the father's life, but the son's. The

was a zeal for religion indeed, which could slight morality, and set up a new commandment in the place of the old one, which enjoins honour to father and mother. Catholics keeping schools were to be prosecuted as convicts; and papists were bound to furnish Protestant watchmen for the towns, and horses for the militia. Any priest celebrating marriage between a papist and a Protestant was to be hanged. No Catholics were to enter the profession of the law; and any lawyer marrying a Catholic was to be held a papist. If it makes the heart sick now to read these things, done little more than a century ago, and done in the name of the religion professed by both parties, what must it have been to have endured them? What must have been the interior of Catholic households in those days? If the blessing of education had been left them, we might understand their patience; and we can but hope that circumstances were to them an education sufficient for their needs; for the children did not rise against their parents, nor the oppressed against their oppressors. There was no rebellion during the series of years which added weight to the oppression with every new parliament. These Catholic households had, in the absence of learning, their faith, which they found sufficient to bind them together in love, to strengthen them against temptation, and under poverty; to nerve them to courage, and fortify them for endurance. Thus it was at the time, while the spirit of confessorship was fresh and strong among them. But it is the first-fruits of adversity only, or chiefly, that are blessed. In course of time, the enforced ignorance began to tell upon the mind, and the unrelieved oppression upon the temper, of the Catholic body; and we see the results now in those moral defects of the Irish which perpetuate their social miseries after the oppression has been removed. It should be remembered, on the other hand, that the spirit of the Reformation, which attributed all the evils in the world to papistry, had not died out; that the memory of the worst days of the Inquisition was fresh, and the horror of the Gunpowder Plot, and the dread of the Stuarts. It was a mistake to suppose that the evils which took place under the prevalence of the Catholic faith were all attributable to that faith; and it was another

mistake to suppose that any faith can be extirpated by persecution but those were not days of philosophical statesmanship, and it would be unreasonable to look for the springing up of political philosophy by the light of Guy Fawkes's lantern, on the footsteps of successive Pretenders

The first dawn of promise of better days appears to have followed upon the quietness of the Irish in the two Stuart rebellions. While Scotland and the north of England were up in arms the Catholics of Ireland gave no trouble, and the Brunswick sovereigns were gratified and grateful. It was during their reigns that the Catholics had been deprived of the franchise, but that act had been an advert ing again to a political from a religious ground. The English fiction had for some time been becoming Irish in its habits and predilections. As Mr Burke said 'The English as they began to be domesticated, began also to recollect that they had a country, what was at first strictly an English interest by faint and almost insensible degrees but at length openly and avowedly, became an independent Irish interest.' The government forced a union between the two classes of Irish residents, which might become formidable to English rule, and they rendered the Catholic class politically powerless by depriving them of the only remnant of social influence they still held—the franchise. But when the Irish remained quiet during the two rebellions, they procured for themselves a degree of good-will from the English government which opened the way for their final emancipation. Their quietness was called 'loyalty' a term which it would be no credit to them to accord for they owed no faith to a sovereignty which had kept none with them but had humbled them from the rank of subjects to that of slaves. By whatever name it may be called, their demeanour obtained for them some countenance from George II and his minister, Walpole and in 1757 they first reappeared as a distinct moving body in the state—presenting an address at Dublin Castle, during the viceroyalty of the Duke of Bedford.

The 'restraining system' continued, however, with at material relaxation, for twenty years longer. By that

'time, a young champion of liberty had risen up, ready to make use of, and to ripen, a better state of ideas and feelings than had existed in the days of his fathers. By lapse of time, men's minds had become enlarged, and their hearts freed from some old fears and hatreds; and Grattan was one to make the most of improved facilities, and to win over the best minds to the right side. After obtaining the removal of some restrictions on Irish commerce, he carried in the Irish parliament, in 1780, the memorable resolution: 'That the king's most excellent majesty, and the Lords and Commons of Ireland, are the only competent power to make laws to bind Ireland.' Many disqualifying statutes were repealed in the few subsequent years; and the admission of Catholics to a freer possession and disposal of land was the cause of that development of agriculture to which Ireland owes the greater part of the improvement in her material resources from that day to this.

Some students of history look upon this year 1780 as the date of an Irish revolution as important to the Irish as that of 1688 had been to Great Britain. Like most revolutions, it was achieved by the use of irregular instruments. It is not our business here to give over again the history of the Irish volunteers; but merely to point to them and their agency, as a precedent which must be kept in view when we come to the contemplation of future volunteer associations in Ireland. The volunteers of the last century achieved a great work with little or no damage or discredit; they were repeatedly thanked by parliament; they were honoured and praised by the best part of society, in both England and Ireland; and there can be no reasonable wonder, after this, at the formation of future volunteer societies, when further liberties had to be contended for, and must, in the nature of things, be won. From the date of the victories of 1780, it was certain that the questions of Irish and Catholic disqualifications could never again be put aside. Complete equality with Englishmen and Protestants, or complete separation, was thenceforth assured to the Catholics of Ireland. The English government had relinquished, under whatever compulsion, the function of oppressor. There could be no rest now till it assumed that of liberator. And till the liberation was

3

the requisitions of his own honour in regard to them—writhed under the anguish of having driven the king into madness, and shrink from the risk of causing a repetition of the calamity, though millions of wronged subjects were waiting for their promised rights, and his own honour was importunate for satisfaction. It was a cruel position, and any man may be freely pitied who finds himself in it, however he came there. ‘The king’ says Lord Malmesbury (March 7, 1801) ‘in directing Willis to speak or write to Pitt, said “Tell him I am now QUITE recovered from my illness, but what has he not to answer for, who is the cause of my having been ill at all?” This, on being repeated, affected Pitt so deeply, that it immediately pro-

duced a violent attack above, and brought from him the following answer:—“I will give way on the Catholic question, but I will not give way on the Catholic question, most dutiful, humble, and obedient servant, of his difficulty. The other words, ‘While all these ar-

rangements are making at home, all public business is at a stand—we forget the host of enemies close upon us, and everybody’s mind thinks on one object only, unmindful that all they are contending about may vanish and disappear if we are subdued by France.’

The danger was imminent of the Irish uniting with the French against that throne which the king declared would become the right of the House of Savoy, if he violated his coronation oath, and imminent the danger remained when Mr Pitt came in again in 1804. But he had had too terrible a fright ever to recover his courage, and he avoided the question during the short remainder of his life. In 1807, there was much stir about it, and the subject was brought forward in parliament, in the belief authorised by some of the ministers, that the king had become apathetic about this, as about other public affairs. But, when appealed to for his opinion, by the enemies of emancipation, he showed himself as determined and as anxious as ever, and Lord Camden intimated to Lord Malmesbury that he conceived him to have given it as a pledge to Pitt, ‘that the question should not be moved during the king’s life.’ Lord Camden himself was, like many others, not so much against the principle

of emancipation, as because the king had declared himself.' Foolish and wrong as such a reason was, it was one which tended to keep the Catholics from rebellion. If they could really believe that their emancipation was awaiting the death of an infirm man of sixty-eight, they might well have patience, in the hope of obtaining what they wanted by law, instead of by violence. And their condition was no longer one which it was difficult to endure from day to day, though it was such as they could not acquiesce in as permanent. At that time, in 1807, their disabilities were these.

The Catholics of Ireland could not sit in either House of parliament. No Catholic could be a guardian to a Protestant; and no priest could be a guardian at all. No Catholic could present to an ecclesiastical living, though Protestant Dissenters, and even Jews, could do so. Catholics were allowed to have arms only under certain restrictions; and no Catholic could be employed as a fowler, or keep any arms or warlike stores, for sale or otherwise. The pecuniary qualification of Catholic was higher than that of Protestant jurors. The list of offices, state and municipal, to which Catholics were ineligible, is long; and they were practically excluded from the public service. They were also liable to the penalties of the severest of the old laws, if they did not punctually exempt themselves by taking the oath and declaration prescribed by 13 and 14 George III. c. 3. Their legal disabilities occasioned incalculable suffering in their social relations—legal degradation being always an invitation to the baser part of society to inflict insult and privation which cannot be retaliated. There was a systematic exclusion of Catholics from juries in Ireland; and in some districts absolutely a banishment of them from the soil. Every Catholic was so effectually excommunicated, in certain parts of Ireland, that he could not preserve his property, or remain on the spot; and if he happened to die before he could effect his removal, the passing-bell was jerked into a merry measure. Some wretched facts of this nature were related, not only at a general meeting of Catholics held in April 1807, but by Protestant noblemen and magistrates residing in Ireland; one of whom, Lord Gosford, chief-magistrate of the county

of the affairs of the Catholics was this to a liberal and enlightened Churchman 'We are sorry we have nothing for which to praise administration on the subject of the Catholic question. Looking to the sense and reason of the thing and to the ordinary working of humanity and justice, when assisted, as they are here, by self interest and worldly policy, it might seem absurd to doubt of the result. But looking to the facts and the persons by which we are now surrounded we are constrained to say that we greatly fear that the incapacities never will be removed, till they are removed by fear. What else indeed can we expect when we see them opposed by such enlightened men as Mr Peel, faintly assisted by men of such a limirable genius as Mr Canning when royal dukes consider it as a compliment to the memory of their fathers to continue this miserable system of bigotry and exclusion, when men act ignominiously and contemptuously on this question, who do so on no other question. We repeat again, that the measure never will be effected but by fear. In the midst of one of our just and necessary wars, the Irish Catholics will compel this country to grant them a great deal more than they at present require, or even contemplate. We regret most severely the protraction of the disease and the danger of the remedy, but in this way it

— on

the way of the emancipa

the question of all others

that it was, at the time the most difficult to get answered. Was it the political or religious ground that was taken now? There could be no fear, in 1824, that the Irish wanted to bring in the French or to bring in the Stuarts, or to dethrone the House of Brunswick in favour of any royal house designated by the pope. There could be no idea, in this century, of massacres for the faith or of gunpowder plots or of Smithfield fires or of an inquisition in England. And surely there could not be in our day, any notion of converting five or six millions of Catholics from a false to a true faith by a system of exclusion and insult. How was it? What was the avowed ground of the opponents of the Catholic claims?

This is a case in which we see in what way it is that

human affairs are carried on.' The reality was all gone out of the question on one side, and had left merely a residuum of words. The newer generations did not, and could not feel the fierce political hatred and fear which instigated the early repression of the Catholics; and they showed no signs of religious proselytism. The truth was, there was no longer any common ground on which the opposition was conducted. Every opponent had his own plea; and the pleas were, for the most part, mere words. One talked of the coronation oath, following the lead of the Duke of York; though it was known that the king did not recognise that impediment. Another spoke of the compact with Ireland, according to which the Protestant Church was to be exclusively favoured by the state. Another had no confidence in the Catholics. Others dreaded letting in the influence of the pope. Others talked of 'the mysterious and sublimed union of Church and State being a sacred subject, that soars above the ken of worldly policy;' and of its being 'an ethereal essence, that sanctifies and gives a character of perpetuity to our state.' All these difficulties, misty and unsubstantial, were sure to be wafted away by the first strong breeze of danger. And so were the impediments which were, in fact, the most real—those arising from habit. The habit of considering the Catholics excluded, inferior, dangerous, kept under by the wisdom of our ancestors, was in fact the main obstacle to their emancipation. That which was afterwards ascertained and avowed was true now—that the real difficulty lay, not with kings, princes, and cabinets, but with the people of England, before whom the question had never yet been fairly brought. Nothing was so likely to bring the question before them as danger; and therefore it was that the advocates of the Catholics were justified in predicting, as they did from century to century, that fear would prove at last the emancipating power. Another means of presenting the matter fully to the popular mind began now, however, to come into full operation. The press was brought into action in a curious manner, on behalf of the struggling party. While the sons of Catholic gentry in Ireland were excluded from many lines by which eminence might be reached, they naturally flocked to the

career of the law. While in London training for the bar, many of them were glad to eke out their scanty resources by such profitable employment as they could find for their leisure hours, which was not incompatible with their business and their station, and a large proportion of reporters for the London press at this time consisted of young Irish barristers. Those who reported the parliamentary debates naturally gave prominence to such as affected the Catholic question and for some years before that question was settled, they indefatigably reported whatever was said upon it, excluding for its sake, when there was not room for everything any other subject whatever. Those who are at present familiar with Irish newspapers are amused to see how many columns of parliamentary intelligence are filled with Irish affairs while those of England Scotland and the colonies are crowded into a corner and thus it was when the Catholic question was approaching its crisis. By this accident or method, the British people were led to suppose that Catholic affairs occupied much more of the time and attention of the two Houses than they really did and were brought, accordingly to devote more thought and feeling to the great Catholic subject than they otherwise would. Everything being thus in train, the events of 1825 began their march in the eyes of an attentive and anxious nation.

The king's speech delivered by commission on the 3rd of February after congratulating parliament on the prosperity of the country, expressed gratification that this prosperity extended to Ireland and that the outrages which had formerly prevailed had of late almost ceased. 'It is therefore continued the speech, the more to be regretted that associations should exist in Ireland, which have adopted proceedings irreconcilable with the spirit of the constitution and calculated by exciting alarm and by exasperating animosities to endanger the peace of society and to retard the course of national improvement. His Majesty relies upon your wisdom to consider with all delay the means of applying a remedy to this evil.'

This is the speech of which Lord Ellenborough wrote 'Today we have called in Downing Street at Council at Carlisle to try if we can make a good speech for the king.'

But there are too many hands at work to make a good thing of it, and so you will think, I believe, when you read it. . . . I don't much admire the composition or the matter of the speech. My old master, the late king, would have said that it required to be set off by good reading. It falls to my lot to read it, and I should read it better if I liked it better.'

A part of this speech, a very small part, caused long and vehement debate in parliament. That small part was the letter 's' affixed to the word association. The question was, whether the reprobation expressed related to the great new Catholic association just arisen in Ireland, and was therefore a blow aimed expressly at the Catholics, or whether it included the Orange clubs which were in great force at that time. The Catholic Association claimed the credit of having quieted the outrages of Ireland, and asserted their right to honour accordingly; while their enemies clamoured for their suppression, on the ground of the adjuration by which they had quieted Ireland. This adjuration was: 'By the hate they bore the Orangemen, who were their natural enemies, and by the confidence they reposed in the Catholic Association, who were their natural and zealous friends, to abstain from all secret and illegal associations and Whiteboy disturbances and outrages.' Whether that letter 's' was a gloss or a reality, it is certain that the Catholic Association filled a space in the view of the ministry and the country which left little room for clubs of inferior magnitude. 'Let the proposed measures be carried,' said Mr. Brougham, 'and the Catholic Association will be put down with one hand, while the Orange societies will receive only a gentle tap with the other.'

The Catholic Association had held its first open meeting in January of the preceding year; and in the following May, Mr. Plunket had declared, on being questioned in the House, that the government was closely watching its proceedings. The great avowed object of the association was the preparation of petitions to parliament; but, during a course of months, no petitions were forthcoming, while other kinds of business proceeded briskly. The association held regular sessions in Dublin, nominated com. 1843,

received petitions, referred them to its committee of grievances, ordered a census of the population to be taken, and levied a tribute which was called the Catholic rent. This tribute was declared to be voluntary, but it can hardly be said that the payments of the poor in Ireland, collected on the requisition of the priests, are voluntary; and the weekly collection was generally regarded as a tax. The avowed objects to which the money was to be applied were the supply of a Catholic priesthood to America; the supply of more priests to England; and the purchase of as much as could be had of the influence of the press. Into what other channels the money might flow, there was ample room for conjecture. It was believed that the amount often reached fifty pounds in a day, and government and parliament soon thought it time to be watching how it was spent.

Among those who feared and disliked this association were the English Catholics generally. Lord Redesdale writes to the lord chancellor, on the 1st day of 1824: 'I learn that Lord Fingall and others, Catholics of English blood, are alarmed at the present state of things; and they may well be alarmed. If a revolution were to happen in Ireland, it would be in the end an Irish revolution, and no Catholic of English blood would fare better than a Protestant of English blood. So said Lord Castlehaven, an Irish Catholic general of English blood, 170 years ago; and so said a Roman Catholic of Irish blood, confidentially to me, above twenty years ago. The question is not simply Protestant and Catholic, but English and Irish; and the great motive of action will be hatred of the Sassenagh, inflamed by the priests.' Here was the old quarrel again, and here was the danger which made wise men believe that the day of emancipation was drawing on.

For a little while, the fear excited by this body caused an unusual jealousy on the part of the king about any favour being shown to English Catholics. He who had, after his accession, cordially offered religious equality to his Hanoverian subjects, cavilled at parliament, and grew stiff with his chancellor, in the summer of 1824, because the Catholic Duke of Norfolk was enabled, by a bill which passed both Houses, to exercise his office of earl-marshal of

England, by taking the oath of allegiance, without that of supremacy, or the declaration against transubstantiation. The dread was lest, by beginning to give anything, it should become necessary to give, first more, and then everything which the Catholics demanded. As the chancellor himself bowed to the declared will of the Lords, the king yielded; and the earl-marshal appeared in his robes in the House which he could not yet enter as a peer of parliament: and the sky did not fall.

A deputation of Catholic lords and gentlemen, sent by the association, was sitting in London, to watch over the interests of their body, under the approaching attack upon it in parliament, and to be ready to afford information to friendly legislators of either House, in answer to whatever charges might be brought. On the 10th of February, the Irish secretary, Mr Goulburn, brought in a bill 'to amend the acts relating to unlawful societies in Ireland;' the object of which was to put down the Catholic Association. Through Mr. Brougham, the deputation made known their desire to be heard at the bar of the House, in justification of their body from certain allegations made against them in parliament. Of course, this could not be granted, as the association was not a recognised body, but one whose unconstitutional character was admitted on every hand. The only question really was, whether there existed a crisis which could be held to justify the formation of such an organisation? Some spoke of the volunteers of 1780, and reminded each other that those volunteers had repeatedly received the thanks of parliament; but the parallel between the two cases failed in the important particular, that the volunteers did not unite for political purposes, but for the military defence of the country. They made use of their organisation at length for political purposes, and achieved them; but there was nothing in their case which could be allowed as a precedent in any but warlike or revolutionary times. While the Catholic claims were an open question in the cabinet, and any one cabinet minister was pledged in its favour, there could be no excuse for any kind of revolutionary institution or movement. Mr. Goulburn obtained his bill by a majority of 278 to 123, and it became law on the 9th of March. It

apparently annihilated the Catholic Association, but the dissolution was a mere form. To lay a finger upon it was merely to scatter a globule of quicksilver, it was sure to run together again. Justice was the only true amalgamating power, and every endeavour to delay its application only proved its necessity the more.

The parliamentary advocates of the cause mourned at length and aloud the formation of the association, and its adjuration. 'By the hate you bear to Orangemen' Mr Canning, to whom it was owing that the king was converted and the cabinet liberalised, declared that the procedure 'resembled the scheme of an enemy, who had devised this as the best invention for throwing back and thwarting the further progress of the question of emancipation' So thought the friends of the Catholics, very sincerely. But they stood outside the cause, and those who were within it believed them wrong, and so the event proved them to be. The subjects of a great cause always move in it differently from the way that their friends outside would have them, and the sufferers usually show in the end that they understand their business best. They were satisfied now with their own method of proceeding. They knew that their association would be put down, and they were no doubt aware that it ought to be put down. The leaders were sagacious lawyers, as was shown by the curious care with which the addresses and proceedings of the body were kept within the letter of the existing law,

The leaders were satisfied when they met in the Commons sitting night after night adjourning late in the morning, for successive mornings filling the eye and ear of the nation with the acts and appeals of the Catholic body. This was victory for the time—the completest victory that the time would admit. They knew that the real obstacle to their emancipation was now the indifference of the English nation. They knew that the king was near the point of yielding, thanks to the influence of Mr Canning. They knew that the cabinet was vacillating, thanks to the influence of Mr Canning. They knew that if Mr

, Canning was called up, even to reprobate them and their proceedings, they would have an all-sufficient advocacy; for his very reprobation must be the strongest possible testimony to the pressure of the time. They obtained all they could have contemplated, and perhaps more than they anticipated, in the avowal and narrative which the pressure of the time elicited from him, of his own experience, and that of all the statesmen of his day, in relation to this cause. Perhaps no single manifestation so aided the Catholic cause, in its whole career, as the memorable speech of February 15th, in which Mr. Canning delivered to the world the history of the Catholic question for the preceding century, and his own history in connection with it. The narrative came to the ear of the nation as a decree of fate; and his political autobiography went far to win over the nation's heart. Having shown how he took his stand upon the Catholic question when the most insuperable obstacle was removed by the withdrawal of George III. from political life, and how he refused office at the most tempting moment, rather than enter a cabinet decided against the Catholic claims, he went on: 'Sir, I have always refused to act in obedience to the dictates of the Catholic leaders; I would never put myself into their hands, and I never will. . . . Much as I have wished to serve the Catholic cause, I have seen that the service of the Catholic leaders is no easy service. They are hard taskmasters; and the advocate who would satisfy them must deliver himself up to them bound hand and foot. . . . But to be taunted with a want of feeling for the Catholics, to be accused of compromising their interests, conscious as I am—as I cannot but be—of being entitled to their gratitude for a long course of active services, and for the sacrifice to their cause of interests of my own—this is a sort of treatment which would rouse even tameness itself to assert its honour, and vindicate its claims. I have shown that in the year 1812 I refused office, rather than enter into an administration pledged against the Catholic question. I did this at a time when office would have been dearer to me than at any other period of my political life; when I would have given ten years of life for two years of office; not for any sordid or selfish purpose of personal aggrandisement, but

for far other and higher views. But, is this the only sacrifice which I have made to the Catholic cause? The House will perhaps bear with me a little longer, while I answer this question by another fact. From the earliest dawn of my public life—ay, from the first visions of youthful ambition—that ambition had been directed to one object above all others. Before that object all others vanished into comparative insignificance, it was desirable to me beyond all the blandishments of power, beyond all the rewards and favours of the crown. That object was to represent, in this House, the university in which I was educated. I had a fair chance of accomplishing this object when the Catholic question crossed my way. I was warned—furlv and kindly warned—that my adoption of that cause would blast my prospect. I adhered to the Catholic cause, and forfeited all my long-cherished hopes and expectations. And yet I am told that I have made no sacrifice! that I have postponed the cause of the Catholics to views and interests of my own! Sir, the representation of the university has fallen into worthier hands. I rejoice with my right honourable friend near me (Mr Peel), in the high honour which he has obtained. Long may he enjoy the distinction, and long may it prove a source of reciprocal pride, to our parent university and to himself! Never till this hour have I stated, either in public or in private, the extent of this irretrievable sacrifice, but I have not felt it the less deeply. It is past, and I shall speak of it no more.

Nothing could be a stronger testimony to the urgency of the cause than that the foremost of British statesmen should be subject to compulsion like this forced to avowals like these while separated by deep distrust and dislike from the Catholic leaders. But even yet, the degree of the urgency was not understood. Mr Peel sat by Mr. Cunningham's side, and received his congratulations on his relation to the University of Oxford, and heard his hopes that the relation might subsist long and happily. But even then there were stirrings in the heart of the listener, there were doubts beginning to move in his mind which already put that relation in jeopardy, and were soon to exclude him, in his turn, from the representation of his university.

When his turn arrived, he confessed that the events of the session of 1825 had made such an impression upon him that he went to Lord Liverpool, desiring to resign his office, because the opinion of the House was declared against him on the Catholic question, and avowing to the premier that he believed the time was come when 'something ought to be done about the Catholics.' Lord Liverpool's threat of retiring also induced Mr. Peel to wait for another manifestation of the feelings of the country; but this was the time when the hook caught the chain which bound him to follow the destiny of Canning in his sacrifices for the Catholic question.

Mr. Canning called the Catholic leaders 'hard taskmasters,' whose advocates must submit to be bound hand and foot. Nothing could please them better than such a description. The reputation of a strong will is, in itself, an unlimited power. These men had ceased to be suppliants, and had become taskmasters, whoever might be their servants. The description was true; for there was a man among them who was about to become a power in the state. Daniel O'Connell had been an active agitator on behalf of the Catholic claims for so many years now, as to be known by name through the length and breadth of the kingdom. He had been a chief mover in the committees in Dublin; he was the organiser of the association, and was now reputed to hold three millions of the Irish people in his hand, ready with a touch to be turned to good or evil. He came up as a delegate invested in a kind of glory; for in Dublin he had been indicted for sedition in the January just past, and the grand jury had thrown out the bills. He who had evaded the law in the formation and procedure of successive Catholic committees—he who had defied the law in the late prosecution for sedition—he who held three millions of the Irish people in his hand, and the peace of Ireland at his bidding, might think himself entitled to be a 'hard taskmaster.' And he who was not only idolised by the multitude among whom he had lived, and adored by his own family, but who so attached his personal friends by his charms of intellect and temper, as that they could not sit in the room while he was found fault with, might well suppose himself authorised to issue

of bringing the Catholic Association under the notice of parliament were very welcome to the liberal section of the cabinet. Till now their position had been painful as a position of compromise must ever be. The administration in Ireland had been carefully composed half and half of Protestants and Catholics, and of the Catholic cause, and of of an unsound quarter. The cabinet must have been irksome and the change not a little fearful. All were set free now, for they were all united in reprobating the Catholic Association as unlawful machinery which could not be allowed to work, and the occasion brought freedom of speech and hope of a good issue to the friends of the Catholics. They spoke out, and emptied their full hearts and minds, and they saw that the protracted debates on the Catholic subject, which succeeded one another for some months of this session were aiding the cause more than any transactions of all previous years.

By the bill which put down the Catholic Association it was declared unlawful for all political associations to continue their sittings by whether in full sittings or more than fourteen days. It made it a subject, or from any descriptions of them, or any such societies to have different branches, or to correspond with other societies, or to exclude members on the ground of religious faith or to require oaths or declarations otherwise than as required by law. As soon as the parliament rose—that is, in July—a new Catholic committee offered a plan of a new association and a recommendation to the Catholic body to push to the utmost their practice of petitioning and other political action by methods independent of the association as the law now forbade. It was, in fact action, but an excellent of the Catholic

cause

During March Sir F. Burdett introduced first a petition on the general petition of the Catholics, next, a second

resolutions which were passed as the foundation of a relief bill, which went through the stage of debate in the Commons on the 21st of April. Mr. O'Connell declared, in a letter which found its way into the newspapers, that the preparation of the draft of the bill had been committed to him. This damaging declaration being noticed by the adverse members of the cabinet, was emphatically denied by the committee, who pledged themselves that no person out of the committee had had the smallest share in the preparation of the bill.

This bill was an immediate consequence of the avowal which the friends of the Catholics had found themselves bound to make in the preceding debate—that they were ready to support the Catholic claims when severed from their connection with the association. They were immediately taken at their word; and brilliant was the result. The debating was magnificent, or rather the outpouring of eloquence on one side; for all the strength was in one direction; and the majority by which the bill passed the Commons was 268 to 241. The bill proposed the repeal of disabilities; the enactment of a state provision for the Catholic clergy; and the raising of the Irish franchise qualification from 40s. to £10. It was supposed that by placing the first of these propositions between the other two—the advantage to the Catholics between an advantage to the state and one to the Protestant minority, who complained of being swamped by the Catholic majority at elections—the bill might be floated through parliament. The two latter provisions were called the wings of the bill; but they proved to be leaden wings. There was an outcry against both provisions too strong for even the popular O'Connell, who held the peace of Ireland in his hand. After having boasted that the bill was of his preparation, he could not deny his agreement to the obnoxious propositions. He made a recantation, and asked pardon of God and his country. Such an error and recantation may pass for once; and O'Connell's passed for this time.

After the division on the second reading of the bill in the Commons, the heir-presumptive made a bold stroke in the Lords to obtain its rejection there. In presenting a petition from the dean and canons of Windsor against the

commonplace in another sphere, while in his own the sentiment of Mr Plunket's words was making its way.

During the next session, that of 1826 nothing was done in parliament on the Catholic question beyond the presentation of petitions. The Lords had declared their opinion decisively enough for the present, and in the Commons, it was understood that the session would be dissolved, and that the better stand over for the parliament. The Catholics to meet the objection of the of the Catholics. It was an objection by the declaration, however extensively confirmed, that Catholics held an undivided allegiance to their king in civil affairs no one doubted this. The objection was, that their spiritual allegiance to the pope might at any time interfere with their civil allegiance to their king. The true way of meeting this objection was to render them easy and satisfied. If the pope really wished to make mischief between the Catholics and the British government, he could do it very effectually already, and with the more excuse the more they were wronged. To keep them in a state of exasperation by political exclusion was not the way to render them loyal, but rather to make the pope their partisan against their sovereign. The petitions of this session were therefore of little use. They did not truly meet the objection of one party, and were not needed by the other.

A new enmity became manifest this year. The Catholics and the Dissenters drew off from each other. The Dissenters were themselves suffering under disabilities which might naturally dispose them to sympathise with the Catholics and to work in their behalf. But they were, generally speaking lukewarm in the cause. It is not difficult to understand this, though the fact is not an agreeable one to contemplate. Like too large a majority of mankind the English Dissenters could feel deeply and argue clearly about the rights of conscience, when their own consciences were interfered with but too much affected by fear to see the full force of their abstract

reasonings when their own experience was not concerned. They were Protestants; they feared the pope and the ravages of superstition as much as their Protestant brethren within the Church pale; and the annual Indemnity Bill, which gave them practical freedom, saved them from sharing the exasperation of the Catholics under their legal disabilities. And they were not united with the Catholics in any hope from the influence of Mr. Canning; for Mr. Canning was as openly and fixedly their adversary as he was the advocate of the Catholics. Mr. Canning's opposition to the repeal of the Test Act remains a rebuke to the pride of human reason and to the confidence of hero-worship. Those who exulted in his clear view of the case of the Catholics, and his soundly principled advocacy of their claims, were perplexed and abashed by his indefensible and unaccountable refusal to apply the same sagacity and the same principles to the case of the disqualified Dissenters. And it was not for Mr. Canning to complain of the judgment which his inconsistency was sure to bring upon him; nor for his friends to wonder and lament if, after his death, such speculations as that of Lord Rossmore, in his *Letter on Catholic Emancipation*, dishonoured his memory, as far as the matter went. 'Is there no satisfactory reason,' says Lord Rossmore, 'why a mind like that of Mr. Canning should depart from his own general principles in the case of the Dissenters alone? May he not have reasoned thus? If I concede the wishes of the Dissenters separately, may I not weaken the common cause—the Dissenters not having much sympathy with the claims of the Catholics? But if I carry emancipation, I secure the repeal of the Test and Corporation Acts; for, if the former succeeds, the latter follows.' This is not like Canning—such a method of coercing one set of people, under false pretences, to further the emancipation of another. But, if this was not his reason, there is no saying what was. It remains a painful mystery.

There is much that is painful in the survey of the time and persons under our present notice. The Catholics were putting forth all their powers in preparation for the elections; and the full force of the influence of the priesthood was brought to bear upon the forty-shilling

freeholders, in a manner which made as complete a mockery of the representative system as was ever made by the Irish landlords who had covered their domains with small freeholds for their political convenience. Some of this class of Irish landlords were ejecting their tenants by wholesale, for their obedience to the priests in the elections, and the new Catholic Association was voting funds for the relief of the people thus left homeless. The Dissenters were holding off from aiding the Catholics, and the Catholic leaders were reviling the Dissenters. Mr Canning was doing wrong by the one body by the very act of doing right by the other. The Duke of York was endeavouring by a proceeding of extraordinary audacity, to achieve the dismissal of Mr Canning from the cabinet. He was naturally animated by the effect his speech had produced, and he saw as every one else did, what its operation

to obtain

the friends of the Catholics

He took

life of the king

1826, to address

the king on the subject of obtaining unity of opinion in the cabinet on the Catholic question. In this he was not likely to succeed after his attempt on the royal feelings in his late speech. The king had observed on that speech, in a good humoured way that the duke might have left out his reference to his possible accession to the throne as its present occupant did not mean to quit it. Preserving his good humour he still would hardly relish the duke's interference with the opinions and constitution of his cabinet. But it was unnecessary to do more than keep quiet, in relation to the duke for it was becoming clear that he would never more influence the politics of England or any other human affairs. To complete the circle of wrong-doers Mr O'Connell was treating the illness of the Duke of York in the following style. 'I wish no physical ill to the royal duke but if he has thrown his coat in the way of our liberties and that as long as he lives justice shall not be done to the people of Ireland it is mockery to tell me that the people of Ireland have not an interest in his ceasing to live. Death is the correction of human errors, it is said to be man's hour for repentance and God's opportunity. If the royal duke should not

become converted from his political errors, I am perfectly resigned to the will of God, and shall abide the result with 'the most Christian resignation.' This declaration was received with 'laughter and cheers.' To this pass were men brought—to such a state of principle and temper as this, all round, by the protraction of injury to one class of fellow-subjects. The consolation was in the moral certainty that an effectual change could not be far off. On the whole, the anti-Catholic interest seemed to have gained most in the elections; but some great single victories had been obtained on the side of emancipation; and the power of the Catholic Association had been so effectually proved, by the expulsion of the Beresfords from the representation of their own tenantry, and in some other instances, that it was clear that the struggle could not now end by any other means than being brought to an issue. It was becoming clear that the Duke of York would never reach the throne; and a general belief was arising that the cabinet was in process of conversion to the views of Mr. Canning. There was a persuasion, on the whole, prevalent in the country, that this new parliament was the last which would be occupied with the discussion of the Catholic question.

CHAPTER XI.

Chancery Reform—Government moves for Inquiry—³Report of Commissioners—Lord Eldon—Bill proposed—Jurors in India—Finance—Close of Session and Dissolution—The Elections.

IN the course of the last three sessions of this parliament, a reform was begun which the nation had for some time been peremptorily demanding; by its discontents, yet more than by its express petitions. The delay of justice in the Court of Chancery had become insufferable; and the time was come for proof whether the grievance could not be amended. Perhaps no narrative of a process of reform is more instructive than this, in showing how that

evoked by grievance, actions of human even human conscience when that conscience is not in enlightenment Among the movers against the evils of the Court of Chancery were some men who were not lawyers and who therefore naturally stated their case ignorantly, and there were some who were trained and practised in a different department of the law, and who were therefore ridiculed by equity lawyers for errors in the object and expression of their complaint The strong united will of the cabinet and of the equity lawyers was opposed to all entrance upon the subject And the conscience of the chancellor was so satisfied with the existing state of things that it resented any question of them, and at the same time, so tender that it winced under any inquiry into the discharge of business as under a personal injury Yet the inquiry went on, because it had become necessary The chancellor's friends laughed at the complaint of the locking up of large funds in Chancery for half a century together, alleging the cases in which property was truly in ward, and the dividends punctually paid, but there were cases in which no proceeds could be obtained The chancellor and his friends scorned the complaints of the expenses of the court showing that his income had never exceeded a certain amount, but the expenses were intolerable notwithstanding The government clearly proved an enormous increase of Chancery business within a certain period of Lord Eldon, but it re- not get their friends called 'our master,' for 'a curse to the country' yet, amidst the they worked together, under that inexorable Fate—the spirit of reform, evoked by grievance Thus it always happens, in the case of Hannen, and it would be well if we could learn the spirit of reform after the absurdity of the hand in the prosecution of a work which pays no heed to our infirmities

On the 4th of June, 1823, Mr. John Williams, afterwards one of the judges of the Queen's Bench, moved for an inquiry into the arrear of business in the Court of Chancery, and the appellate jurisdiction of the House of Lords, and the causes thereof. 'It now seemed to be conceded on all hands,' the mover declared, 'that evils of no ordinary magnitude existed, and that the present system could no longer go on without some amendment or improvement.' It appears that the chancellor himself was of the same mind with other people, as to the necessity of inquiry; for, within a month of Mr. Williams's motion, he communicated to the House of Lords his purpose of having a commission to inquire whether any, and what improvements could be made in the administration of the Court of Chancery. Yet, his wrath against the inquirers in the House of Commons seems to show that he would hardly have stirred at this time, if they had not stimulated him to do so. Throughout the whole affair, which extended over several years, he appears to have been unable, for a single moment, to regard it as anything but a personal matter. The complainants divided their informations into two parts; those which regarded the faulty constitution or arrangements of the courts, and those which related to the quality of the chancellor's mind, in which the tendency to doubt had become so strong as to overbear the fine faculties and attainments which otherwise fitted him eminently for his office. The debate on Mr. Williams's motion continued for two nights, and brought out enough of fact and opinion to assure the ministers that the subject would not drop till something was done. Their plea of the vast increase of Chancery business availed only to prove that matters could not go on as they were; and a broad hint to this effect was given in the introduction of a discussion about separating the judicial and political functions of the lord chancellor.

In the House of Lords it had been suggested in the preceding April to alter the method of hearing appeals there; and it was proposed by Lord Liverpool, on the 26th of June, that a deputy-speaker of the House of Lords should be appointed, and that five days in the week, instead of three, should be devoted to the hearing of appeals. The arrangement was made; but the chancellor could not let

the occasion passes without entering upon an exhibition of self assertion and self-defence, which not only lowered his dignity, and engaged the compassion of parliament, but proved to the movers in the question of Chancery reform that it must inevitably be made a personal matter, as the chancellor chose to regard it so, and the bickerings and evil-speaking which hence arose became very painful, and damaging alike to the character of the court and the progress of the question.

As the next session (of 1824) approached the chancellor grew uneasy, in apprehension of the renewal of the subject, and he applied to Mr Peel for the full support and protection of the cabinet. Mr Williams's motion was brought forward on the 24th of February. Lord Eldon observes upon it that every moment of negligence in an official course of twenty two years was noted, and that many of the complaints were perfectly new to him and his friends—in evident benefit already arising from the discussion, and a clear reason for prosecuting the inquiry. In answer to the motion for a committee Mr Peel moved for a commission such as the chancellor had proposed after the debate of the previous summer. This was what was wanted or something very like it and Mr Williams therefore withdrew his motion. The chancellor's own account of the matter is curious. 'At my instance therefore, Mr Peel, in a most admirable speech moved for such a commission as a great merit on my part in aiming at improvement instead of this committee of vengeance, and thus throw Mr Williams etc upon their backs, and they did not venture to divide. So for the present, this storm is over, and matters will be tolerable till the next begins to rage.'

Here was his mistake in thinking himself at liberty to stand still as soon as his enemies as he called them, were quieted by the pledges of his friends. As soon as the ults were called for he considered it a new onslaught of the foe and fortified himself in obstinacy accordingly, so as to place his colleagues in a situation of great difficulty. He gives his view in a letter of the date of February 27, 1824. 'The fact is from year to year, party is attacking to drive me out of the chancery-hill. God knows I shall

'be very happy if I had nothing to do with it. If these malignant attacks had not been made against me, year after year, I should have been in retirement; but to hatred, malice, and uncharitableness, I will not give way. I will not gratify those who revile me. My rule through life has been to do what I think right, and to leave the consequences to God.' Strange words these last—given in the same breath with the declaration that he remained in office only because others wished him out of it! And this pettishness and self-will become nothing less than shocking when we consider on whom the eyes and minds of the movers in parliament were really fixed: not on an aged judge, whom they wished to insult and displace from gratuitous malice; but on the impoverished orphan, the sunken widow, the broken-spirited gentleman, whose lives were passed in vain hope, or listless despair, of getting justice from the court which assumed to be their protector. It was impossible to think much of Lord Eldon's complacencies, or tears, or self-pity, while vast estates lay waste and weed-grown, and whole tenantries sank down into pauperism under the blight of the Court of Chancery.

In the session of 1825, it had become apparent that the stir had not been without its use. It was now admitted on all hands that improvement was needed. The commission of the preceding year had collected a vast amount of evidence, but had not reported. There was a demand in the Commons that the evidence should be printed, without waiting for the report—a demand which, was, of course, unacceptable to the lord chancellor and the other members of the government. The correspondence between the premier and the chancellor on this occasion shows how urgent the demand for Chancery reform had become, and how much more important it was than it could have been rendered by any mere enmity against the judge of the court. Meantime, that judge was strengthening himself against his enemies, instead of making them friends by working with them in a good cause. 'Lord Stowell,' he says, 'called on Wednesday very kindly to express his hope that Williams and Co. had not on Tuesday disturbed my peace of mind. They certainly did not. . . . But,

thank God, I am well in health, and in mind I grow more easy and callous' The correspondence with the premier took place on occasion of an order recorded in the journal of the Commons on the 30th of June 'that there be laid before this House a list of all causes that have been heard by the lord chancellor, during the last eighteen years, wherein judgment has not yet been given, specifying the time when heard, comprising all petitions in cases of bankruptcy, already heard, but not decided' The chancellor was highly incensed, and applied to the ministers for information why such an order should have been permitted to pass, and whether or not he was to be protected by his colleagues His colleagues advised him to despise his enemies and to keep quiet But he could do neither as he avowed in a letter to Lord Liverpool in the following November, in which he repeated his complaints and demands, concluding with a threat of retiring on the meeting of parliament Lord Liverpool's reply advises the chancellor to wait at least till the obnoxious motions should be renewed, declares the intention of the ministers to oppose it, by the mouth of Mr Peel adding 'But in order to make it possible for him to carry his intention into effect, the report of the commission of inquiry must be ready, and be laid before parliament immediately upon its meeting I let me entreat you, therefore to spare no effort for the completion of this report without further delay It is really become a question of vital importance, and there is no inconvenience that ought not to be incurred for the attainment of this object Independent of the complaint of neglect, and of the suspicion, which the very delay in making the report occasions the report is really necessary, in order to enable ministers in the House of Commons to resist effectually the unjustifiable attacks daily made upon the Court of Chancery I hope I do not appear to press this matter with too much importunity, but I am so deeply sensible of its importance that I should not do my duty if I did not urge it in the strongest manner. Let us but have the report, and all other difficulties may be fairly encountered, but without that, no person in the present heated state of the public mind upon the subject, can answer for the consequence'

• In truth, while the chancellor was thanking God that he was well in health, and growing more easy and callous in mind every day, the same was far from being the case with the imprisoned debtors, the impoverished widows and orphans, and the broken-spirited gentlemen who were suffering under the practical denial of justice by his court. The damp was spreading in the houses, and the weeds growing in the fields of the estates shut up by his delays; and the workhouses were receiving more and more of the paupers who ought to have been cheerful labourers on those estates. The introduction of the subject into parliament two years before had roused some hope; and with hope came restlessness, and the deferred hope was becoming as dangerous as the premier intimated in his letter.

On the 18th of April, a petition from one of the sufferers was presented to the House, and another on the 21st; and on both occasions the court and the judge were attacked with great vehemence. Instead of retiring, however, as Lord Eldon had declared his intention of doing, on occasion of the expected stir, he preferred keeping himself 'easy and callous.' 'The chancellor,' says his biographer, 'was now become so far familiar with these annoyances as to endure them with considerable good-humour'—a good-humour which was not reciprocated by the other parties in the case, in the jail and the workhouse, and among the damps and weeds of dilapidated mansions. There was hope for them, however. The commissioners' report was ready; and it not only declared that the Court of Chancery had faults, and was capable of great improvement, but offered 187 propositions, containing the alterations in the practice of the courts which might, in the opinion of the commissioners, be adopted with advantage. As it was known that the attorney-general was to introduce a bill founded on the report, the subject was dropped for a month, but not till the opinion of the public was effectually declared and recorded in the House, and in the reports of its debates. That opinion, at the date of its utterance, is an item of history which ought not to be passed over. It may be most briefly conveyed in the words of Mr. Grenfell, spoken on the 18th of April, after some clamour in the House against Mr. Hume, who had said that

he thought it the greatest curse that ever fell on any nation to have such a chancellor, and such a Court of Chancery, as this country was visited with. 'Mr Grenfell said that he was not in the House when the words which caused this discussion were used. If his honourable friend had stated that the lord chancellor was a curse to the country, he had done that which was not altogether becoming in him, or any other member, to do. If his honourable friend had said that the Court of Chancery was a curse to the country, he had stated that which no man conversant with the subject could deny. It was only stating the current opinion of ninety-nine men out of every hundred. And he would tell the House the reason he had for holding that sentiment. It was because, by the practice of that court, a rich man was enabled to oppress, injure, and ruin a poor man. It was a mere engine of oppression, and, constituted as that court was, it was not too much to say that it was a curse to the country.' This being in the general opinion the state of the case, the 187 propositions of the commissioners might not be too many for the reforms needed. One of the hopeful and pleasant circulations of the report out to the conduct of

of the world. It showed what he could do when his mind was turned from its self regards to business of real interest and importance. Dr Lushington declared that, from the beginning to the end of the investigation, the lord chancellor had afforded the most material assistance to the commissioners. His connection with the commissioners had left 'a most favourable impression with regard to the learning, intelligence, and integrity of the noble lord. So far from ever seeking to check inquiry, he had done every thing to promote and forward it.'

The chief complaint made in the House and out of it, about the report was that it passed over in silence the causes of past delays of justice. This was believed by some to be attributable to the chancellor's influence. There is little doubt that it arose from the tacit agreement in all minds, that these delays were caused by the peculiar quality of Lord Eldon's mind, that hesitation and overcaution

• which made him, in his own time, the popular personification of doubt, and which made him, in his judicial capacity, so strange a contrast with himself in his political function, where he appeared rash in the extreme, in the obstinacy of his dogmatism. In his judicial function, where his business was to decide, he was ever doubtful and hesitating; while, in his political function, wherein he was called upon rather to confer than to decide, he was to the last degree oracular and peremptory. This was understood by everybody; and the commissioners relied upon that knowledge. It was also understood by everybody, that it was too late now to alter the quality of the chancellor's mind. It was known that he was seventy-four years of age, and that he must soon surrender the seals either to the king or to the King of kings; and it was hoped that a decorous silence on this point might, without injury, be preserved, from due respect to the grey hairs of the old judge. Dr. Lushington passed over this point as lightly as he could. He observed, that 'any person who read the evidence would see that every witness was asked what was the cause of the delay, and also what were the best remedies for it. He was aware that some of them had felt great reluctance to answer that question; but he contended that the commissioners could not have gone further, unless they had purposely sought for matter to criminate the lord chancellor. Having said thus much, he would proceed.'

It was on the 18th of May that the attorney-general moved for leave to bring in his Chancery Reform Bill, founded upon the report of the commissioners. It was not discussed, as the dissolution of parliament was known to be at hand; and it was understood that the motion proposed merely to lay the subject before the country, and recommend it to the succeeding parliament. Some correspondence among the ministers in the course of the autumn shows, not only their willingness to carry through such reform as should be decided on by the new parliament, but their anxiety to be ready for co-operation by having the requisite funds provided, or offered for purposes of compensation under the new arrangements which were contemplated. Thus was the great question of Chancery reform not only stirred, in the course of these three years,

but brought up to the point of legislative action before the dissolution of the expiring parliament.

Little more was done than has been already shown, during the last session of this parliament. The session was shortened by the approaching dissolution, and men's minds had little liberty from the engrossing subjects of the commercial crisis and the Catholic question. Many topics were more or less fully discussed, but their issues lay in future years. One decision, however, was made, with regard to the administration of justice in India, which is important enough to be recorded. By the words of the law, all British subjects were competent to serve on juries in India, but, by a custom now become too deeply rooted to be overthrown but by an express law, the half-caste population of India, now very numerous, were held disqualified as jurors, under the idea that they were not British subjects. By a bill passed this session, all 'good and sufficient' residents were declared competent to serve on juries—with the one reservation, that only Christian jurors should sit on the trials of Christians. Prejudice is ever stronger than law, and time and enlightenment must be waited for before our dark skinned fellow subjects in India could enjoy their due equality in the administration of justice, but the law had now done what it could in declaring the rights of the half-caste population, and further benefit might be hoped for, from occasion being taken, by the introduction of the bill, to point attention to the good done in Ceylon by the free admission of natives to serve on juries, under the administration of Sir Alexander Johnston.

With regard to matters of finance there was rather more than the usual amount of variation between the pictures offered by the chancellor of the exchequer and opposition members. In the midst of the unquestionable and fearful distress of 1820, the chancellor of the exchequer continued to attract to himself his nickname of Prosperity Robinson. Every session—no matter whether the political weather was fair or foul—he came down to the House exulting in his budget, exulting that his most sanguine expectations had been surpassed, or that his calculations had been unaffected by the misfortunes of the times. The opposition

members answered him with words of lamentation and foreboding; lamentation at the deteriorating condition of the working-classes, and forebodings that they would sink yet further, under the pressure of taxation. Superficial readers and hearers were amazed at so wide a difference of statement on what appeared to be a matter of figures. But figures have no more chance of being right than the merest conjectures, unless the premises on which they are to operate are well ascertained and agreed upon; and the chancellor of the exchequer and his critics proceeded from different premises, and resorted to different tests to discover the real condition of the country. Mr. Robinson had taken off taxes: all agreed that this was well. He had found that the reduced taxes had yielded more revenue just in proportion to their reduction: wise men agreed that this was natural and right. He gloried in the excess of revenue above his calculations, and proceeded to take off more taxes: wise men agreed to his proceeding, but questioned the grounds of his exultation. He argued, from the increase in the revenue, a vast improvement in the condition of the people—an improvement commensurate with the increase of revenue: and here wise men thought him wrong. The difference was, that Mr. Robinson compared the yield of the revenue merely with its yield in former years. His opponents considered also the great increase in the number of consumers. And a wide difference it was that there was room for here. All who took this element into their calculations, thought Mr. Robinson wrong: some believed that the condition of the people was, on the whole, actually deteriorating; some that it was only not improving; some that it was improving more slowly than it ought to do; and nowhere was any party found to sympathise fully in the exultation of the chancellor of the exchequer at this time. After the census of 1831, it was found that, taking the nation all round, each person consumed one-seventh more of the necessaries and comforts of life which come under the heads of taxation, than at the beginning of the century; this small improvement having taken place chiefly during the latter years of this period. Such a fact is full of promise and satisfaction in itself; but the proportion of it

which was true in 1826 would have been grievously disappointing to the chancellor of the exchequer—disappointing to his benevolence, even more than to his pride.

The reductions which the chancellor of the exchequer found himself enabled to propose in 1825 were on hemp, coffee, wine, spirits, and cider, and some of the assessed taxes which pressed on industry, and on the comfort of the working-classes; among which, the most important were the house-tax on inhabited houses under £10 rent, and the window-duty on houses not having more than seven windows. An effort was made by Mr. Hobhouse to get the whole window-duty

state, and when parliament was about to be dissolved, the chancellor of the exchequer passed in review our whole financial system for the preceding ten years, declaring that there had been a reduction of taxation to the amount of twenty-seven millions and a half since the peace. Some opposition members—Mr. Maberley, Mr. Hume, and Mr. Hobhouse the foremost—protested against the statement that there had been any reduction at all, the increase in the number of tax-payers so far exceeding the relief, as that multitudes had been deprived of the use of articles of comfort and luxury who had formerly enjoyed them. Thus, though the yield of the duties on comforts and luxuries had so increased as to occasion the reduction of some of them, the enjoyment of these comforts by individuals had considerably lessened, and the country was therefore, if judged of by its consumption, in a declining state. The object of this opposition was to obtain a revision of government expenditure, and a reduction in many national establishments. The object was not obtained; the House of Commons throwing out by a large majority the forty seven resolutions offered by Mr. Hume, and the motion founded upon them. The sum of the resolutions was, 'That the continued pressure of taxation has greatly increased the privations and distress of the productive, industrious, and labouring classes of the community;' and the resulting motion was for an address to

the crown, praying that his majesty 'would be graciously pleased to take into his consideration the present alarming state of the country, and to direct an immediate inquiry to be made into the causes of the existing distress, and the adoption of measures calculated to bring it to as speedy a termination as possible, and to prevent its further spreading.' The motion was lost by a majority of 152 to 51, on the 4th of May, within a month of the dissolution of parliament. A more curious instance can scarcely be found than in the addresses of Prosperity Robinson and Adversity Hume, of the opposite conclusions which may be drawn from a view of a statistical subject, where the figures were indisputable on both sides—as far as they went. The discrepancy lay in the want of a common ground on which to base their calculations. The existing parliament, it is clear, thought the chancellor of the exchequer altogether in the right. In the poor-law inquiry of subsequent years, it came out that all who had congratulated the nation on a pervading spread and increase of material prosperity had been widely mistaken.

On the 31st of May, the session was closed by commission, the speech declaring 'that, the state of the public business enabling his majesty to close the session at a period of the year the most convenient for a general election, it is his majesty's intention to dissolve, without delay, the present parliament, and to direct the issue of writs for the calling of a new one.' The speech announced peace with the Burmese; declared that every endeavour had been used to preserve peace among the nations in both hemispheres; and expressed deep concern at the distresses of the manufacturing classes at home, admiration at the patience with which those distresses had been generally borne, and a hope that the pressure was gradually giving way.

Thus was dismissed the seventh parliament of the United Kingdom, after a duration of six sessions. It had done some great things. The Commons had not had the opportunity of protecting the queen further than by announcing that they were ready to protect her, for her case had never reached them; but such indications as they had been able to give were on the right side. The

great work of parliamentary reform had begun with the enlargement of the representation of Yorkshire, and that of the abolition of slavery with the issue of the celebrated circular to the West India colonies. Our country had been ennobled in the eyes of the world by the foreign policy of Mr Canning, enthusiastically sanctioned by parliament, and broad foundations had been laid for friendship with mankind at large, and prosperity at home, by a practical admission of the principles of free-trade. There had been a reduction of taxation, considerable, though less than men of a later time would have achieved during ten years of peace. These were things actually done. A considerable, but indefinite progress had been made towards other great achievements which were sure to be effected in time. Nothing was done for national education, for Catholic emancipation, for emigration, for Chancery reform, for the repeal of the corn laws, or for general parliamentary reform; but these great topics had been discussed and some of them diligently studied, and all clear sighted men knew that they were ripening for fruition, through all the gales of passion and frosts of indifference which retarded their growth. There could be no doubt that the country was in an advancing state, however severe the visitations of distress under which it was labouring at the end of the six years' term, and however fearful the turbulence of some districts and classes from the withholding of political rights on the ground of religion. Much as there was yet to be done and undone, the improvement in our political state since 1820 was very striking. The cabinet was liberalised, and still liberalising, and, in the train of the cabinet, the king. The House of Commons had grown wiser by its six years' experience, and under the influence of the genius of Mr Canning—imperfect as was that statesman's fidelity to his own genius in some points of high importance. And now, there was every reason to hope that the new parliament would be an improvement upon its predecessor, and that the light which had been shed abroad in the diffusion of improved principles of policy would appear with some effectual concentration in the new House, arranging their present affairs, and deciding their

future destiny, with a clearer and more comprehensive knowledge than hitherto.

The principal topics set up for tests at the elections were the corn-laws and Catholic emancipation; and, more partially, the abolition of slavery. The anti-Catholic strength rather gained than lost by the perturbation of the time. The uncompromised candidates said, with regard to the corn-laws, what was usually said in those days—that they would agree to what should be best for both grower and consumer; and the anti-slavery test did not obtain much support. There was an opposition talked of to Mr. Huskisson at Liverpool; but the enemies of free-trade could not find a candidate. Lord Howick and Mr. Beaumont failed in Northumberland; and Mr. Brougham in Westmoreland, where the Lowther interest put forth its strength. Some of the Radical demagogues tried their chance; or rather, as Cobbett avowed, did their best to empty the purses of certain of the aristocracy. Cobbett himself stood for Preston, and polled nearly 1000 votes; and Hunt opposed Sir Thomas Lethbridge in Somersetshire; of course, unsuccessfully. Lord John Russell failed in Huntingdonshire; and the Bedford interest altogether succumbed for the time to the anti-Catholic spirit. As has been mentioned, the priests were active in Ireland, and wrought wonders—overpowering the Beresford interest in Waterford.

One circumstance which makes the elections of 1826 memorable to those engaged in them was the excessive heat of the season. Deaths from sun-stroke were not confined to labourers in the field and on the road, but extended to persons engaged in the elections. There was difficulty in obtaining grass for horses, and even water for thirsty agents and electors. The effect of the drought upon the crops and the markets has been mentioned; and the consequent early summoning of the new parliament, in order to confirm the necessary alteration in the duties, and to grant an indemnity to ministers for that alteration. As there was an average crop of wheat, and a very abundant one of potatoes, the alarm and inconvenience caused by the drought of the summer were not of long duration.

CHAPTER XII.

IN casting the eye over the chronicles of these years, nothing is so painfully impressive as the frequent records of capital punishments. Even in these recent days, men were brought out upon the scaffold in batches, and hanged in rows. Boys of seventeen, hired for the adventure of *stealing sheep or to pass forged notes* were hanged with the strong bodied burglar, and the hoary old corner. The day before an execution, the jail was crowded with the families of the doomed men, come to bid them farewell. Six or eight wives together, who are to be widows to-morrow, fifteen or twenty children, who are to be orphans to-morrow, these were the moaning and weeping reprovers of our law so barbarous at so late a day! Some ameliorations in the law had, as we know, taken place; but still, men were brought out in batches, and hanged in rows. The number of executions was fearfully on the increase, and yet it was universally known that so much impunity was allowed on account of the severity of the law, as materially to weaken the authority of law, and encourage crime.

In 1826, a discovery was made of a gang of banditti who led a romantic life in Gloucestershire. In the neighbourhood of Wickwar, the inhabitants had suffered cruelly for seven years under incessant depredations, and the consequent pains of insecurity. The thefts were so various and vast as to indicate the co-operation of a large number of persons, but none of the stolen property was ever traced, nor any thief ever recognised. The police at last were set to arrest, almost at a venture a family of the name of Mills—an old man and his wife, and their son

sons; and the confession of these people revealed the whole. The gang consisted of forty or fifty thieves, of whom thirty-one were immediately arrested. They had found or made a subterranean cavern of some extent, which was entered by a hole behind the fireplace in Mills' cottage—the large pot concealing the aperture. Nearly fifty pounds' worth of half-crowns was found there; no less than twenty fitches of bacon, and furniture, cloth, and farm produce in plenty.

The romance of smuggling was expiring at the close of the period we have traversed. From the date of Mr. Huskisson's measures coming into operation, such tales of adventure began to decline. The plain prose of the matter is, that smuggling does not answer when duties are reduced to 30 per cent. *ad valorem*; and the poetry of the case was henceforth to be found in fictions of a preceding time, and in the traditionary tales which haunt the Christmas hearth. The mournful romance of the game-laws remained, however. In that direction, men might still look for midnight murder, the raging of base passions, the filling of the jails, and the corruption of the peasant's home.

Within this period, the last remaining stocks in London—those belonging to St. Clement Danes in Portugal Street—were removed. This ancient instrument of punishment was henceforth to be looked for only in the by-places of England—in some nook of a village, or under some old park-paling—green with lichens, and splintering away under rain and wind, or the pranks of children, playing with we boards and the holes which were once so awful. A new instrument of punishment had been previously introduced in jails—the tread-wheel, the very name of which was presently rendered detestable by the abuse of the invention. New inventions are usually stretched beyond due bounds; and this was the case with the tread-wheel. Not only men who had been unaccustomed to such muscular exertion as is necessary for ascending an interminable flight of stairs—which the work of the tread-wheel in fact is—were condemned to the same amount of treading as the most hardy, but women were put upon the wheel, long after the time which afforded ample proof that this was work totally unfit

It might appear to a stranger from another hemisphere a strange thing that we should boast of our Christian civilisation, while we had such a spectacle to show as was seen even at a later time than this. An elderly lady, of good station and fortune, might be seen on the tread wheel in Coldbath Fields prison, with her hair cut close—for the

to write this fact and

the truths of the time must be told. During this period, the tread wheel was in high repute, and the punishment might be applied at the discretion of the justices of the peace connected with each prison, and it was some time before many of them had the discretion to see and admit the gross inequality of the punishment and therefore its essential badness when applied indiscriminately. It was employed chiefly for raising water and grinding corn, and sometimes the convicts were punished over and above their sentence, by the mockery of being compelled to turn the wheel, to no purpose whatever.

In Ireland the crimes of the early part of this period were as savage and atrocious as in any portion of the history of that unhappy country. It was in 1821 that the murder of the Sher family took place on the borders of Tipperary, when the whole farmhouse and offices were burned and seventeen persons thrust back into the flames, as often as they attempted to escape. The seventeen were the farmer himself and his wife seven children, three female servants and five labourers. The only offence alleged was that Sher had brought labourers from a neighbouring village to dig his potatoes when his own tenants would neither pay their rent nor work it out. After the formation of the Catholic Association there was a rapid diminution of crimes of outrage and the leaders of the association were no doubt justified in claiming the credit of the improvement. There is no ground for disputing their claim to have pacified the Catholic peasant population of Ireland for the time.

In England, evidences of popular ignorance abounded during this period. In one place or another, from time to time, there was a demolition of machinery, sometimes power looms, and sometimes thrashing machines, at Liverpool

meet with one or two instances of the stack-burning which became a rage some years afterwards. Instances of fanaticism abound: the Holy-Land Pilgrims—a sect of men who gave up their industry, and sold their property, to go to Jerusalem to meet the Lord; the followers of Joanna Southcote; the flying serpent of Dorsetshire and Devonshire, which, in the shape of a black blight, poisoned the air; the sorcerer, Isaac Stebbings, who was ducked in a Suffolk village, in the presence of thousands; the drowning of children, ‘to put the fairy out of them;’ and the desertion of Carmarthen fair, on the ground of the ancient prophecy of Merlin, that the town should be destroyed on the 12th of August, 1824; the cutting and carving of a witch at Taunton; and, above all, the sensation about the miracles of Prince Hohenlohe. It is observable, however, that a large proportion of such popular delusion lies at the door of scientific and professional men, who ignore a class of facts which demand their serious attention; which stand out clearly as facts under the cognizance of society; and which, till scientifically investigated, will continue to afford material for popular fanaticism. The sympathies and operations of Prince Hohenlohe have never been explained away, to the satisfaction of philosophical minds, by the common talk of imposture and the influence of imagination; and they never can be, any more than the phenomena of somnambulism, second-sight, prevision, and presentiments, which are found in all ages of the world, and all states of society. One of the greatest of physical inquirers, who died soon after this period, has left behind him a testimony which should be taken home as a lesson by those whose business it is to explore the mysteries of the human frame. Sir Humphry Davy says, in his *Dialogue on Omens*: ‘In my opinion, profound minds are the most likely to think lightly of the resources of human reason; and it is the pert superficial thinker who is generally strongest in every kind of unbelief. The deep philosopher sees chains of causes and effects so wonderfully and strangely linked together, that he is usually the last person to decide upon the impossibility of any two series of events being independent of each other; and in science, so many natural miracles, as it were, have been brought to

night. that the physical inquirer is seldom disposed to assert confidently on any abstruse subjects belonging to the order of natural things, and still less so on those relating to the more mysterious relations of moral events and intellectual natures' When scientific men, and those whose profession pledges them to the pursuit of physiological science, are open minded and earnest enough to admit and study mysterious facts which occur before their eyes, popular fanaticism about sorcery and inspiration may give way, but, till this happens, not even the widest spread of popular education will give more than a check to the cruel follies of superstition.

One class of the violences of this period arose from the practice of body snatching. No sufficient provision was as yet made by law for the practice of dissection, a practice necessitated by the demands of science. Before it could be foreseen what this necessity must become, an unfortunate arrangement had been made, by which disgrace and horror were associated with the process of examining the human body after death. The bodies of criminals were devoted for this purpose, and much time, and vigorous effort on the part of individuals, were required to overcome the prejudice thus originated. Meantime, as bodies must be had, there was nothing for it but taking them from the churchyards by night, a painful fear was spread over the whole class of survivors of those who were buried in the ordinary way, and affrays and police-cases in consequence, appear frequently in the records of the time.

The period under review was far behind our own in regard to liberty of thought, speech, and the press. The influence which had deprived the poet Shelley of the guardianship of his own children and the state of public opinion which had countenanced that outrage upon nature, were still paramount, and we find a multitude of prosecutions for blasphemy, as well as for sedition, taking place, and the law refusing its protection to literary property, on account

therein
refused

nly representative
ron's publisher was
protect a part of

Lord Byron's from being pirated, on the ground of its

appearing to contain blasphemous matter. This was not precisely the way to restrict the circulation of the poem; and thus it was bad as a matter of policy. Moreover, as the author wrote to the publisher: 'Cain is nothing more than a drama, not a piece of argument.' We of the present day should add, that the law acts with tyranny and impolicy when it suppresses 'argument' on any subject whatever. In the same year, protection against piracy was refused by the lord chancellor to the *Lectures* of Mr. Lawrence, the eminent surgeon, a work of 600 pages on physiological subjects. The author was debarred from the fruits of his labour on the ground that some passages of the book discountenanced the doctrine of the immortality of the soul. The lord chancellor thus did what he could to promote the circulation of cheap copies of a book which he considered dangerous. In the opinion of a subsequent time, he did a more dangerous thing, in discouraging freedom of research and of speech among men of science, who cannot work well in their function under the pressure of foregone conclusions and the threat of outlawry. As Messrs. Shadwell and Wilbraham observed, in their pleading on the case, the liberty of the press was materially involved in the question; but as the event proved, the liberty of the press must give way before the force of the chancellor's 'conscience' on matters of opinion.

In the next year, Susanna Wright was brought up for judgment, for having been instrumental in publishing a libel on the Christian religion. 'She was neatly dressed, but appeared to have suffered in health from the imprisonment she had undergone.' She was sentenced to eighteen months' imprisonment in Coldbath Fields prison, to pay a fine of £100, and find sureties at the end of the term, under pain of a longer imprisonment.

In the next year, eight shopmen of Richard Carlile were sentenced to various terms of imprisonment, and to fines, for selling, in their employer's shop, Paine's *Age of Reason*, and three other works termed 'irreligious.' The results of this course of action soon proved to reasonable people that prosecutions like these did not tend to ennoble and endear Christianity to the very classes

to be reached by these proscribed books. The Christianity of the state appeared in a tyrannical and most unlovable aspect, when it impoverished and imprisoned the needy and hard working for offences against itself, and thus a new stimulus was given to the appetite for libel against Christianity. The courts of law, thus employed, were doing more for the dishonour of religion than was ever done by the contempt of the ignorant, and the invectives of the discontented who had no knowledge of Christianity but in its abuses and could not, therefore, influence any who had. Mr Cobbett had reckoned on a greater prevalence of admiration for Thomas Paine than he found in England. He imported the bones of his favourite writer, in the expectation that they would be run after by sight-seers and purchasers who regarded Christianity as Paine did, and would receive his bones as saintly relics. But nothing came of it. The public laughed and a niece of Paine's was naturally very angry, but Cobbett was made a bankrupt about that time. the bones were not exhibited, nor heard of again.

The London Mechanics' Institute was founded in 1821, and in the next year was laid the first stone of the lecture-theatre. In 1825, the number of regular subscribers was 1185. In this year, there was a meeting of 120 gentlemen, who desired the formation of a university in London, to meet the wants of students who were precluded, either by religious opinion or mediocrity of fortune from attending the existing universities. 'The object of the institution is' said the prospectus, 'to bring the means of a complete scientific and literary education home to the doors of the inhabitants of the metropolis so that they may be enabled to educate their sons at a very moderate expense and under their own immediate and constant superintendence.' There are no incidents of the period under notice more cheering than these. It is true, neither of these institutions meets the great want of all—the education of the absolutely ignorant, who form the largest proportion of society in England. But both aid in preparing the way to this all important object. The latter University educates a host of young men of the middle class, who, from generation to generation, must exalt the

standard of education among the great body of Dissenters, hitherto but half educated at the best; and who become the moving spirits of large classes which had hitherto lain below the surface of the prevalent learning of the time. And the value of mechanics' institutes in exciting and training the intellects of the fathers of the next generation of artisans and operatives can hardly be overestimated. It is impossible but that the members of these institutes must be more anxious to procure education for their children, than if the advantages and charms of museums, libraries, lectures, and reading-rooms had not been opened to themselves. At the time of the establishment of these institutes, the chief advantage contemplated was the most obvious one—of opening means of knowledge to working-men who desired it; but we, of a somewhat later time, see a yet more important result accruing, in the exaltation of the idea of education in the popular mind, and the quickening of parental as well as personal desires for knowledge. The honour of originating these institutions belongs to Dr. Birkbeck more than to any other man; and to Mr. Brougham also great gratitude was throughout felt to be due. Dr. Birkbeck had been preparing for the great event of 1823 from the beginning of the century, by bringing together classes and audiences of working-men for instruction by lectures and mutual communication. His influence, and that of his coadjutors, always went to rouse the people to do the work for themselves, and not to wait for patronage or aid from the state. The response he met was hearty. Men of influence and high character presented themselves as leaders; and master mechanics and operatives flocked to the movement. Two-thirds of the committee of the London Mechanics' Institute were working-men; and a continually larger proportion of that class became directors, till, in eleven years from its formation, the directors were chosen altogether by and from the general body, with no other restriction than certain conditions of membership. In a short time, many large towns—Manchester, Liverpool, Sheffield, Coventry, &c.—opened mechanics' institutes; and then they spread into the central settlements of rural districts, where, by the establishment of branches, the circulation of

books could be carried on. At Chichester, an institute numbered 400 members, and had two branches—at Bognor and Selsey, and at Looe there were 200 subscribers. The men of the present generation may well distinguish the year 1823 with a mark of honour in the catalogue of their years.

After the close of the war, and two deficient harvests in succession, government had taken alarm at the number of unemployed labourers who burdened the country, and made a feeble attempt to relieve society at home by encouraging emigration. They conveyed a small number of settlers to South Africa, and established them there. By the custom house returns, which are not very reliable, but the only data we have relative to that time, it appears that the sufferers took the matter very much into their own hands—the number of emigrants to South Africa falling very short of that to our North American colonies, and soon appearing far below that to Australia. In 1820, according to these returns nearly 15,000 persons emigrated to our North American colonies, while 1063 were conveyed to the Cape. As for the Australian settlements the number of emigrants to them increased nearly threefold between 1821 and 1826. The total amount of emigration is seen to correspond with the state of affairs at home. In the sad years of 1820 and 1821, it was—leaving out the odd numbers—12,000 and 13,000. In the prosperous years of 1824 and 1825, it sank to 8000 and 9000, and in the disastrous year 1826, it suddenly rose to nearly 14,000, of whom nearly 13,000 went to our North American settlements. These are facts which clearly point out the duty of the state. There is evidently no question about whether emigration shall proceed, no use in arguing now about whether it is a good thing or not. It proceeds, at its rate of procedure corresponds with the state of affairs at home. The question is, whether it shall go on well or ill, under kindly or cruel circumstances. In those days it was common, we might say usual, in the bad years for the labourer to land on the distant shore with nothing but his empty hands, and his tribe of hungry children at his heels. We shall see hereafter what has been done in regard to the question, whether such at all went and to be

the method of British emigration, or whether every one who goes out shall set forth with an assurance of finding, at the end of his voyage, wherewithal to make a home—land or employment, food, and a place in society. As we have seen, a committee of parliament was inquiring on this great question, at the expiration of the period under review.

A foreigner might point to the state of the chief insurance office in England at this time, as a curious illustration of the prudential character of the English mind. The Equitable Insurance Office, though the chief, is only one among many in London; and the number in the country has been perpetually on the increase. In 1825, the vested capital of the Equitable was upwards of eleven millions; and of this amount, nearly nine millions had accumulated in twenty-one years. In 1821, the sums insured against fire, in the United Kingdom, amounted to more than £400,000,000. There are no means of knowing precisely the amount of money on life-insurance in the hands of the offices of the kingdom; but it is believed to amount to forty millions. In looking at these facts as an indication of national character, we must bear in mind that the amount of insurance of life and from fire would undoubtedly have been much larger throughout, but for the indefensible tax which has ever acted as a discouragement to this wise method of saving.

The progress of the arts of life during this period was such as to answer to all reasonable expectation. In May 1820, a young lady under age received by her trustees a sum of between £26,000 and £27,000, as compensation for the loss of custom at Bangor Ferry; which ferry had, up to this time, yielded the young lady £900 a year. This was in preparation for the erection of the Menai Bridge, which was opened on the 30th of January, 1826, at half-past one in the morning. The resident engineer undertook to conduct the mail across; and he had for his staff as many persons as could hang upon the coach. 'Amidst the blaze of lamps, the cheers of those assembled, and the roaring of a heavy gale of wind, the gates were thrown open, and the mail passed triumphantly across.' There was a throng on the bridge throughout the next day; and

truly it was a work worthy of admiration. The height from the high-water line was 100 feet; and the length of the chains was 1600 feet.

The first chain-bridge in Great Britain, however, had been completed nearly six years before. It was the work of Captain S. Brown, R.N., and was thrown across the Tweed where the width of the river was 437 feet from bank to bank. In 1822, the Caledonian Canal was opened, after the labour of twenty years, and the sum of £900,000 had been spent upon it. The canal might or might not turn out a good speculation; but there could be no doubt of the character of the population of the wastes along its course having changed remarkably in the progress of the work. Regular and well-paid employment, and intercourse with able workmen brought from a distance, had roused them from a state of torpor and ignorance, and given them habits of industry and pleasures of intelligence, never dreamed of before.

On the 12th of September, 1823, the Bridge-house committee, in contemplation of a new London Bridge, met at Guildhall to consult, and adjourned to the top of Fishmongers' Hall, to look about them, and determine where they would put their new bridge. It was to be as near to the old one as possible, and the old bridge was to stand till the new one was completed. The first stone was laid in June 1825, by the lord mayor, in the presence of the Duke of York. Mr. Rennie, the architect, was the true hero of the day. At the close of our period the works were in great

In 1823, w
gas in the
40,000 public gas-lamps were lighted by one in
companies

In 1826, the Thames Tunnel was furly begun—the first shaft having been actually united with the commencement of the tunnel.

Cambridge University was henceforth to have an observatory, the senate having decreed, in 1820, that one should be built, and furnished with instruments—voting on the spot £5000 towards the cost.

• The Faculty of Advocates at Edinburgh purchased, in 1825, a fine Danish library from Copenhagen; and in the next year, the Astorga library, the finest collection of Spanish books of law, chronicles, and romance, existing out of Spain. This library, founded by the Marquis Astorga, Viceroy of Portugal under the administration of Olivarez, consisted of 8000 volumes, and was purchased for £3000.

In 1821 arrived the first Egyptian obelisk seen in this country. It was one of the pair standing at the entrance of the avenue to the temple at Philæ, the Holy Island of the Nile, on the borders of Nubia. It is of great value, from the curious matter contained in its inscriptions, which could not be read in London at the time it was brought over; and the privilege of possessing it seems to be enhanced by its having been very nearly lost in the act of removal. A pier on the river-bank gave way under its weight, and it slipped into the Nile; but Belzoni, the traveller, recovered it very skilfully; and we next hear of it lying at Deptford, surrounded by artists who were eagerly making drawings from it, for engraving purposes. The old priests of the Holy Island, whose petition to Ptolemy it bears engraved, would have been astonished and dismayed if they could have foreseen how far it was destined to travel.

The art of lithographic printing was beginning to spread at this period; so that we read of patents being taken out for lithographic presses. The importance of the invention may have been exaggerated in the enthusiasm of its first introduction; but there can be no question of its having wrought well in presenting to the popular eye works of art, of a quality, and in a multitude, which could never have been enjoyed without the discovery of such a method of cheap engraving. The utility of the art in other ways—in multiplying copies of manuscript, &c.—is so great as to entitle the first popular use of the art of lithography to notice in a history of the time.

In 1824, the most eminent men in London and Edinburgh—including the members of the government—met to do honour to the memory of James Watt, as the benefactor of his country and his kind. Th

their attempts to discover the course and rise of the Niger were unsuccessful. In 1825, Clapperton, being raised to the rank of commander, set forth again with several companions and servants, to explore the same region from the southern side, but this expedition terminated disastrously, the whole party dying except Richard Lander, the faithful servant of Captain Clapperton. The master might have survived with his servant, but for his detention at Soccatoo for many months by the king, his old acquaintance. He died within four miles of Soccatoo, in April 1827.

It is impossible to read the records of these years without being struck by the number of earthquakes, storms, eclipses, and volcanic eruptions, and the recurrence of extraordinary drought. Some causes, unknown to science—unknown, that is, in their mutual relations—appear to have been at work to produce remarkable effects in earth, air, and sea. In 1820 a new crater opened on Mount Vesuvius, and there were earthquakes in various parts of the globe. In England, and throughout Europe, the summer was intensely hot. On the 7th of September happened the great eclipse—the greatest in the memory of the existing generation—which drew away the peers and listeners in the House of Lords, while the queen's trial was proceeding. In the next year there were rains so heavy as to cause floods in many districts of the kingdom. That at Westminster rose four inches above the great flood of 1774. On the 26th of April of this year, the thermometer (at Cambridge), in the shade, with a north-east aspect, stood at the extraordinary height of 73 degrees. Earthquakes occurred in the south of England, and two in the west of Ireland were followed by landslips, very disastrous to the residents. In the next year, there was an earthquake in Yorkshire and also at Lisbon and Ancona. But the distinguishing calamity of the year was the destruction of Aleppo, by successive shocks which lasted for three days. Many other towns in the neighbouring regions were destroyed also, but at Aleppo the immediate destruction was reckoned at upwards of 25000 lives. Two rocks rose up in the Mediterranean, making islets near Cyprus. In the autumn, Naples was threatened by an eruption of Vesuvius, of extraordinary violence—

four rivers of lava flowing out from old and new craters. A volcano in Iceland began to stir, twice in the same year, coating large districts with layers of ashes. It was the turn of the western world the next year. On the coast of Chili, the sea suddenly sank twelve feet, and by the trembling of the earth, for a succession of many hours, the city of Valparaiso was destroyed. In 1834, Persia was the scene. Many towns, of which Shiraz was the chief, were swallowed up or overthrown, with the greater number of their inhabitants. After some extraordinary storms which seemed to spring up about the coasts of England and Holland during the summer, the disasters of the year were closed by a hurricane which swept over the North Sea, wrecking all the ships on the coast of Jutland, and then traversed Sweden, mowing down the forests which opposed its course. The waters of the Baltic were swept into the Gulf of Finland; and St. Petersburg was almost drowned in the rise of the Neva. The destruction of life, lands, houses, and goods, was beyond all estimate. Earthquakes continued through the two following years; and the heat of the summer in Europe was such as to cause much conjecture as to the reasons of the changes in the temperature of the seasons. Horses dropped dead in the streets of our towns, and men in the fields. Upon the heat followed, as usual, storms, and the fatal fires which it is so difficult to check after long drought. On the side of one of the Grampians, a spark caught the dried moss, and the fire spread for above a fortnight. At one time, the mass of moss was from five to seven feet deep in the moss, extending over an area of seven miles by five. On account of the heat, no one could approach to take measures for extinguishing it; and it burned itself out at last. During these years of elemental turmoil, men felt as singular a sense of precariousness—with the globe groaning and heaving under their feet, and meteors flashing and storms rushing about their heads—as we may suppose a race of ants to feel, when man comes with his candle and his gunpowder to blow up their settlement. Amidst the conflicting forces of nature, man felt as powerless as they.

One incident of the new reign, not quite unimportant, was that Windsor Terrace was once more opened to the

public, as a consequence of the death of the old king. There, in the days of the last century, he used to walk, with his young family around him, in the presence of a crowd of gazing subjects. There, in his latter days, he walked, blind, secluded, and with benighted mind; so that for him the sun seemed not to shine, and the glorious landscape stretching below might as well have been blotted out. Now, the place was again opened to the public, but not, as formerly, for loyal subjects to greet their king. George IV. could not submit to the observances of royalty which required his meeting his people. He secluded himself more and more, from morbid feelings of indolence and self-indulgence. From a letter of Lord Eldon's we learn how his ministers disliked and disapproved of this growing indolence. 'There was what is called a grand review in Hyde Park yesterday (July 10, 1824). The Duke of York was, I hear, very popular, and prodigiously cheered. My royal master was in Carlton House—that is, within half a mile of this scene—but did not approach it. It is astonishing what is lost by this sort of dealing, and it is grievous that popularity, which might be so easily earned, and acquired at so small an expenditure of time and trouble, should not only not be secured, but a feeling of disgust and reproach be engendered towards a person with respect to whom a very different feeling most easily might and ought to be created.' While the king was thus negligent of his personal popularity, his ministers and parliament did an act which secured, among some eminent families, a grateful attachment towards the House of Brunswick. By a reversal of attainders, five families were, in 1824, restored to their ancestral honours forfeited by rebellion in the last century—the Jerninghams, Friskins, Gordons, Drummonds and Nairns, and in 1826, acts were passed restoring the peerages of Earl of Carnarvon, Earl of Airlie, Lord Duff, Lord Elcho, and the baronetcy of Threipland of Inveresk.

It was during the period under notice that musical festivals expanded into their full dimensions, though Birmingham has for some time exhibited the same institution. This expansion and every other signal advance in the love and practice of art may be regarded as direct

consequences of the peace. The opening of the continent gave a vast stimulus to the artistic mind of England; and the choral music of Germany was as striking a revelation of the power of art to qualified travellers, as the picture galleries of that country, France, and Italy. By the festivals of York, Norwich, Birmingham, and Worcester music of a high order was offered to multitudes of the middle classes, some time before London could yield music which, in the mass, could be compared to it; and subsequent times have shown that thus was awakened in the English people a dormant faculty, whose training is the most important auxiliary to true civilisation. If we now observe anywhere among our people a tendency to musical pursuit, stimulating the intellect, and softening the manners, like the musical faculty of the Germans, we may date its rise from the multiplication of musical festivals after the peace—though these could never, of themselves have effected what has been done since by efforts of another kind, for the popular musical education of England. The funds raised by these gatherings for the support of charities are an important benefit; but it is perhaps a greater that music of an elevating character has been carried into thousands of English homes.

The king, on his accession, favoured the institution of the Royal Society of Literature, to serve 'as a rallying-point for concentrating and diffusing information, by a union of persons of similar tastes and pursuits;' and for purposes of literary patronage. The king declared his intent of devoting a thousand guineas a year to pension the associates of the society; and the society agreed to contribute a similar sum to pension ten more. These associates were to be men of eminent literary ability and good character, the poverty of whose circumstances would make the allowance of one hundred guineas a year acceptable to them. The society was also to promote the publication of inedited remains of ancient literature; to reward works of a valuable but not popular character; to reward literary merit by honorary tokens; to establish correspondence with men of letters abroad; and in every way to promote the character and progress of literature. The scheme advanced slowly; so that it was

before the first general meeting of the society was held, when its objects and constitution were declared to the world by some of the first men of the day.

Two curious discoveries were made in the State paper Office in the years 1824 and 1826. It appears that while Milton was secretary to Cromwell, he must have deposited or left in this office the MS of his Latin treatise on Christian Doctrine, which had been known to exist, but could never be found. It was now brought to light by Mr Lemon of that office. It was contained in an envelope, addressed to Cyrino Skinner, merchant. Of course, it immediately fixed the attention of the learned, and it was soon published, but its contents, set forth in the great poet's bold and free style, were too heterodox for the taste of the learned of the modern time, and on account of the Arianism of the doctrine, and some startling views on divorce and other subjects it was consigned, as far as was possible, to neglect. The other discovery was of some autograph MSS of Queen Elizabeth, and of her secretary. These consisted of an entire translation of Boethius, and poetical versions of Horace, by the queen. With these came to light a mass of documents relating to the reign of Henry VIII, and especially his proceedings in regard to his divorced wives.

While a new work of Milton was presented to his country, to the homes of the remote island, where the long winters of Iceland are cheered by literary enjoyment, like the milder seasons of southern lands, and at this time, while the new volcano was pouring out flames, and covering the reeking plains of Iceland with ashes, the harmless and genial flame of Milton's genius was beginning to kindle hearts within a thousand households. This indeed, is fame! The translator of *Paradise Lost* into the Icelandic tongue was Thorlakson, a native poet, who died at Copenhagen in 1820.

The losses of our country by death were very great during the seven years of this period. Besides the great names whom we have seen to disappear in the course of our history, there were others who dropped quietly away,

from being at the time not engaged in the public view. The old Lord Malmesbury, who has told us so much of the events and details of British policy during the last century, and who wooed the unfortunate Caroline of Brunswick for the Prince of Wales, died towards the close of 1820. Lord Erskine died in 1823, leaving behind him the remembrance and tradition of an eloquence which his admirers believed to be absolutely singular. In the same year departed an old admiral, whose mere name seems to carry us back to the naval warfare of a preceding century—Earl St. Vincent, who nearly reached the age of ninety.

Of philosophers, there died the great Herschel, who in middle life passed over from his passionate love of music to attend to the finer harmonies of the stars in their courses. He learned many secrets of the heavens, and made them known to men; and in acknowledgment his name is written in light in the heavens themselves. One of the remotest known planets of our system is symbolised by the initial of his name. He left us not only his knowledge, but the means of gaining more. His great telescope at Slough was the wonder of his time; and it will continue to be so, however science and art may enable men to improve the powers of the instrument. He died in 1822, in his eighty-fourth year.—Sir Joseph Banks, president of the Royal Society, died in 1820, after a long and useful life spent in seeking and diffusing the knowledge of nature, and in encouraging in others the pursuit of natural science.—In the same year died one whose pursuits class him at once among the philosophers and the travellers—Arthur Young, the great master in agriculture. His researches in agriculture led him to observe much of the political and social condition of the people of every country in which he travelled; and it is remarkable that he published, in 1769, a work on the expediency of a free importation of corn. Whatever he said was attended to by some of the sovereigns of Europe, as well as peers and commoners; and his power was great, in his day, over the practice of agriculture, from Russia to Spain, and over the imposition of taxes at home which are in any way related to agriculture. While he was burned in effigy in one place, he was receiving honorary medals in another. He

might be sometimes mistaken, and somewhat apt to exaggerate methods and advantages which presented themselves strongly to his mind, but no one questioned his influence, or his innocent ardour in a most important pursuit. He held, at the time of his death, the office of secretary to the Board of Agriculture, though he had been blind for ten years. He was in his eightieth year.

The country had a great loss in the death of David Ricardo, who died not in the ripe old age of the philosophers we have been registering but in his fifty sixth year, and just at a time (1823) when his influence in parliament was beginning to manifest itself in the changed spirit of legislation on economical subjects and when, moreover, the new men who had entered the cabinet were those who could give wide practical effect to his philosophy. He did all that an independent member could do, and more than it could have been anticipated that any independent member could do to accelerate the progress of enlightened legislation during his short parliamentary career, and his writings effected even more outside the walls of parliament than his influence within. He was missed and lamented for many years, by ministers, parliamentary comrades, and the public and especially during the bank follies and crash of the years immediately succeeding his death. If any one could have made sound doctrine heard and have checked the madness of the time, by keeping the House of Commons in its senses, it was he, but he was gone, and our world was sorely the worse.

The travels of Dr Edward D Clarke were real with avidity in their day, and they answered some good purposes in arousing the curiosity and stimulating the imagination of the English reading public, whose faculties had been kept too much at home by the long protraction of the war. These books opened new regions to the fancy, and acted in some degree as works of the imagination did. And so they might for they were truly works of fiction to a considerable extent. Since those days scientific travelling has become something which the world was not then dreaming of, and certainly Dr Clarke never dreamed

of painstaking in research, or care in relating his adventures. He travelled because he was too restless to keep still; and he had been too indolent as a student to be qualified to use the best privileges of foreign travel. His observation was superficial, and his representations inaccurate. Therefore, his works are now neglected, if other travellers have been over the same ground: though they were, in their day, attractive and popular enough to make for him a considerable reputation. He died in 1822, in the fifty-fourth year of his age.—Another traveller, Belzoni, who died in the next year, may be considered English enough to be classed among the national losses, though he was born at Padua, and died in Africa. He lived much in England, regarded our country as his home more than any other, and enriched it with some precious fruits of his Egyptian researches. To him we owe a great part of the Egyptian discoveries made in recent years—the opening of the precious rock-temple of Abou Simbel, and of the tomb of Osirei at Thebes; and of many monuments which, but for him, would have been buried still in the sands of the desert. He was a man of mighty stature and great strength, courage, and hardihood. He was himself reliable, while he believed few other people to be so; for his temper was suspicious and jealous. He had no scholarship. His business lay in another direction. It was for him to discover and bring to hand what scholars were to attest and reason upon; and his function was no mean one, as will be agreed by all who are aware what it is to have to deal with wild Arabs in wildernesses of rock and sand. Such a man will always be felt to have departed too soon, while any part of the ancient world remains to be uncovered to modern eyes. His age is not known; but he was about to make a youthful sacrifice of himself to the monastic life at Rome, when the entrance of the French, in 1798, compelled a change of purpose. He was thus, probably, only a little above fifty when he died in December 1823.—Another Egyptian traveller, Sir Frederick Henniker, died at an early age in 1825. He was only thirty-one. Among his adventures abroad was one which befell him on the road going down from Jerusalem to Jericho, when robbers stripped and wounded him, and left him for dead. He published a

volume of notes of his travels after his return, settling down as lord lieutenant of the county of Essex, and colonel of the local militia. His book of travels is accurate and interesting—Sir Stamford Raffles died in 1826. He was only forty-seven years of age, but he had done great things during his too short life. He it was who acquired Java for us and governed it during the time that it belonged to Great Britain. He abolished slavery there advanced in every way the welfare of the native population and gave us a great amount of knowledge of those parts of the world, though his collections and journals and all that he had, was lost by shipwreck on his return home. He did almost as much for Sumatra as for Java especially by abolishing slavery and we owe to him the establishment of one of the most important commercial settlements in the world—that of Singapore which may be considered

his last service

Zoological

British

who

visits all English households in the shape of the best maps of the time died in 1823, in a good old age—And in the same year we lost the great Jenner, who waged war against disease with greater success, as we believe than any other physician who ever lived. Lady Mary Wortley Montagu supposed she was rendering a great service to humanity, and was long supposed by all to have done so, by introducing the practice of inoculation for the small pox, and this was true in as far as she communicated the idea of inoculation in any mode. But the ravages of small pox became incalculably greater in consequence of her method from the infection being always kept up, and spread abroad to seize upon all who were predisposed to the disease. Dr Jenner put together the facts of inoculation and of the exemption from small pox of the Gloucestershire milkers who had taken the cow-pox from their cows and tried the experiment of inoculation for cow pox, which has banished all dangerous degrees of small pox wherever it has extended. He freely gave to the world his discovery of vaccination, and thus made himself one of the greatest of human benefactors. He reached the age of seventy five

Of actors, we lost, in this period, John Kemble, the emperor of his art; and Incedon, whose ballad-singing was singularly suited to the English taste of the last century.

Of artists, we lost some whom it grieved the heart of the nation to part with. The noble-hearted and gentle Flaxman died in 1826, at the age of seventy-one. Among his great benefits to his kind, it was one of the greatest—though he was wholly unconscious of it—that he showed in his whole life what the happiness of genius is, when allowed its full and free action. He had all the genuine attributes of genius—its purity, its generosity, its benevolence, its candour, its industry, its patience under God and towards man; and he was one of the happiest of men—joyous in his labours, blessed in his marriage, and serene in the contentment of his mind, and the simplicity of his life. His friends loved him almost to a point of idolatry. He brought to the general English mind, through the eye, the conceptions of Homer, Æschylus, and Dante; and presented in fresh nobleness and beauty, many a sacred image from the Scriptures. Working alone and in silence, in a spirit of monastic holiness, he was the effectual preacher of a wider church than walls can contain, or than can be reached by any other voice than that which appeals to the soul.—The sculptor Nollekens died in 1823, having attained the objects of his life in a greater degree than is usual. These objects were, first, money, and then fame; and he also desired long life. He lived to the age of eighty-six, left more than £200,000 behind him, and enjoyed a considerable reputation. His great natural powers had no fair chance against the drawbacks of a defective education, and an overwhelming tendency to acquisitiveness. He pursued a lower style of art than his powers would have fitted him for, if he had been morally wiser; and his latter days were passed among the unsatisfactory attentions of suspected legacy-hunters. He knew that he was admired by many; and, for some qualities, truly, though partially esteemed; but he must have known that he was not loved. Thus, while occupied through a long course of years with the ideas and labours, he best privileges, of the artist life.—Another ecclesiastic and artist, who died during this period, was F.

protégé of Reynolds, the beloved of Mary Wollstonecraft, the friend of Lavater and Bonnycastle. It was his earnestness which made his power and his fame. Exhibited in

infused into his preternatural subjects—his ‘Nightmare,’ and ‘Sin pursued by Death’—it is very impressive. His great service to society was his own *originality, and in design* and invention, at a time when imitation was unusually close, and the inferior departments of art naturally engrossed a disproportionate attention over the higher. He was as eccentric in his mind generally as in his art, but he had friends about him all his life, who thought it worth while to bear with his strange temper, for the sake of his goodness in other respects. His domestic life was happy and this peace at home, together with his habits of industry and temperance had, no doubt, great effect in procuring him excellent health and long life. He was eighty seven when he died in 1825—Benjamin West was an American by birth, but he died (1820) president of our Royal Academy. As an historical painter he stood very high, if not unrivalled in this country, from his inventive power, though he was as feeble in expression as in colouring. Like so many of his brethren in art, he was simple and virtuous in his life, of devoted industry, and he lived to a great age—eighty-two years. He painted or sketched about 400 pictures, and when we consider how large some of these are and how thronged with figures we shall see that his life must have been spent chiefly in painting. His greatest works are from Scripture—his ‘Christ Preaching to the Sins,’ ‘Christ Rejected.’ One of the most eminent of our portrait painters Sir Henry Raeburn, died in 1823. His portraits are full of life, vigour, and prominence, and they are admirable as likenesses. He received his knighthood on the visit of George IV to Edinburgh. He was represented by a portrait-painter for Scotland—William Sharp,

a good old age. He was mainly self-taught, and was wont to declare that his first attempts at engraving were made on a pewter pot. To him we owe the practice of illustrating, in a worthy manner, the eminent authors of our literature. Sharp was a great Radical; and, in Horne Tooke's time, was repeatedly brought before the privy-council. He was a man not easily frightened, however; and he used his opportunity to canvass Mr. Pitt and others of the council for subscriptions to his forthcoming engraving of Kosciuszko's portrait. They could not command their countenances to deal severely with him after this; and they let him go. He was, with all his jocularities of temper, ardour in his profession, and good sense on most subjects, singularly superstitious—believing that the end of the world was at hand, and bringing up Joanna Southcote to London, and maintaining her there. In middle life, he might have become an associate of the Royal Academy; but he took up the cause of some other eminent engravers, less favoured than himself, in a manner which offended Sir Joshua Reynolds, who dropped his claims and his acquaintance.

Some lovers and patrons of art, who were, on that ground, benefactors of society, died during this period. Mr. Angerstein was born in Russia, but, from the age of fourteen, spent his life in England, and was a most useful citizen, in other ways besides accumulating his splendid collection of pictures. He is believed to have saved the credit of the country in the commercial crisis of 1793, by his proposal of an issue of exchequer bills; and it was through him that the discovery of the life-boat was established and rewarded. His collection of pictures was purchased by government for £57,000, to be the foundation of a National Gallery of Paintings. Mr. Angerstein died in 1823, at the age of ninety-one.—Mr. Payne Knight died in the next year, bequeathing his collection of medals, drawings, and bronzes—worth £30,000—to the British Museum. Mr. Knight was an eminent Greek scholar, and of high cultivation in every way; and his accomplishments were ennobled by a magnificent public spirit.—The Duchess of Devonshire, who died in the same year, devoted her whole fortune to the promotion of the arts. She

caused excavations to be made at Rome, which restored to light many precious relics of antiquity that might otherwise have lain buried for ever.—In another way, the Duchess of Rutland—who died in 1825, in middle life—was a benefactress of the arts and of society, she built Belvoir Castle, superintending its erection for twenty five years with a vigilant interest and taste. All the neighbouring villages and lands were in a constant state of improvement through her care, and she obtained many premiums from the Society for the Promotion of Arts and Manufactures, for her agricultural improvements and skill in planting. It is no wonder that a multitude of weeping mourners followed in her funeral train.

There were women among the authors who died during this period whom the world was sorry to part with. The venerable Mrs Barbauld, whose writings were small in bulk, but eminent in beauty, died, very old, in 1825. Her father had permitted her to share the classical education of her brother, and the result was seen in the mature richness of her mind, and the remarkable beauty of her style. Charles James Fox declared her *Essay on the Inconsistency of Human Expectations* to be the finest essay in our language, and her *Plea for the Repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts* was like a trumpet-call to the whole host of English Dissenters. Her private life was full of honour and of charm.—Then there was Jane Taylor, who wrote the delightful *Contributions of Q Q* which are to be found in thousands of homes and Mrs Radcliffe the mother of modern English romance and Sophia Lee, one of the writers of the *Canterbury Tales*, and Mrs Piozzi, once Mrs Thrale, the hostess and friend of Dr Johnson, and the recorder of much that we know of him. All these passed away within this period.—And also the busy, complacent, useful Richard Lovell Edgeworth, who put us upon improving our principles and methods of education, and was full of mechanical projects which set other people thinking and inventing and maturing, and the pompous Dr Parr, who believed himself a second Johnson, when Johnson was more thought of than he is now, Parr, of whom Porson said that ‘he would have been a great man but for three things—his trade, his wife, and his politics.’

• His trade was school-keeping, for which he was unfit; his wife was, as she took no pains to conceal, anything but amiable; and his politics were ultra-liberal—a great offence to the ministry when he dined with the queen, and said grace at Alderman Wood's table. He had acted with a firmness and moderation which gained him respect at the time of the Birmingham riots in 1791, when his house and library were threatened with the same fate as those of his friend, Dr. Priestley; and his reputation stood high on account, not only of his scholarship, but of some sermons and tracts which he had published; so that, though his fame at the time can now be hardly understood, he was in truth by no means beneath the notice of those who were bound to watch the proceedings of the queen, and who were scandalised at her choice of her domestic chaplain.—The virtuous Lindley Murray died in 1826, at an advanced age. While learning our grammar of him, in our young days, and growing tired of his name, as associated with dull lessons, we little knew to how good a man that name belonged. Lindley Murray was an American; and he came over to England in middle life, and remained with us solely for the sake of the mildness of our climate, which was rendered necessary to him by the loss of health. Under a condition of muscular weakness which prevented his walking for the rest of his days, he contentedly gave up the usual objects and amusements of life, and humbly devoted himself to be as useful as he could from his invalid chair. His school-books spread by tens of thousands over both his native and his adopted country; and the proceeds might have made him very rich. But he thought he had enough already for his simple tastes and moderate desires; and he gave away, to those who were in need, the entire profits of his works. Thus, much as we have learned from his books, we may learn something better from his life.—A great public benefactor, who died in 1821, was Mr. James Perry, of the *Morning Chronicle*, who gave a new and elevated character and influence to the newspaper press. He was a scholar and a gentleman; and his attainments and character could not have wrought in a more important direction than in that which he chose. The press is now called the fourth power in the state: and inst

when the need of this power arose, the right man came to regulate, refine and elevate it.

Of those whose divine office it is to refine and elevate the whole mass of society—the poets—we lost some of great name within a few years.

The good and accomplished Bishop Heber—more known and valued, perhaps, by the beauty of his hymns than by any other of his many qualifications—was suddenly snatched away in the midst of his usefulness in India. He was found dead in his bath—it was believed from apoplexy—in April 1826. His religious fervour gave a freshness of expression to his devotional poetry, which, if it does not stand in the stead of originality of thought, supplies us with what is always revered by all minds—originality of feeling. The hymns of Bishop Heber have therefore made their way among Christians of all denominations, and caused him to be ranked among the poets of his time. His age was only forty three.—In the last century, the poems of Robert Bloomfield, the farmer's boy, were brought into notice by Mr Capel Loft—a man of letters and something of a poet himself. The protector and protected died within a year of each other—the poet in August 1823, the man of letters in May 1824.—And Hayley the friend of Cowper, and author of some poems which had a good deal of popularity in their day, was gone.—A deeper cause for mourning however, than any we have mentioned—perhaps the deepest of the period—was in the untimely loss of three great poets—Byron, Shelley, and Keats. At the time the mourning for Byron was infinitely the widest and loudest, but it is not so now, and it can never be so again. His extraordinary popularity during his life, and for some time afterwards and even now among survivors of his own generation, was justified by the fact of its existence. Such a popularity never arises, much less endures, without some reason, but the reason was of a temporary nature, and the fame must be temporary accordingly. Byron's power, which was great, employed its life in uttering from his own consciousness the discontents of his time. He was unaware of this, and always believed himself an isolated being doomed to live and die without sympathy, whereas he was the

mouthpiece of the needs and troubles of men in a transition state of society. When men found their troubles told, and their discontents avowed, in verse of a high order, by a man of high rank, youthful, proud, and egotistical, they rushed into a frantic sympathy with him, and received from him as true, noble, and beautiful, much that will not stand a comparison with nature, morality, and the everlasting principles of taste. Lord Byron could not produce, except by snatches, what was permanently true, because the eye of his soul was perplexed and dimmed by troubles which prevented his seeing things as they are; he could not produce what was inherently noble, because he was almost wholly engrossed by suffering moods of his own mind; he could not produce what must be lastingly beautiful, because he strove after affectations. As a greater than himself said of his irony and affectations: 'It is a paltry originality which makes solemn things gay, and gay things solemn; yet it will fascinate thousands, by the very diabolical outrage of their sympathies.' So said Keats, in pain and disgust at the levity of a passage of Byron, though no man could relish humour more keenly. Thousands were fascinated, and from the cause assigned. Unless it were Scott's, Byron's was the greatest literary fame of our own times. It was kept up by the interest universally taken, and pointedly invited by the poet himself, in his private misfortunes. His life was cursed by misfortune from his birth; and his earlier griefs so injured him as to make him himself the creator of his later ones. His life was not pure, nor his heart affectionate, nor his temper disciplined. There was good enough in him by starts, and by virtue of his genius, to suggest what he might have been, if reared under good influences. He wandered about the world during the latter years of his short life; and finally repaired to Greece, to give what aid he could against the Turks. There he died of fever, under a steady refusal to accept of timely medical aid, on the 19th of April, 1824.—In Keats, the world lost a poet of infinite promise. He was little more than a youth when he died; but he had made so vigorous and rapid a growth in power and wisdom, and was learning so to wield his magnificent faculties, that those who have studied his life

•

and writings are dazzled at the mere conception of what he might have become. The world did not recognise his quality while he lived—indeed there was scarcely time for them to do so—and some few ignorantly denied and scoffed at its pretension, but year by year his name is oftener mentioned and more and more minds are kindled at the scattered flames of his young genius, which would, if death had spared him, have shone like a lofty beacon above the ordinary level of human intellect. Men are often least conscious of their greatest losses, and in this, generations are like individuals. Keats died at Rome in February 1821, in the twenty fifth year of his age, and when the news arrived in England, few heard, and fewer still regarded it. After the lapse of a quarter of a century, his fame is rising—He was soon—in a year and a half—followed by his friend Shelley, who was drowned at the age of twenty nine off the coast of Italy. Shelley was a man of a noble and exquisite nature. He ‘was the most truthful of men,’ and of the most godlike benevolence. ‘His aspect had a certain seraphical character,’ we are told, and in that, it was a fair manifestation of himself. He was idolatrously beloved by those who knew him face to face, but his age and he were not on the best terms. There might be fault on both sides—some defect of prudence and patience on his, and of course, a great want of enlightenment on the other—of course, because the greatest poets, as indeed the loftiest men of every order, have to educate their followers up to the power of appreciation of themselves. Thus Shelley was persecuted for his opinions, tortured in his domestic affections by Lord Eldon, who, with all his law, had no knowledge of the rights of opinion, and society not only looked on quietly, but a multitude applauded. So it was in his own day, and moreover, every act of his life—a life of singular purity and disinterestedness, when some crudenesses of youth were gone by—was criticised and mocked by little minds which could hardly open to receive the least of his thoughts. Yet, unpopular as he was, and young when he died, he did more than any other man to direct and vivify the poetical aspiration of our time. Shelley still lives to us, not only in his own writings, as yet but partially diffused, but in

the whole body and spirit of our recent poetry, and existing poetical life.

We have presented and summed up the gains and losses of a seven years' period. We have now to enter upon another, shorter, but not less alive with incident and the spirit of progress.

BOOK III.

CHAPTER I.

Opening of New Parliament—Death of the Duke of York—Grant to the Duke of Clarence—Illness of Lord Liverpool—Lord Liverpool and Mr Canning—Lord Liverpool as Minister—The Corn Bill—
 Mr Canning consulted—

THE period on which we are now entering—the last years of the reign of George IV—is one of remarkable interest and importance in the retrospect, though the complaint of the time was of *stagnation of public business*. It is true that, for three sessions, scarcely anything was done of what is commonly called public business. In regard to variety of subject the records of parliament perhaps were never before so meagre, for three consecutive sessions. At the same time the registers of the period are full of ministerial correspondence, ministerial explanations and ministerial difficulties for thus there was ample reason, and in this lay the deep importance and interest of the period.

It is common for society to complain of loss of the public time, and postponement of public business, when a change of ministry, or other event, induces explanation of their personal conduct on the part of public men. It is common to complain of such explanations, as if statesmen were obtruding their personal concerns upon a public which does not care for them, but wants to be about its own business. But this is wherever held, a vulgar error, and a most pernicious one. Every true state man knows that his personal honour is a national interest, and every enlightened citizen knows that the highest distinction of a nation is the rectitude of its rulers, and that no devotion of time, thought, patience, and energy, can be too great

for the object of upholding the standard of political honour among statesmen. In the most ordinary times, therefore, the enlightened citizen will eagerly receive, and earnestly weigh, the statements of public men with regard to their official conduct, aware that the postponement of legislative acts is a less evil than that of failing to discharge every conscience, to decide upon every reputation, as it comes into question; and thus to ascertain that the moral ground is firm and secure, before proceeding to political action. If it be thus in ordinary times, much stronger was the obligation to prove the conduct and reputation of statesmen at the period we are now entering upon. If, during the next three years, ministerial difficulties and explanations seem to be endless, there must be some cause; the embarrassment must be, in fact, a characteristic of the time.

We have witnessed the admission into the cabinet of two men who were called 'political adventurers'; and we have recognised in this event the sign that a new time had arrived, requiring for its administration a new order of men. Though the new men had acted and succeeded in their function, the struggles and perplexities of the transition from one state of society and government to another had yet to be gone through; and the beginning of these struggles and perplexities is what we have now to contemplate. We shall see ministry after ministry formed and dissolved. We shall see that the difficulty lay, not in finding competent men—for able men abounded at that time—but in determining what great principle, of those afloat, should so preponderate as to determine the government of the country. In the trial of this all-important point, the next three years cannot now be said to have been wasted, though at the time the vexation was severe, of seeing great questions standing still, ordinary legislative business thrust aside, and a temper and language of political bitterness rising up, such as could never have been anticipated among men of rational capacities and gentlemanly education.

The king opened the new parliament in person on the 21st of November, declaring in his speech that he called the Houses together for the special purpose of declaring

that he took his own death, perhaps at the moment when he was thinking how quiet is that resting place at the goal of every human career, where the small and great lie down together, and 'princes and counsellors of the earth'—like his foe and himself—are quiet, and sleep after their warfare.

If those who attended that funeral could have seen their own position between the past and the future as we see it now, it would have so absorbed all their thoughts as that the body might have been lowered into its vault unseen, and the funeral anthems have been unheard. A more singular emblem than the doomed group about the mouth of that vault has seldom been seen. In virtue of our survivorship we can observe them now, each one with his fate hovering over his uncovered head. He who was next to be lowered into that vault was not there. He was in his palace, weak in health and spirits—relieved and yet perplexed that the course of government was simplified by the removal of his remonstrant brother, whose plea of nearness to the throne—now so solemnly set aside—had made his interference at once irksome and difficult to disregard. There would be 'no more interference now, no more painful audiences, no more letters brought in with that familiar superscription. The way was clear now, but to what? Liverpool and Canning must settle that. If they felt that the Catholic question must be settled they must show how it was to be done, and they must do it. Liverpool and Canning! By that day twelvemonths, how was it with them? Lord Liverpool was not at Windsor that night. He laid down his careworn head to rest unaware that but a few more days of life—as he considered life—remained to him. The body breathed for some months, but in a few days after this the mind was dead. As for Canning his heart and mind were full as his noble brow shone in the torchlight. He well knew that it was not only his chief personal enemy who was here laid low but the only insurmountable barrier to his policy. He saw an open course before him or one which he himself could clear. He saw the foul fiend Revolution descend into that vault to be sealed down in it with that coffin. He saw beyond that torch lit

chapel a sunny vision of Ireland tranquilised; and the hope rose within him that he might achieve a peace at home—the sound peace of freedom—as blessed as the peace which he had spread over the world abroad. And all the time, the chill and the damps of that chapel, dim amidst the yellow glare with the night-fog of January, were poisoning his vitals, and shortening his allowance of life to a mere span. Beside him stood his friend and comrade, Huskisson. They were born in the same spring; they were neither of them to know another moment of health after this chilly night-service; and their deaths were to be not far apart. What remained for both was the bitter last drops of the cup of life; sickness, toil, perplexity, some humiliation, and infinite anguish. Here, if they had known their future, they would have laid down all self-regards, all ambition, all hope and mirth, all thoughts of finished work and a serene old age, and have gone forth to do and suffer the last stage of their service, before dropping into their untimely rest. These two had made no professions of grief about the death of the prince; they did not vaunt their feelings; yet here they were, sad and solemn; while beside them stood one whose woes about the loss of his royal friend, and about the irreparable loss to the empire, were paraded before all men's eyes, and dinned into the ears of all who would listen. Here stood Lord Chancellor Eldon, beside the open grave in which he declared that the hopes of his country were being buried. Was he lost in grief?—his ready tears in fuller flow than ever?—his soul absorbed in patriotic meditation? ‘Lord Eldon, recollecting’—what?—that he might catch cold—stood upon his hat, to avoid chill from the flags; ‘and his precaution was completely successful.’ If it had but occurred to Canning to stand upon his hat!—but he was thinking of other things. There were others for whom death was in waiting; and some for whom great labours and deeds were preparing in life. The troublesome opponent of ministers, Mr. Tierney, who was to be found dead in his study before the next royal funeral; and Lord Graves, who was to die by his own hand, under the provocation of royal vice or levity. And what tasks lay before those who were yet to live and work! Among the

six dukes who bore the pall, was he who was to succeed to the highest military office now thus vacated, and Wellington himself no doubt thought this night that he was of one mind in the great political questions of the day with the prince whose pall he bore. No doubt he believed that he should, in his proper place, do what he could to exclude the Catholics, and to keep the conscience of the sovereign fixed upon the coronation oath, and his duty to Protestantism in his proper place, we say, because the duke spurned the idea of a military chief like himself taking civil office, and openly declared, with indignation at an unfounded rumour, that he should be mad if he dreamed of the premiership. Yet, before this royal vault should again be opened, Wellington was to be premier, and use his office to repeal the disabilities of the Catholics. Truly, pledges and prophecies are dangerous things for statesmen to meddle with in times of transition and it would seem to be a main feature in the mission of the honest and resolute Wellington—honest and resolute beyond all cavil—to prove the presumption of pledges and prophecies in times of transition. Then there was Peel, with the same work before him, and much more, of which he had not yet begun to dream, and with the fate before him of losing his best beloved honour—the representation of his university—and gaining several others any one of which would suffice to make an immortality. And there was Hardinge, the friend of both the deceased and the incoming commander-in-chief, who was to signalise his age in the history of India by his administration and achievements both of peace and war. And there was, as chief-mourner, he who was to be the next king, and in whose reign was to occur that vital renovation of our representative system, which will be to thoughtful students of a thousand years hence what Magna Charta is to us. What a group was here collected within the curtain of the future seeing nothing but the vault at their feet, and the banners of the past waving above their heads, and, wherever the time seeing on that curt

And behind that veil, agents work unheard—death at his

grave-digging; and the people with their demands and their acclamations; and the trumpet-voice of conviction summoning prejudice to the surrender. But what they saw not, we, as survivors, see; and what they heard not, we hear; for now that curtain of futurity is hung up over our heads as banners of the past; and the summons of death, and of the popular will, and of individual conscience, are still audible to us; not in their first stunning crash, but as funereal echoes to which those banners float.

The Duke of York went to his grave sincerely mourned by many, and partially honoured by many more who could not honestly grieve that he did not reach the throne. In his youth, he had shown valour and an earnest aspiration to good generalship in the campaigns in Flanders. During the thirty-two years that he held the office of commander-in-chief, he did eminent service to the state in his administration of the army—instituting and carrying through such reforms and new discipline as made his management in fact a re-creation of that national force. His nature was frank and honourable, if only he had done justice to it. It endeared him to his friends, even to the point of inducing them to overlook, and almost to justify his vices. The loyal cant of the day was that in his vices ‘there was nothing un-English—nothing unprincely;’ but the princes and people of England could not be expected to admit among their characteristics recklessness in sensual vice and pecuniary extravagance. His dissoluteness was, if not ‘unprincely,’ vulgar, as all selfish passion is; and his recklessness about debt was, we may surely say, eminently ‘un-English.’ We cannot give up probity in money-transactions as an English characteristic. As for his high Toryism, when all danger from it was past, men remembered that he was ill educated, and, by his position, precluded from the enlightenment which was flowing in upon men in humbler stations. It was the subject of grave apprehension, very reasonably, while he lived, with his foot upon the steps of the throne, and his eye upon the crown; but as soon as he was let down into the grave, it was remembered with a sort of respectful compassion as a delusion troublesome to himself, and a weakness which would, in a former age, have been regarded

as a grace of royalty. His statue stands conspicuous on its pillar within sight of the Horse Guards, where so much of his business lay. It might be that some debtors ruined by his cruel extravagance might sigh in their prison when they heard of its erection, and some whose domestic honour and peace had been tainted by his passions, might wonder at the strange distribution of homage in a state which professes the purity of Christianity, but it was pretty generally admitted that he had done his country better service than princes often do and that to his labours were partly owing the successes of our wars and the high character of our military forces. His death took place on the 5th of January, 1827, and his funeral on the 20th.

The Duke of Wellington succeeded, as has been said, to his office of commander-in-chief, and his sailor brother, the Duke of Clarence, to his prospect of the throne. On the 15th of February a message from the king was presented to both houses of parliament, recommending an addition to the income of the Duke and Duchess of Clarence, in view of the increased expenses which would be occasioned to them by the duke's proximity to the throne. A good deal of objection was made to this in the Commons but none in the Lords. The great distress of the people whose condition had just been made the subject of a royal letter to the bishops and the inconvenience of the precedent were the grounds of opposition and these were met by the plea that the maintenance of royal dignity was an object which must not give way to temporary pressure and that the sum proposed was only a portion of what would be saved to the country by the death of the Duke of York.

and Duchess of

of the elder bro

now accrue, and it was proposed that parliament should grant £9000 more—namely, £3000 to the duke, and £6000 to the duchess, by which their joint income would be raised to £38,500. After much opposition and debating it was thus settled.

It was on Thursday, the 15th of February, that this royal message was presented, and it was taken into con

sideration the next evening—Friday. Lord Liverpool brought forward the subject in the Upper House, and spoke upon it. He was never seen to be better or more cheerful. The next morning, Saturday, his servant was surprised at not hearing the bell, as usual, after breakfast, and went into his master's study, where he found Lord Liverpool lying on the floor in an apoplectic fit. Whether he would live was for some time doubtful; but it was quite certain that his political career was ended. His colleagues wrote in their private letters; 'Heaven knows who will succeed him.' Some felt it 'a tremendous blow under present circumstances.' The principal of these circumstances was the universal expectation—a state of doubtful expectation—about the proposed Corn Bill, and some legislation about the Catholics. The king was at Brighton; and Mr. Peel went down to inform him of the event. Mr. Canning was at Brighton, confined to his bed by the illness caught at the funeral; and Mr. Huskisson was confined to the house in London from the same cause. Mr. Canning had charge of the Corn Bill, and he was awaiting with extreme anxiety the approaching discussion of the Catholic question. At such a moment as this the premier was struck down; and the two friends could neither meet nor wait upon the king. We have the lord chancellor's first impressions on the occasion: 'If other things made it certain that he would otherwise succeed him, I should *suppose* Canning's health would not let him undertake the labour of the situation. But,' he adds, in his usual temper towards Canning, 'ambition will attempt anything.' Two days after, the chancellor became very oracular, as was natural, when it was certain that there was nothing to be known. 'This, at any time,' he says, 'would be an event of importance; so immediately after the Duke of York's death, and upon the eve of the days when the great questions of the corn-trade and Catholic emancipation are to be discussed and decided, it is of importance so great, that nobody can be certain whether it is not of so much importance as to render almost certain wrong decisions upon these vital questions.' If we can make out any meaning here, it is that Lord Eldon now supposed a liberal policy sure to prevail, and believed that

Lord Liverpool had been the only security against the dreaded 'changes in our institutions' The letter proceeds 'Nobody knows, and nobody can conjecture with probability, how soon the illness of the minister will, as it seemingly must, dissolve the administration, or how another is to be formed and composed Speculation as to this is very busy, and politicians are all at work The opposition are in high spirits, and confidently expecting to enjoy the loaves and the fishes They may—but they also may not—be disappointed'

The first thing decided upon was to wait awhile, for the chance of Lord Liverpool recovering sufficiently to send in his resignation Week after week as it passed away, showed this to be less and less probable, and by the end of March it was found necessary to set about appointing his successor Setting aside their political relations, the loss of Lord Liverpool was very affecting to Mr Canning Through life, the two had been close personal friends from the time of their first meeting at college They were born in the same year, they were inseparable at Christ Church, where they laughed at one another's whims—Jenkinson's brown coat, with buttons bearing the initials of the great orators and Canning's gloriously nonsensical verses, and where, in the intervals of their mirth, they discussed the gravest subjects of human interest, with the earnestness belonging to the genius of the one, and the integrity of the other They entered parliament at the same time, under Mr Pitt, and were never separated in their private regards by the differences on public matters which occasionally arose This is highly honourable to them both It must be a strong friendship which could enable the man of the world to bear with the views of the man of genius, when those views were too large for his comprehension, and which would enable the man of genius to bear with the negative qualities of the *mediocre* man of the world, in times which demanded all the energies of every statesman In political life, each was largely indebted to the other, as is more apparent to us now than perhaps it ever was to them Lord Liverpool was not, apparently, fully aware that it was Canning who had of late years made his government illustrious in the

eyes of the world; but every one now knows that it was so. And Canning could hardly estimate at the time the influence of Lord Liverpool's presence in securing him a field for the exercise of his statesmanship. If he had entered the cabinet he could hardly have remained there, during the last four years, under any other premier of the same politics as Lord Liverpool. It was no time for weighing these considerations, when the news of his friend's seizure came to him as he lay fevered in his bed. He had but just returned from visiting Lord Liverpool at Bath, where he had gone, after the duke's funeral, to improve his health. He had come back worse than he went; and in the depth of his illness, this news reached him. The effects of grief, anxiety, and sickness, were visible enough when he appeared in the House to bring forward the measures he had in charge, and to encounter the onslaught of persecution, which was never mitigated by any touch of reverence, sympathy, or even common humanity, till it had laid him low.

The country was not the worse for the loss of Lord Liverpool, though his official life had been useful in its way, at certain periods of his career. He was a good balance-wheel when the movements of parties might otherwise be going too fast. He had no striking ability, either in action, or in speech. He was diligent, upright, exceedingly heavy, and, as his friends well knew, extremely anxious under his sense of responsibility. He could not throw off his cares for a day or an hour—either in the free air of Wimbledon, or in his trips to Bath; and it ended in his cares throwing off his life. He declared in private, that on no one day for twenty-five years of official life had he seen his heap of letters on the table, without a sharp pang of apprehension, and a sense of reluctance to break the seals—so strong did he feel the probability to be every day that something was going wrong in some part of the world. It appears strange that a man of his cast, merely respectable in abilities and characteristics, should have held office so long—the premiership for fifteen years—in times of such stir and convulsion; but the fact was, his highest ability was that of choosing and conciliating able men, and keeping them together in sufficient harmony to

get through their work, if nothing more. Nobody quarrelled with him; and he set his whole weight against his colleagues quarrelling with each other; so that the Eldons and the Cannings, the Bexleys and the Huskissons, met in council, week after week, for years together, inwardly despising and disliking each other, but outwardly on decent terms, and all working in their own way in their own offices. This could not go on for ever; and, as we have seen, Lord Liverpool himself knew it could not go on much longer. He meant to retire presently, to leave the way open for some settlement of the Catholic question. Thus, the nation did not sustain much loss by the brief shortening of his term; nor was there the affectation of mourning a great political loss. There was decorous regret that such a penalty on toil and conscientiousness should have overtaken so meritorious a public servant; and then ensued extreme eagerness to know what influence would next be in the ascendant. This could not be ascertained till the following April.

In the meantime, the Corn Bill must first be brought forward. It was committed to Mr. Canning's care, as leader in the Commons. He was extremely anxious about it, as it was the elaborate work of his two friends, Lord Liverpool and Mr. Huskisson, and the subject was not one that he felt at home in. His diffidence was aggravated by the misfortune that he and Mr. Huskisson were kept apart by illness, in London and Brighton, and were thus precluded from personal conference about the bill. The only thing that could be done was to send a confidential friend backwards and forwards, till each minister was in possession of the mind of the other. If the conclusion of the matter could have been foreseen, or the causes of that ending have been made known as they ought to have been, the trouble and anxiety might have been, in great part, spared. The Duke of Wellington made an end of the measure, by heading the opposition in the House of Lords, and carrying an amendment which vitiated the bill too seriously to allow it to be proceeded with; the very bill which had been prepared by the premier, and fully sanctioned by the cabinet of which the duke was, at the time, a member. It was not till the 1st of March that Mr.

Canning was well enough to bring forward the measure; which he did in the form of a set of resolutions, intended to be the foundation of a new corn-law. According to the resolutions, foreign corn might always be imported, free of duty, to be warehoused; and it might always be let in for home consumption on payment of certain duties; for instance, the duty on wheat was to be 1s. when wheat was at 70s., and to increase 2s. with every decrease of 1s. in price; and so on, in different proportions, with other kinds of grain. The resolutions were well received and supported—the House rejecting, by a majority of three to one, on an average, the amendments proposed on behalf of the landed interest. A bill—the new corn-law, as it was supposed to be—was brought in on the 2nd of April, and passed on the 12th, before the House adjourned for the Easter holidays. When parliament reassembled, Mr. Canning was premier, and the conduct of the bill in the Upper House devolved upon Lord Goderich (Mr. Robinson under his new title). Under some extraordinary misconception, the Duke of Wellington declared that he believed the amendment he had to propose would be acceptable to the government; whereas it went to establish the principle of prohibition, which it was the main object of the measure to cast aside. His amendment proposed that ‘foreign corn in bond should not be taken out of bond until the average price of corn should have reached 66s.’ The government was left in a minority of eleven in the vote on this clause on the 12th of June; and the bill was therefore abandoned.

The debate on the Catholic question came on on the 5th of March, and continued two days. The anti-Catholic speakers, who mustered strong in this new parliament, wandered away from the consideration of the motion before the House into the whole set of old topics—back to the Treaty of Limerick, and wide among the doings of the priests at the late elections; and Mr. Canning had to bring them back to the question of the night, which was: ‘That this House is deeply impressed with the expediency of taking into consideration the laws imposing civil disabilities on his majesty’s Roman Catholic subjects.’ Mr. Canning’s speech was deeply impressive to the House; but it would have been more so, and have been received as an

oracle by the Catholics, if it could have been known that these were his last words on the subject which he had at heart during the whole of his career. The danger of neglect of letting things alone in such a crisis as had arrived, was his last topic on this last occasion. After stating that 'one bugbear was fairly disposed of'—the coronation oath—he said 'What are the other dangers which exist at this eleventh hour, I have yet to learn, but a singular fate has attended this question. The question is "Will you do as we propose? or will you do nothing? or what will you do?" And, secondly "What dangers do you apprehend?" Now, to the question "Will you do as we propose? or will you do nothing? or will you do something else?" the answer is clear enough "We will not do as you propose." But to the two remaining branches of the question, no answer is given. And when we ask "What dangers do you apprehend from the passing of a bill similar to that of 1813?" we are also unable to get any answer.

I conjure the House to reflect that the motion is merely a declaration on the part of the House, that the state of Ireland and of the Roman Catholic population is such as to demand the consideration of the House. To this proposition it is intended to oppose a direct negative, importing that the House does not think the state of Ireland, or the laws affecting the Roman Catholics deserve consideration. That is the issue upon which the House is now going to divide. The resolution goes no further than that the House should adopt the opinion of its predecessors, who sent three bills up to the House of Lords of relief to the Roman Catholics.

On the other hand, if this resolution should be negatived—if the House of Commons should decide that the consideration of the state of Ireland is not worthy to be entered upon—then is the House of Commons changed indeed, and it would be more easy to imagine, than it would be safe for me to express, the consequence that may ensue from such a change.

It was now just five years since Mr. Canning uttered in the House what he supposed would be his last appeal on behalf of the Catholics—in 1822, previous to his intended departure for India. He was then mistaken, and now,

when really uttering his last appeal, he was unconscious that it would be so. Never could he have been more earnest than now; for any retrogression of the Commons on this subject would be, at the moment, a most untoward circumstance for the cause and for himself. It was the moment when a new administration was about to be formed; when its determining principle—whether avowed or not—was to be concession or opposition to the Catholic claims; and when the king himself was falling back, on the removal of the rivalry of the Duke of York. The loss of the Commons from the cause must be most disastrous at such a crisis. This loss, however, had to be sustained. The division took place a little before five in the morning of the 7th of March, in a House of 548 members; and there was a majority of four against the motion. The anti-Catholic party *had* gained by the elections. The Marquis of Lansdowne had given notice in the Lords of a motion grounded on the petitions sent up by the Catholics; but on this decision of the Commons, he withdrew it, fairly avowing that he dared not go forward, nor brave the consequences of the disappointment to the Catholics, if both Houses should display a majority against them. This was an anxious season for the friends of the Catholics, to whom it appeared that the question had gone back, and who scarcely dared to reckon on the patience of their wronged fellow-subjects. But men rarely know what circumstances are really prosperous or adverse. This was but the step back, before the spring. It was too late now for the Catholics to be disheartened, when they had just seen what they could do in the field of the elections. They roused themselves for the struggle which was to prove the final one.

First, this question broke up another cabinet. Of the existing cabinet, the Duke of Wellington and Mr. Peel were the strong men on the one side, and Mr. Canning and Mr. Huskisson on the other. Lord Liverpool had kept them together hitherto—he having been openly of the anti-Catholic party all his life, but being well known among his colleagues to have arrived at the conviction, and to be about to act upon it, that the friends of the Catholics must soon carry their point. The repressive

and combining influence of Lord Liverpool being now removed, the diverse elements of the government parted off and rose up against each other, so that it became immediately necessary to decide which should have the ascendancy. It was not yet considered indispensable that there should be an undivided cabinet on this question. The question might be left open, but whether the premier should be of the one way of thinking or the other was the particular which could not but bring this all important matter to an issue.

Mr Canning could not be dispensed with. The public showed that it thought so, the king certainly thought so; and the members of the administration and their friends betrayed in their correspondence, and by their methods of consultation, that, if they themselves did not think so, they feared that everybody else did. Mr Canning also held the second place in the cabinet, and had the first right to look to the premiership, and to be consulted upon it. He it was, therefore, whom the king summoned, on the 27th of March when it was found to be in vain to wait for any amendment in the state of Lord Liverpool, and when the restlessness of the country and of political parties showed that there must be no more delay in forming an administration. The interview was long and embarrassing to both. The king requested Mr Canning's opinion on the practicability of placing at the head of the cabinet a statesman who held Lord Liverpool's avowed opinions on the Catholic question. Mr Canning declared that it might, he believed, be done, and a wholly anti-Catholic government be formed, in which case, of course, he must retire, and he plainly intimated that he could not remain in the government except as prime-minister. This could have been no surprise to the king, for there was no statesman of Mr Canning's way of thinking to whom he could, with any propriety, have been made subordinate. Yet the king could not bring himself at once to the point of nominating Mr Canning, and this first negotiation was at an end.

What might have been the next step if the king had been let alone there is no saying. But some anti-Catholic members of the aristocracy, alarmed at the strength of the

popular expectation in favour of Mr. Canning, took a step of greater boldness than the sovereign was disposed to endure, and ruined their own cause by an attempt at intimidation which roused the royal resentment. A Tory peer, a duke and privy-councillor, requested an audience of the king, and told his majesty that he came as the express representative of eight peers—all, like himself, holding great electoral influence—to declare that if Mr. Canning was placed at the head of the cabinet, they would all withdraw their support from the government. This took place on the fourth day after the abortive interview. The effect of this disrespectful and corrupt proceeding was to determine the king on the instant to send for Mr. Canning.

By this time, Mr. Canning was aware that if he became premier, the government must lose the services of Mr. Peel; for Mr. Peel had told him so on the 29th of March. Between these two statesmen there was, with all their differences of opinion, and much clashing of interests at this crisis, no ill-will. Private letters of Mr. Canning's are in existence which declare that Mr. Peel was the only seceding member of the government who behaved well to him at this time; and it is known that he declared Mr. Peel to be his rightful political heir and successor.

Mr. Peel's difficulty in this instance was a peculiar one. It arose from his being responsible in his office for the administration of the affairs of Ireland. He was disposed for a cabinet divided on this question, as the House of Commons had just shown itself so very equally divided; and on almost every other question of importance, he was of the same mind with those of his colleagues who sat with him in the Commons. But he felt that he could not fill his place in the House as Irish minister with any satisfaction under a premier who advocated a policy in regard to the Catholics opposite to his own. Such were his reasons, assigned by himself in a frank and admirable letter to Lord Eldon, of the date of the 9th of April. He made no difficulty that could be helped, and caused no embarrassment. He spoke to no one but the king and Mr. Canning on the subject; and his intentions and feelings became known only by the king's mention of them to the chan-

writ had been given—‘for if we wait till the holidays, without adopting any definitive steps, I see that it is quite hopeless for me to attempt to persevere in the objects I have undertaken’ The king in reply, gave him his hand to kiss, and confirmed him in his appointment declaring, however, according to some accounts that he himself was resolved to oppose any further concessions to the Catholics. In two hours after this interview in the royal closet, the House of Commons was ringing with acclamations—Mr Winn moving ‘That a new writ be issued for the borough of Newport, in consequence of the Right Hon. George Canning having accepted the office of first lord commissioner of the treasury’

The minister had now the Easter recess before him for constructing his cabinet but there were more resignations to come in The Duke of Wellington gave up his office in the ordnance as well as that of commander in-chief Lord Melville, though agreeing with Mr Canning on the Catholic question declined holding office with some whom he believed Mr Canning about to solicit The master of the Mint Mr Wallace—the attorney general, Sir Charles Wetherell—and the judge-advocate, Sir J Beckett, next resigned, and even four of the king’s household officers. There must have been among these personages an expectation of a new time—of a transition to what they called Radicalism or revolution under a minister of liberal politics for it is difficult to see how some of them could be affected by Mr Canning’s becoming the head of a cabinet in which the Catholic question was still to remain open, the king’s resolution to oppose further concession being understood

It was this which made Mr Canning’s task a very difficult one it being impossible for him to fill up the vacant offices with men of his own opinions on the great question of the day The task was achieved, however, by the 27th of April On that day every office in the government was declared to be filled up Lord Bexley returned to office the heir-presumptive became lord high admiral the day after Lord Melville’s resignation of his office at the head of the admiralty Sir John Copley, created Lord Lyndhurst, became chancellor, Lord Anglesey went to the

Ordinance, Lord Dudley to the foreign and Mr. Sturges Bourne to the home office. Mr. Robinson, who had remained, was removed to the Upper House, with the title of Lord Goderich, in order to lead the business there. Mr. Canning himself assumed the chancellorship of the exchequer, uniting it with that of first lord of the treasury, in order that Mr. Huskisson and he might work with the fuller effect together in matters of finance. Thus the minister was prepared with a complete government to meet the House of Commons on its assembling on the 1st of May, to the surprise of not a few of both friends and foes, who had believed it impossible that he could surmount such a mass of impediments as had been thrown in the way of his entrance into the highest office of the state. The curiosity was now intense to see how he would proceed.

The times were so busy and exciting that men had hardly leisure to note, as they would have done at any former period, the retirement of the aged chancellor. Perhaps there was in their minds, perhaps there was in his own, a doubt whether he had retired, never to return—he who had talked of it so often and so long, and had yet adhered to office for a longer time than any other chancellor, lay or clerical, from the Norman conquest downwards. His tenure of office had been but once interrupted, and had extended over within a few weeks of a quarter of a century. He felt sensibly the calmness with which his resignation was received by the political world and the country at large, though he was ready to be at least invited back to office under future ministers. He has left on record one really painful fact in connection with his retirement—a fact so painful as to enable us partly to account for his low estimate of persons beyond his own set of acquaintances. He writes, on the eve of his retirement: ‘If I had all the livings in the kingdom vacant when I communicated my resignation—for what, *since that*, falls vacant I have nothing to do with—and they were cut each into threescore livings, I could not do what is asked of me by letters received every five minutes, full of eulogies upon my virtues, all which will depart when my resignation actually takes place, and all concluding with: “Pray, give me a living

before you go out." He delivered up the seals on the 30th of April, the day before the assembling of Parliament. His usual self-gratulation did not fail him on this great occasion of his life. By the heartiness with which Lord Eldon is always found rejoicing in his own conscientiousness, as in a special gift of Providence it seems as if he could not suppose that other men could ordinarily desire and endeavour to do their duty. He writes 'I have now taken my farewell of office . . . I bless God that He has enabled me to look back to a period of nearly half a century, spent in professional and judicial situations and stations, with a conviction that the remembrance of the past will gild the future years which His providence may allow to me, not merely with content, but with that satisfaction and comfort, and with much happiness, of which the world cannot deprive me.' This is characteristic, and the old Chancellor might be partly right in his special self-gratulation. We hope that most public men are at least as conscientious as he, but there are probably few who are so confident and exulting in their own righteousness. The enjoyment of his special prerogative seems, however, to have been far from sufficient for his peace. It was necessary to him that others should value him as highly as he valued himself, and it is not long before we find him sore and irritated at that diminution of his political importance which was the natural and inevitable consequence of his retirement into private life.

CHAPTER II.

Enmity to Mr. Canning—Business of Parliament—The Corn Bill—Close of the Session—Mr. Canning's Health—His Death—Funeral and Honours—Character of Mr. Canning.

THE session lasted two months after the reassembling of parliament on the 1st of May. It was a season of turbulence and rancour, which it is painful and humbling to look back upon. The only consolation is in the reflection that the disorder, though it took the appearance of hatred between individual men, was in fact a feature of the state of political transition. The minister was the professed object of the rancour, and it was he who sank under it; but not even he, with all his powers, and all his attributes of offence, could have caused such perturbation at another time, and in another position. The real conflict was between old and new principles of policy, and the wounds which men received were as representatives of those principles. In as far as Mr. Canning could keep this truth before him, he was able to bear what was inflicted; but he could not always keep it in full view. Perhaps no man of any temperament could have done so; and it was not to be expected of one so sensitive as he. Yet he might have got through if he had had any fair chance of health; but he had been ill ever since the funeral in that cold January night which had been nearly fatal to many besides himself. Now, feeble and exhausted, he was to experience no mercy. Those who had differed from his former politics, and those who detested his present aims; all who had suffered under his sarcastic wit; all who were disappointed that he had overcome his late difficulties; all who were jealous of a 'political adventurer' having risen over the heads of the aristocracies both of birth and of political administration; stimulated one another to insult, and overpower, if they could, the minister who stood exposed to all, attacks—incapable of aid, because himself so

immeasurably greater than all who would have aided, as than all who attacked him. During the remainder of the session he was a lion at bay. The lion may turn a flashing eye upon his hunters, and shake the woods with his roar; but a sufficiency of wounds must prostrate him at last, and so it was here. Here was the flashing eye, the indomitable life, the host

remained in the old haunts, to call up the awe and remorse which were now too late. It is universally agreed that personality and insult were never before so rank in any assembly of English gentlemen as now, during the two months following Mr Canning's accession to the premiership.

The most tangible complaint of his adversaries was about 'coalition,' and this fact is warrant enough for the supposition that the discontent was with the time, though the complainers themselves believed it was with the man. The minister was supported by the Whigs, and the reason why was that he and they agreed upon most subjects of importance. About reform of parliament they differed, but as Canning's arch foe, Lord Grey, declared, there was no near prospect of carrying this question, and it was, in his opinion, no reason for separating men who could unite to carry points of more pressing urgency. They differed about the repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts, and this was nearly all. They were agreed upon the leading question of the times—the Catholic disabilities, and on all matters of foreign and commercial policy and finance, by which Mr Canning was most eminently distinguished. The attendants at Pitt dinners, the Tories who professed to worship the statesman who desired Catholic emancipation and parliamentary reform, were not exactly qualified to cry out upon the union between Mr Canning and the Whigs, whose differences might be called almost nominal, in comparison with those which should have divided the Tories from Mr Pitt. The fact was that names, and recollections, and insignia, connected the Tories with Mr. Pitt, while political principles separated them, and political principles united the Whigs and Mr Canning while

names, recollections, and insignia, severed them. Some were wise enough to see that principles are of more importance than badges and names; and we should be lenient towards those who are less enlightened, remembering how, in ordinary times, these names and badges serve as safeguards of political honour and consistency, and that it is not every one who can see the moment when they cease to be true, and ought therefore to be discarded. All the wisest people—and Mr. Canning assuredly for one—would have been thus lenient, if the offended persons had kept within the bounds of temper and courtesy. For the prevailing rancour, however, there could be no excuse.

The enmity appeared not only in connection with the explanations which necessarily took place on all sides after the reassembling of parliament. No one subject of the few brought forward during these two months could be debated, or even touched upon, without occasion being taken to cavil at the new administration, and especially its head. But of all the shafts which were aimed at him, it is believed that none struck so deep as one—or rather a quiverful—from the hands of Lord Grey. In a speech of apparent calmness, of deep melancholy, of affecting unconsciousness of the destiny awaiting himself and his victim, and of the most intense personal animosity against Mr. Canning, Lord Grey opened his views in the House of Lords on the 10th of May. He believed his own political life to be closed; and he declared in pathetic terms his sense of loneliness in this latter stage of his life. He did not blame his brother Whigs for their coalition with Mr. Canning, if their personal feelings did not forbid it; but his did. He avowed his want of confidence in the minister, and gave his reasons for it. A more striking and mournful instance can hardly be found than this speech, of the effect of prejudice, in blinding one great man to the merits—even to the most familiar attributes—of another. Lord Grey had soon occasion to show how well he could bear misconstruction and rancour; but if anything could have shaken his firmness in his own hour of the ordeal, it must have been the remembrance of this fatal attack on Canning—so insolent, hard, and cold; so insulting, and so cruel! As might be expected, from the state of mind which pro-

duced it, the speech was full of misconstructions and mistakes. As far as its matter was concerned, nothing could have been easier than to answer it, but the question was how? The practice of answering in one House the personal attacks made in another is radically objectionable, and Mr Canning had the greatest reluctance to have recourse to this apparently only method, and besides he was not in a state of health which would have borne him through such an exertion. He believed that ere long he should be able to reply to Lord Grey in person, but they never met more. Lord Grey's political friends now the allies of the minister, did full justice to Mr Canning's character in the Upper House, but this particular speech was never efficiently answered, and the thought of it rankled in the breast of the victim to the last.

When the Commons proceeded to business, there was something almost as perplexing as strange in the aspect of the House—Mr Brougham and Sir Francis Burdett, Mr Tierney and Sir Robert Wilson sitting on the ministerial benches and some who had till now scarcely known any other seat, finding themselves on the opposite side. The one point in which all parties appeared to agree was in wishing the session over. In the present state of men's minds no great question could be discussed with due calmness, and the ministerial members especially wished that their relations with the cabinet should become more assured and consolidated before they exposed the greatest questions of the time to the passionate treatment of the legislature. Thus, not only were notices of motions on parliamentary reform, and repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts—Mr Canning's great points of difference with his new allies—withdrawn but also two on the Catholic question, which was too serious a matter now to be committed to the forces of such a tempest as at present perturbed the world of politics.

A motion tending to take bankruptcy matters from under the jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery was negatived by a large majority—Mr Hume failed in his endeavour to get repealed that one of the Six Acts of 1819 which imposed a stamp on cheap periodical publications—On the ground of petitions from some of the ports, a

Committee was asked for to inquire into the state of the shipping interest; and this called up Mr. Huskisson to justify his policy by such clear proofs of the increased employment of British shipping, both absolutely and in relation to foreign shipping, that the mover, General Gascoyne, Mr. Huskisson's colleague in the representation of Liverpool, abstained from pressing for a division.—Two bills, attacking some of the worst evils of the game-laws, those incessant rebukes to our pride of progress and civilisation, reached the third reading, and then were thrown out—Lord Wharncliffe's by a majority of one; the Marquis of Salisbury's by a majority of sixteen. Lord Suffield, however, obtained the legal prohibition of man-traps and spring-guns, and other such barbarous defences of game at the expense of men.—Mr. Peel obtained some important improvements in the criminal law. Five acts were passed under his management, by which a great simplification of the law was effected, much old rubbish got rid of, and a way prepared for further reforms.—Some corrupt boroughs were doomed to disfranchisement; but the session closed before the necessary steps were taken.—The new chancellor of the exchequer proposed to move for a finance committee in the next session; and there was therefore little discussion of the budget of the present, which was brought forward on the 1st of June. The view which he presented of the affairs of the country was dark enough. The people were hardly yet beginning to recover from the depression of 1826. All were so far satisfied that it was better to leave the country to itself than to attempt at present any financial innovations, that Mr. Canning's resolutions with regard to supply met with no opposition, and all financial discussion was deferred till the committee of next session should be moved for.—Mr. Canning moved and carried an amendment on a motion of Mr. Western's respecting the corn-laws; the amendment being grounded on the bill which had passed the House in the spring, and been thrown out by the mistake of the Duke of Wellington. The last words of the last speech of Mr. Canning in parliament related to the conduct of the Duke of Wellington in this matter, and pledged the government to bring forward another Corn Bill in the next session, of the same

watch in fearful apprehension of the news, but yet the consternation was as great as if this man had been supposed immortal. Multitudes felt that the life most important to the world of the whole existing generation had passed away. It was a life in which men had put their trust—more trust than should perhaps be put in any life—from the isles of Greece to the ridges of the Andes. When those who had, by their persecution, snuffed that life now awoke to a sense of its importance, they must have been amazed at themselves that they could have indulged spleen and passion in such a case, and have gratified their own prejudices and tempers at so fatal a cost. But thus it is when men serve, instead of mastering, their prejudices and passions: they know not what they do and if they discover what they have done it is because it is too late. All the honour that could be given now was given. All the political coteries the whole country the whole continent, the whole world echoed with eulogy of the departed statesman. From the most superficial and narrow minded of his critics who could comprehend nothing beyond the charm which invested the man, to the worthiest of his appreciators who were sensible of the grandeur of his intellect and the nobility of his soul all now joined in grief and in praise, and none with a more painful wringing of the heart than those who had but lately learned his greatness and the promise that it bore. Of his near friends one sat unmoved and insensible in the midst of the universal lamentation—Lord Liverpool, whose mind had died first but whose frame remained after the grave had closed over his comrade and successor, and another, Mr Huskisson received, among the Styrian Alps a report of Canning's convalescence, three days after he was actually dead. The mournful news soon followed, and in a few days Mr Huskisson was on his way homewards heart stricken for the loss of his friend and convinced, as he repeatedly and earnestly said that his own political career was over.

Mr Canning was fifty six years of age. He was borne to his grave in the Abbey on the 16th of August. His family wished his funeral to be as private as the funeral of such a man could be, and they declined the attendance

of several public bodies, and a multitude of individuals; but yet the streets were so thronged, in a deluge of rain, that a way was made with difficulty; and the Abbey was filled; and the grief of the mourners next the coffin hardly exceeded that which was evident in the vast crowd outside. The next morning, the king bestowed a peerage on Mr. Canning's widow. Statues of the departed statesman, and monuments, exist in many places in the world; and it is well; but the niche in history where the world holds the mind of the man enshrined for ever, is his only worthy monument.

It would be a curious speculation—but it is one not in our way at present—what Mr. Canning would finally have been and have done, if the great European war had lasted to the end of his life. His glory in our eyes is mainly that he was the minister of the peace; his immortality lies in his foreign policy, by which peace was preserved and freedom established, in a manner and to an extent which the potentate of the world of mind is alone competent to achieve. Czars, emperors, kings, and popes, may make peace one with another, in a mechanical, and therefore precarious manner; and this is all that, as the princes of the earth, they can do. The princes of the wider and higher realm of mind can do what Canning did—spread peace over continents, and the great globe itself, vitally, and therefore permanently, by diffusing and establishing the principles of peace. Of a history of the peace, he must be the hero. In a state of war, he must have been something great and beneficent; for his greatness was inherent, and his soul was—like the souls of all the greatest of men—benign; and his power—the prerogative of genius—was paramount as often as he was moved to put it forth. Without being able to divine what he would have done in a state of continuous war—without daring to say that he would have calmed the tempest in its wrath as effectually as he forbade it to rise again—we may be assured that he would have chosen to do great things, and have done what he chose.

One of the strongest evidences of Mr. Canning's power is the different light in which he appeared to the men about him and to us. His accomplishments were so

brilliant, his graces so exquisite, his wit so dazzling, that all observers were completely occupied by these, so as to be almost insensible to the qualities of mind which are most impressive to us who never saw his face. To us he is, as Lord Holland called him, 'the first logician in Europe.' To us he is the thoughtful calm, earnest, quiet statesman, sending forth from his office the most simple and business like dispatches, as free from pomp and noise as if they were a message from some pure intelligence. We believe and know all that can be told of his sensibility, his mirth and the passion of his nature, and we see no reason for doubting it is, in genius of a high order—in Fox, for instance—the logic and the sensibility are so intimately united, that in proportion as the emotions kindle and glow, the reason distils a purer and a yet purer truth. But to us to whom the fire is out, there remains the essence, and by that we judge him. We hear of his enthusiasms kindling easily at all times but especially on the apprehension of great ideas, but what we see is, that no favourite ideas led him away from a steady regard to the realities of his time. We hear of his unquenchable fancy, but we see that it never beguiled him from a statesmanlike view of the society spread out before him, and waiting upon his administration of the power of the government. He was one of the most practical of the men of his genius.

There might be none who doubted Canning's having it, whatever it might be worth.

His faults were, not only unworthy of his genius, as all faults are but of a nature which it is not easy to reconcile with genius of so high an order as his. Some of them, at least, were so. We may be able to allow for the confidence, and the spirit of enterprise—of adventure—which helped to obtain for him the name of 'adventurer,' the spirit which sprang into the political amphitheatre, ready for the combat on all hands, and thinking at first more of the combat than the cause, we can allow for this, because time showed how, when he knew life and its seriousness better,

the cause of any principle became everything to him, and the combat a thing not to be sought, however joyfully it may be met. The name of 'adventurer' can never be given to him who resigned office rather than take part against the queen, and gave up his darling hope of representing his university in order to befriend the Catholic cause. He was truly adventurous in these acts, but with the self-denial of the true hero.

We may allow, again, for the spirit of contempt, which was another of his attributes least worthy of his genius. It was but partial; for no man was more capable of reverence; and much of his ridicule regarded fashions and follies, and affectations of virtue and vice; but still, there was too much of it. It did visit persons; and it did wound honest or innocent feeling, as well as exasperate some whose weakness was a plea for generous treatment. For this fault, however, he paid a high penalty—he underwent an ample retribution. Again, we may allow for some of his political acts—such as countenancing restrictions on the press—from the consideration of the temper and character of the times, and of his political comrades; but they necessarily detract from our estimate of his statesmanship.

The same may be said about parliamentary reform. It is exactly those who most highly honour the advocates of reform of parliament who can most easily see into the difficulties, and understand the opposition, of the anti-reformers in parliament. But there is no knowing what to say about Mr Canning's opposition to the repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts. He knew the facts of the case, of course; his advocacy of the Catholic claims shows that he knew the principle of it. His inconsistency in this case must be regarded as one of the waywardnesses—one of the faults, at once intellectual and moral—for he alleged no reasons, no plea which he himself would call reasonable—which are the links that bind down even the greatest to their condition of human frailty. As for all the rest of him, he was worthy of his endowments and his great function in life. He was an excellent son to his humble mother, who died, happily for herself, before him—in March of the same year. He was nearly as large an

object in the mental vision of all the leading men of his time as in that of his proud mother, or of his adoring family and private friends. His mind and his name did indeed occupy a great space in the world, from the year 1822 till his death, and when he was gone, there was a general sensation of forlornness throughout the nation which made the thoughtful ponder how such dismay could be caused by the withdrawal of one from amidst its multitude of men.

CHAPTER III.

Premier—His Colleagues—Affairs of Greece—Treaty of Navarino—Troubles in
of Wellington,

Premier

THE Catholics were now eager to learn their fate, and the nation—indeed many nations—had the strongest interest in knowing whether Mr Canning's principles were still to reign by the administration of his friends or whether the old Tories were to return to power. It was soon known that there was still to be a mixed cabinet, under the premiership of Lord Goderich.

Mr Huskisson feeble in health and cast down by the loss of his life-long friend wished to leave office. He had turned homewards on hearing the bad news and remained a few days at Paris partly to await the arrival of the dispatches which were travelling after him and partly for needful rest. If the Tories should come into power, or if a successor of his own views could be found he intended to winter in the south of Europe. When his letters arrived, however, he found that he had no choice. The new premier earnestly pressed him to take the colonial office, and the king had emphatically expressed his desire that Mr Huskisson would return to enter upon his function as soon as possible. Thus then, it was clear that Mr Canning's policy was to be in the main pursued, and this was not the

less believed for the Duke of Wellington's returning to the command of the army; for he made an open declaration that he did so for the sake of the public service, and by no means from any sympathy with the proceedings of the cabinet, of whose mixed character he disapproved as much as he had done five months before. He desired to be considered as standing aloof from the policy of the cabinet. Of course, people asked why he could not have held his command in the same way during Mr. Canning's administration; to which he replied by an intimation that there were personal reasons for his secession at that time. The great difficulty was what to do about the office of chancellor of the exchequer, filled by the departed premier. It was declined by two members of the administration, and by Mr. Tierney; and at last it was given—unfortunately as it turned out—to Mr. Herries, who had been secretary of the treasury under Lord Liverpool. If there were before too many conflicting elements in the government to be securely controlled by any hand less masterly than Mr. Canning's, matters were pretty sure to go wrong now, after the admission of a functionary so little powerful in himself, and so little congenial with his colleagues, as Mr. Herries. The Whigs were very near going out at once; but they were persuaded to stay and make a trial. Lord Harrowby yielded his place to the Duke of Portland, Mr. Canning's brother-in-law, who had been lord privy seal; and Lord Carlisle, an excellent moderator and pacificator, succeeded to the Duke of Portland.

This was the third administration which had existed within seven months, and it had no great promise of stability. The recess, however, was before it—the greatest advantage to a new cabinet; and the nation supposed that by the end of the year it would be seen what it was worth; whether it could hold together, and what it proposed to do. By the end of the year the case was indeed plain enough—that it was about the weakest administration on record. Difficulties occurred in several departments; but the most confounding were in that of foreign policy. The foreign secretary, Lord Dudley, raised to an earldom in September of this year, was a man of great ability and much earnestness in his work; and he

with Mr Cannings's views. At a former period, he had suffered under a nervous depression which too clearly indicated the probability of that insanity which ultimately prostrated him, but at this time, he appeared to be capable of business, and to be eccentric in manner only, and not in ways of thinking. Some inconveniences occurred from his singularities, which made it rather a relief when he retired, in May of the next year, but they did not occasion any serious difficulties. He was in the habit of thinking aloud, and, amusing as this might be in cabinet council, it was dangerous any where else and it is believed that in the autumn we have now arrived at he directed to the Russian ambassador a letter intended for the French—to Prince Lieven a letter intended for Prince Polignac. Prince Lieven took this for a *ruse* and boasted of his penetration in being aware of the trick. It was the state of a portion of our foreign affairs which might have made this accident a most disastrous one. The truth is, the difficulty was great enough without any aggravation from carelessness and unfortunate accidents.

The aspect of the Greek cause was much altered by the part the ruler of Egypt had been for some time taking in the war. Mohammed Ali the Pacha of Egypt, a tributary and vassal of the Porte had brought all his energy, and all his resources, to the aid of his sovereign. Before he did this the war dragged on as it might have done for ever if the parties had been left to their rivalry of weakness. But when the pacha sent his son Ibrahim with ships, troops, money, and valour to fight against the

fall out under the provocations of adversity, and the dissensions of the Greek leaders ran higher now than ever. Lach was sure that the disasters of the country were owing to some one else. It was this quarrelling which prevented the Greeks from taking advantage of some successes of their brave general Karaiskaki, to attempt the relief of Athens—closely pressed by the Turks. The Turkish force was soon to be strengthened by troops already on their

•march; and now, before their arrival, was the time to attempt to relieve Athens. Some aid was sent; and some fighting went on—on the whole with advantage to the Greeks; but nothing decisive was done till Lord Cochrane arrived among them, rated them soundly for their quarrels, and took the command of their vessels—the Greek admiral, Miaulis, being the first and the most willing to put himself under the command of the British officer. In a little while, Count Capo d'Istria, an official esteemed by the Russian government, was appointed president of Greece for seven years. The Turkish reinforcements had arrived, absolutely unopposed before Athens, and this was the

which the pacha was preparing there, for, when the Egyptians came out to offer battle the Greeks made all sail homewards.

The Turks now supposed they had everything in their own hands. On the intervention of the French admiral De Rigny, they spared the lives of the garrison of the Acropolis, permitting them to march out, without their arms, and go whither they would. Then, all seemed to be over. The Greeks held no strong places but Corinth and Napoli, and had no army, while the Turks held all the strong places but Corinth and Napoli, and had two armies at liberty—that of the Egyptian leader in the west, and of the Turkish seraskier in the east—to put down any attempted rising within the bounds of Greece. But at this moment of extreme humiliation for Greece aid was preparing, and hope was soon to arise out of despair. While Mr Canning was fighting his own battles in parliament, he had his eye on what was passing in Greece, and the fall of Athens and the dispersion of the Greek forces, only strengthened his resolution that the powers of Europe should hasten the interposition he had planned long before.

It was important to Russia that Turkey should be weakened in every possible way and Russia was therefore on the side of the Greeks. The sympathies of France and England were on the side of the Greeks, but they must also see that Greece should be freed in reality and that Turkey should not be destroyed so they were willing to enter into alliance with Russia to part the combatants, preserve both, impose terms upon both and see that the terms were observed. The Duke of Wellington had gone to St Petersburg to settle all this, and the ministers of the three courts laid before the government of the Porte at Constantinople, the requisitions of the allies. The great object was to separate the Turks and Greeks—the faithful and the infidels—who could never meet without fighting, and it was proposed or, we may rather say, ordained by the allies, that all the Turks should leave Greece, receiving compensation, in some way to be devised, for the property they must forsake. The Greeks were to pay a tribute to the Porte, and to be nominally its sub-

jects; and the Turkish government was to have some sort of veto on the appointment of officials; but substantially the choice of officers, and the enjoyment of their own modes of living, were to be left to the Greeks. As might be expected, the victorious Turk was amazed at this interference between himself and his rebellious subjects; and if he would not listen to dictation before the fall of Athens, much less would he afterwards. There was threat as well as dictation; threat of enforcing the prescribed conditions; but the Porte braved the threat as loftily as it rejected the interference.

The rejection was too natural and reasonable not to be received as final; and the three powers therefore proceeded to their acts of enforcement. It may be remembered that Mr. Canning, ill and wearied, after the close of the session, exerted himself to transact some public business. The chief item of this business was causing to be signed the treaty with France and Russia, concerning the affairs of Greece, which was finished off in London, and immediately despatched to Constantinople. In this treaty, the alliance and its purposes were justified on the ground of 'the necessity of putting an end to the sanguinary contest, which, by delivering up the Greek provinces, and the isles of the Archipelago, to the disorders of anarchy, produces daily fresh impediments to the commerce of the European states, and gives occasion to piracies which not only expose the subjects of the contracting powers to considerable losses, but render necessary burdensome measures of suppression and protection.' England and France moreover, pleaded the appeals they had received from the Greeks. The treaty concluded with a declaration and pledge of disinterestedness: of desiring nothing which the whole world besides was not at liberty to obtain.

A month from the date of the arrival of the instructions to the ambassadors at Constantinople was the time allowed to the Porte for consideration. If the terms of the three powers were not by that time acceded to, they must proceed to the threatened enforcement, with every intention to preserve their own pacific relations with Turkey. The work of mediation was to be carried on by force, in such a case, under the plea that such a proceeding would

on the 29th of November. Other difficulties, many and serious had now arisen and from this time till the 19th of December, the country can hardly be said to have had

of course, Lord Goderich could not act as such among his colleagues after having sent in his resignation. On or about the 20th of December, Lord Goderich was induced to withdraw his resignation and then Mr Herries, and immediately after Mr Huskisson placed their offices at his disposal. But Mr Herries was again forgotten or slighted. No one told him of Mr Huskisson's offer to resign while the premier urged him to retain his place. As soon as he heard of Mr Huskisson's resolution to abide by the nomination of Lord Althorp and to go out if he could not carry that point, Mr Herries resigned. Lord Goderich apparently believing both these gentlemen to be absolutely essential to his government, and being unable to reconcile their differences gave the matter up, and went to Windsor on the 8th of January, to explain to the king that he could not go on, and to resign his office.

These miserable dissensions had been occupying the time and the minds of the ministers during the precious weeks which should have been employed in preparing for the approaching session of parliament. Parliament was to have met at this very date, but in order to afford time for the formation of a ministry it was further prorogued to the 29th of January. During the interval of actual dissolution Mr Huskisson had been desired by the king to send Lord Harrowby to him. Lord Harrowby went to Windsor, but was firm in declining the premiership on the ground that there is good reason to believe that he had risen into Canning's seat by his friend's fate, and decided that he had not health for the office.

There had now been enough of mixed administrations, or the king thought so. Lord Liverpool had kept one in working order by his weight of character, his business faculty, and the power and dignity accruing from his

length of service. Mr. Canning would have kept such an administration together by the commanding power of his mind. But it was not to be supposed that any one else could be found who could bring harmony out of elements of discord; and the condition of public affairs was such as unusually to require a strong and united government. So the king sent for the strongest and most peremptory man of all; and, in spite of the Duke of Wellington's declaration not long before, that he should be mad if he ever thought of undertaking an office for which he was so little fit, he found himself, before the middle of January 1828, prime minister of England.

CHAPTER IV.

The Wellington Cabinet—The King's Speech—The Porte—Finance Committee—Repeal of Dissenters' Disabilities.

For a few days the old Tory party were happy. All would be well now. The king would have no more trouble about the Catholics, for they would be put down. Lord Eldon would be the person consulted by the duke about the formation of the new ministry, and would have great influence in it, even if he should at length, at seventy-seven years of age, think himself too old for office. There would be no more talk of a balance of parties in the cabinet; but the duke would have his political comrades drilled into uniformity—'a perfect machine,' as he had declared his Peninsular army to be. So people thought; but the strongest and most peremptory of men must bend like a willow-wand before the force of opinion. Opinion was now too strong for even the Duke of Wellington; and no one of these anticipations was fulfilled.

The day after the duke received his majesty's commands, he wrote to Lord Eldon, declaring his intention of calling on him the next day. By Lord Eldon's account, the meeting was a somewhat awkward one; the ex-chancellor evidently expecting the offer of some position in the

administration, though too old to resume his seat on the woolsack. 'From the moment of his quitting me,' writes Lord Eldon, 'to the appearance in the papers of all the appointments, I never saw his grace. I had no communication with him, either personally, by note, letter, by message through any other person, or in any manner whatever, and, for the whole fortnight, I heard no more of the matter than you did, some of my colleagues in office—and much obliged to me too—passing my door constantly on their way to Apsley House, without calling upon me. In the meantime, rumour was abroad that I had refused *all* office—and this was most industriously circulated, when it was found that there was as there really does appear to me to have been very great dissatisfaction among very important persons on my account as neither included in office nor at all, not in the least consulted. However, there was a degree of discontent

However there was a degree of discontent and anger among persons of consequence which, I suppose, working together with its having been somehow communicated that I was much hurt at this sort of treatment, brought the Duke of Wellington to me again and the object of his visit seemed to be to account for all this. He stated in substance that he had found it impracticable to make any such administration as he was sure I would be satisfied with and therefore, he thought he should only be giving me unnecessary trouble in coming near me—on

he was sure nobody had any reason to suppose and about having been neglected for a whole fortnight. The Duke gave as a justification for having concluded that Lord Eldon would not have approved the composition of the ministry, that it seemed as if he did not like it now the whole was complete, to which Lord Eldon replied, that he thought it a d——d bad one. 'We conversed together,' he continues however, 'till, as it seemed to me, we both became a good deal affected.' They might well find themselves 'a good deal affected.' Perhaps we may feel something of it, in merely reading the record. It is sad to think of these old comrades parting off in the way they

were doing now, under a control which neither of them liked, but to which the younger could wisely bend, while the elder could only fret and be angry. Agreeing in dislike of the changes in the times, they differed about how to meet them; and the elder called the younger inconsistent; and the younger called the elder impracticable. The wedge was in, which was to split up policies, and parties, and friendships. It had been driven in some way now; everybody having, by intention or mischance, lent a hand to drive it further for some time past. The duke was the man to knock out the wedge, and make all whole again; but lo! he found himself under a compulsion which permitted him no choice but to drive the wedge home, leaving our Protestant constitution, as Lord Eldon believed, shivered to fragments. Meantime, he was compelled, as others had been, to adjust a balance of political forces in the cabinet, and to find, as if he had been a weaker man, that it was not in the power of his will to make them work. As Lord Eldon classified them, pen in hand, it came out clear before his eyes that Protestantism was in as much danger as ever. Of the thirteen, he marks six as favourers of the Catholic claims, saying: 'The other seven are as yet for Protestants, but some *very loose*. You will observe Dudley, Huskisson, Grant, Palmerston, and Lyndhurst (five), were all *Canningites*, with whom the rest were, three weeks ago; in most violent contest and opposition. These things are to me quite marvellous. How they are all to deal with each other's conduct as to the late treaty with Turkey, and the Navarino battle, is impossible to conjecture. . . . Viscountess Canning has written a strong letter, as Lord Ashley tells me, to Huskisson, strongly reproaching him for joining—I use Ashley's *own* expression—her husband's murderers.' From Mr. Huskisson's own explanations of his position, it appears that this statement concerning him is substantially true. In the first grief on his friend's loss, he uttered expressions which were certainly received as a pledge that he would never enter office in conjunction with those who had left Mr. Canning in the lurch. His words, as avowed by himself, were, 'that his wounds were too green and too fresh to admit of his serving in the same cabinet with those who

had deserted the service of the country, at the time his friend's administration was formed' Yet here he was now, in office under the Duke of Wellington, and by the side of Mr. Peel! We cannot wonder at the irritation of Mr Canning's family, and we are, judging by the event, sorry that Mr Huskisson entered this cabinet, but we must remember the strangeness of the time which confounded all calculations, and made sport of all consistencies This, of itself, would guard us against a peremptory judgment, but we also know that Mr Huskisson's acceptance of office was approved by the oldest and most valued friends of Mr Canning Still, the general feeling was that Mr Huskisson passed at this time under a cloud from which he never again emerged in full brightness

It was in his former office, the colonial, that he remained, and Lord Dudley remained in the foreign office Mr Grant was president of the Board of Trade, and treasurer of the navy, and Lord Palmerston, secretary at war These were, what we may call the semi-liberal members of the administration Mr Herries remained, but in an office—master of the Mint—which need not bring him again into collision with Mr Huskisson, while Mr Goulburn succeeded to the chancellorship of the exchequer Mr Peel succeeded Lord Lansdowne at the home office Lord Lyndhurst remained chancellor, and Lord Bathurst held the office—president of the council—which Lord Eldon had hoped to be able to accept or refuse One of the most important appointments was that of the Marquis of Anglesey to the viceroyalty of Ireland, in the place of Lord Wellesley

This administration was nearly the same as that which had existed under Lord Liverpool, the only important changes being that Mr Canning and Lord Eldon were absent, and Lord Lyndhurst and Lord Dudley present in their stead But the men were altered The spirit of the time had changed them, and it was no more the same government that had existed under Lord Liverpool than if it had been composed of other men

There was great eagerness throughout the country to see how much would be said in the king's speech about the great existing subjects of interest, that men might

know what to expect from the new government. There was not a syllable about Ireland or the Catholic Association, and nothing about corn. There were intimations of improving prosperity at home; a recommendation to inquire diligently into financial affairs—in other words, to appoint the proposed finance committee; a notification that the troops had returned from Portugal—their appearance there having answered the purposes for which they were sent; and about half the speech related to affairs in the east. One paragraph supplied matter of debate in both Houses, and of party offence, for some time after; and there are persons who have not got over it to this day. The paragraph was this: ‘Notwithstanding the valour displayed by the combined fleet, his majesty deeply laments that this conflict [of Navarino] should have occurred with the naval force of an ancient ally; but he still entertains a confident hope that this untoward event will not be followed by further hostilities, and will not impede that amicable adjustment of the existing differences between the Porte and the Greeks, to which it is so manifestly their common interest to accede.’ Few words have excited more debate or more passion in their time than this word ‘untoward.’ To us, after the lapse of years, it seems a simple affair enough—this application of the word ‘untoward’ to an event which, originating in a sort of accident, ought to have involved us in war with Turkey, and might have brought us into collision with Russia. But the word was hardly looked upon at all with simplicity, as in cases where no passion is concerned. The late administration regarded it as implying censure on their policy; and the officers in the Mediterranean, as impeaching their judgment; and the more on account of the compliment to their valour. According to some, Russia was made suspicious. According to others, France was made angry. In short, it was a season when all men were on the watch for symptoms, and when many were implicated in great public affairs on new and doubtful grounds; and in such circumstances, a single word may become the rallying-point of a whole rabble of passions. The observer of those times is curious to know whether the framers of the speech would have changed the word,

or the paragraph if they could have foreseen the excitement that would ensue and whether they could have found any other expression that would have conveyed their meaning with less offence.

At home the whole affair ended in debate. There were motions for explanations and to obtain the thanks of parliament for the British officers engaged at Navarino—motions which were withdrawn when the objects of debate were accomplished but there was no war. Russia was quite willing to undertake that part of the consequences of the 'untoward event' and the Porte had enough to do to cope with Russia, without insisting on war with England and France.

On the departure of the ambassadors from Constantinople on the 8th of December the Turkish government protested against the resident Christians being put under the protection of the Netherlands ambassador and claimed the office of protector for itself. Four days after the departure of the Russian ambassador the Turkish vizier wrote to the Russian minister a remonstrance against the act as one apparently unauthorised by either government and likely to convey a false impression of the hostile disposition of the Porte. To this no answer was returned. Three weeks afterwards—just at the time when Lord Goderich was going out—a document sent by the vizier to all the governors of provinces in the Turkish dominions was made public which revealed the whole state of the case. The Turkish government made great complaint of the publication of this document and insisted upon its being regarded as a mere letter of private instructions addressed to its own servants. The world had nothing to do with the mode in which it had got abroad. The contents were what other powers had concern with, and these were such as to put an end to all disguise and render further duplicity needless. This document declared that the coming war was under political pretences a religious war, that the Christian powers desired to place the infidels over the heads of the faithful in all countries where they lived intermingled in order to overthrow the institutions of the prophet—that all the negotiations which had been entered into, all the humility towards the

Christian powers, all the apparent apathy about the loss of the fleet at Navarino, had been merely for the purpose of gaining time for military preparations; and that it was needless to explain that in the cause of Islamism, there was no obligation to keep faith with infidels; that it was of the utmost consequence to defer the outbreak of war till the summer approached; and that every art had been employed, and would be employed, to protract the negotiations till that time; and that, meanwhile, every effort must be used by the officers of the empire to make the people understand that this was a holy war, in which failure was a misfortune too great to be contemplated, as not only would the faithful and the infidels be made to exchange social positions, but the mosques would be converted into churches, and perhaps profaned by the sound of bells. 'Let the faithful then,' this document concluded, 'have no thought of their arrears, or of pay of any kind. Let us sacrifice willingly our properties and our persons, and struggle, body and soul, for the support of our religion. The worshippers of the prophet have no other means of working out their salvation in this world and the next.' After this, there could be no doubt of what would happen; and preparations for war went on in both countries. In this same month of January, lists were made of the Christians resident in Constantinople, and they were ordered off, with very few exceptions. Even the Armenians, subjects of the Porte, were treated like the foreign merchants, or worse. Some of the most respectable were put into prison, and about twelve thousand were banished. The Bosphorus was closed; and the corn in the vessels of any nation, then in harbour, was seized. Reinforcements were sent to the fortresses on the Danube; and a great camp was formed near Adrianople. The loss of the fleet was a terrible misfortune, as it left Russia mistress of the Black Sea; but all that could be done was done, in the interval before April, when the emperor formally declared war against the Porte. Thus stood matters six months after the battle of Navarino. No terms had been obtained for the Greeks; and if there was some respite and impunity for them, it was obtained only

it had been a chief object with England and France to control, by joining in the Treaty of London

The finance committee, which had been the occasion of the misunderstandings in Lord Goderich's cabinet, was moved for by Mr Peel on the 15th of February, and voted for almost unanimously. It consisted of twenty three members, of whom two were Mr Herries and Mr Huskisson. The latter begged at first to be excused, on account of the pressure of business in his own office, but the wish for his presence in committee was so strong and general, that he yielded. The report of this committee was delivered in too late to admit of many of its recommendations being immediately adopted, but one discovery which it made very early caused the speedy passage of a short bill, to suspend the act for granting government life annuities till a better basis should have been found for the calculations of the duration of life. When Mr Perceval brought in, in 1808 his bill authorising the sale of these annuities, the calculations were based on Dr Price's tables. Whether these tables were originally inaccurate or whether the duration of human life had improved since they were framed, they were certainly now causing the government annuities to be sold too low. There was also some curious speculation going forward, against which no minister could be expected to be on his

is that of Scotch gardeners, and many were the new Scotch gardeners picked out, and, for a consideration, made government annuitants. It had occurred to Mr Finlaison that some national loss was sustained through these annuities, and he entered into calculations which proved to him that the loss was great. He went to Lord Bexley in 1819, and told him his views, and he was directed to
 looking to the outstand-
 ted that the rate of
 was only one in fifty-
 especially was much
 longer than had been supposed. The loss to the public

was estimated at £95,000 a year; nearly £8000 a month. Nothing could be done with the sales which had been actually made; but by the act now quickly passed, the process was to be stopped till better terms were provided.

When the estimates were brought forward, it was proposed to grant a pension of £3000 a year to Mr. Canning's family, in the person of one of his sons. Mr. Canning had as every one knew, no private fortune. He would have become wealthy in India; and, if he was kept at home for the public service, it was clearly the duty of the public whom he served, to the sacrifice of wealth, to see that his family did not suffer from poverty. He had held no sinecures; and had received nothing but the salary of the offices he filled. There had been no time to lay by a provision for his family, even if his income had admitted of such accumulation; and his death was sudden and untimely. It appears a clear case enough; one in which there could be but one opinion and one voice. The sum proposed to be granted to Mr. Canning's son was from a special fund, to which his father would have become entitled, if he had lived to the expiration of two years from his entrance upon his last office. Reasonable as the claim and the method appear to be, and as they appeared to most persons at the time, so strong an opposition was raised that the matter was twice debated at great length. The objections were, some on the score of economy; some on that of the mischief of the precedent; and many more on that of dissatisfaction with Mr. Canning's policy. It is impossible to avoid supposing that the opposition arose mainly from the feelings which, a year ago, had been brought to bear upon Mr. Canning himself, and which the events of the interval had not calmed down or chastened. There was but too little improvement visible in the tone of some who might have learned moderation from the affecting lessons of the preceding months. The opposition consisted of 54 in a House of 216. Mr. Bankes perhaps went further than any one else, when he proposed to charge to Mr. Canning the expenses of the battle of Navarino, and of the Mediterranean fleet in connection with it. The ministers were eager to promote the grant—one and all—and the more eager, perhaps, for the

the sake of doing the duties and enjoying the rights of equal citizenship, and they were pleased at the second clause, because it left the declaration itself—the last their religious opinions—therefore contented themselves with protesting through their advocates in the House, against the imposition of any badge whatever, and pushed their bill. When it arrived in the Upper House, the Duke of Wellington spoke in its favour, saying that the only reason why the government had at first opposed it in the Commons was that the system had appeared to work well hitherto, but, as it was clear that the Commons thought the time was come for a change, and as the principle of the old exclusion or opprobrium was not in itself defensible he now thought it the duty of the peers to pass the bill if they were satisfied, as he was that the declaration afforded sufficient security against injury to the Established Church. Thus was it regarded by government and by some of the spiritual peers, the Archbishop of York and the Bishops of Lincoln, Durham, and Chester speaking in favour of the bill. ‘We who oppose,’ says Lord Eldon, ‘shall be in but a wretched minority, though the individuals who compose it will, as to several I think, be of the most respectable class of peers but the administration have—to their shame be it said—got the archbishops and most of the bishops to support this revolutionary bill.’ Again ‘All the Whig lords will by I s is Commons cowards, but what hops and several bishops are also against us. What they can mean, they best know, for nobody else can tell, and sooner or later, perhaps in this very year—almost certainly in the next—the concessions to the Dissenters must be followed by the like concessions to the Roman Catholics. That seems unavoidable, though, at present, the policy is to conceal this additional purpose.’ We should like now to know how many influential members of both Houses entertained this expectation, at this date of April 1828. On the 12th of the month, the chancellor again writes ‘We,

as we think ourselves, sincere friends of the Church of England, mean to fight, as well as we can, on Thursday next, against this most shameful bill in favour of the Dissenters, which has been sent up to us from the Commons—a bill which Peel's declaration in the House as to the probability of its passing in the Lords, has made it impossible to resist with effect. . . . If the Lords won't at least alter it, which I don't believe they will, I don't see how, if the Commons act consistently with themselves, Sir F. Burdett can fail in his motion on the 29th, in favour of the Roman Catholics. The state of minds and feelings in the Tory part, and aristocratical part, of the friends of Liverpool's administration is, at present, excessively feverish, and they support ministers, because they know not where to look for others. It is obvious that the ministers who were Canning's followers, to use a vulgar phrase, rule the roast, or at least have too much influence.' In his speeches Lord Eldon declared his principle broadly; and he was so angry with the bishops, and so pertinacious with his amendments, that it is clear that he considered this measure of the last importance, from its involving release from all religious disabilities, as well as those of Protestant Dissenters. He said: 'The constitution required that the Church of England should be supported; and the best way of affording that support to her was to admit only her own members to offices of trust and emolument.' Most people thought, by this time, that Lord Eldon's method was likely to be fatal to the Church, by inflicting injury and indignity on nearly half the population of Great Britain and Ireland; for to that number did Protestant Dissenters, Catholics, and Jews, now amount. Lord Eldon declared, 'that if he stood alone, he would go below the bar, and vote against the bill; and were he called that night to render his account before heaven, he would go with the consoling reflection that he had never advocated anything mischievous to his country.' The Lords would not receive his proposed amendments; and he was very unhappy—'hurt, distressed, and fatigued,' he declares, 'by what has lately been passing in the House of Lords. I have fought like a lion, but my talons have been cut off.'

Such amendments as the Lords did pass were called

CHAPTER V

1828

MORE dissensions in the cabinet! There had been rumours about hidden troubles there as early as March, and when the Corn Bill was brought forward on the 31st of that month, it became clear that there had been difficulties among its framers. It could hardly be otherwise when Mr Huskisson was necessarily the chief authority in the matter and the bill of the government. His government in regard to the present bill. The measure was declared to be in principle exactly that of last session, but the duties proposed were higher. It was generally understood that the premier had met with a firmer adherence to Mr Canning's measure than he expected among his colleagues, and he yielded—as he had now become practised in doing. He had yielded to the expediency of taking the premiership, after openly declaring that he should be mad if he ever did such a thing. He had yielded to the necessity of forming a mixed cabinet when the king had hoped to have a united one by placing him at the head. He had yielded the emancipation of the Dissenters and he now yielded his own particular objection to the Corn Bill. Truly, it was now evidently too late to look for the old fashioned 'consistency' which had been formerly the first requisite in statesmanship. If it was not to be found in the honest resolute imperious Wellington, it need not be looked for anywhere, or rather, it must be admitted that consistency meant now something different from what it used to mean. The duke went, with a good grace through the process of bringing forward the government Corn Bill, destitute of the provision which he had thought indispens-

able a year before, and of any substitute for it; and his liberal colleagues did not pretend to approve of the higher rate of duties. It was a compromise throughout. The agricultural interest complained of the absence of all prohibitory provisions; and other interests complained of the duties, and of the point at which they were fixed—the pivot-point from which ascent and descent of duties began; which they conceived to be virtually raised from 60s. to 64s. by the increased duties charged on the intervening prices. But the bill passed on the 26th of June. Mr. Huskisson made no secret of his opinions on the corn-laws. He condemned them in themselves, but thought they could not be abolished in the existing state of affairs. ‘However expedient to prevent other evils, in the present state of the country,’ he said, ‘they are in themselves a burden and a restraint upon its manufacturing and commercial industry.’ The cabinet compromise appearing to be successful as far as this bill was concerned, it was supposed that the disagreements in the government were surmounted, and that all might now go on smoothly. But it was not to be. *

There had been in February a serious call for explanations from the Duke of Wellington and Mr. Huskisson about some expressions of the latter, uttered to his constituents at Liverpool on his late re-election; and both made these explanations in parliament. Mr. Huskisson was reported to have said on the hustings that he did not enter upon office under the duke without having obtained from him guarantees that Mr. Canning’s policy would be followed out. The duke, of course, rejected with scorn the idea that any gentleman would propose to him any guarantee of the sort; or that he could for an instant listen to such a proposal. ‘Is it to be supposed,’ said the duke, ‘that the right honourable gentleman to whom I suppose the noble earl to allude, could have used the expressions ascribed to him at the Liverpool election? If my right honourable friend had entered into any such corrupt bargain as he was represented to describe, he would have tarnished his own fame, as much as I should have disgraced mine. It is much more probable—though I have not thought it worth my while to ask for any

explanation on the subject—that my right honourable friend stated, not that he had concluded any wholesale bargain with me, but that the men of whom the government is now composed are in themselves a guarantee to the public, that their measures will be such as will be conducive to his majesty's honour and interests and to the happiness of the people' And Mr Huskisson supported by abundance of needless testimony, declared that this was nearly what he did mean and say—namely, that in the composition of the cabinet would be found a sufficient guarantee for the carrying out of a liberal policy Still, though this matter was cleared up, affairs did not work easily, and a disruption of the cabinet took place in May—the immediate occasion being a misunderstanding between the same two members of the government

Mr Huskisson's popularity was somewhat declining He had lost some of the sympathy of the country by re-entering office with Mr Canning's enemies, and when it was seen with what different ministries he could sit in cabinet and how, among many changes, he, the bosom friend of Canning could abide in office, the old sneer—of his being a 'political adventurer'—was revived, with perhaps greater effect than in a more aristocratic time The events

seriously,
life got on

who, not knowing him, were duly sensible of the compass and value of his policy understood his feelings so as to acquit him of everything morally wrong—of everything in the least questionable about personal honour—of everything but uncertainty and error of judgment, but they could not complain of the world in general for forming a somewhat severer judgment Those who knew the man understood his sensitiveness about responsibility—his timidity about breaking up the government of the country on account of difficulties of his own And those who appreciated the importance of his free trade policy—the charge of which he could not depute to any one till some were educated up to his point—could well understand that he would bear with much and hesitate long before he would vacate a position in which alone he could effectually

promote that policy. He seems indeed to have lingered too long; and to have mismanaged his method of retiring, so as to have made his secession look too much like an expulsion from the cabinet; but those who knew his state of health, his need and desire of rest and travel, and his suffering in public life since the death of his friend, were well aware that his self-regards would have led him into private life long before. We cannot doubt that he often wished that he had followed his inclinations. Many and many a time within the last eight months must he have wished that he had resisted the desire of the king and Lord Goderich, and, seeing more clearly than they, remained abroad; and from this time—this May, 1828—he could have had few but bitter thoughts connected with the last stages of his public career. His final ministerial struggle is a strange instance of strong impulse followed by infirmity of purpose.

Bills were brought into parliament to disfranchise the boroughs of Penryn and East Retford; the movers—Lord John Russell and Mr. Tennyson—proposing to transfer the franchise to Manchester and Birmingham. About the disposal of the franchise there were two opinions; one, that it should be given to the neighbouring hundreds; the other, that it should be transferred to populous towns. Mr. Peel, whose opinion was the most important in the House, had declared that, if there were two boroughs to dispose of, he should advocate the transference in one case to a town, and in the other to the neighbouring hundreds. Mr. Huskisson had declared that if there were but one, he should be for giving it to a town. The Penryn case was first sent up to the Lords, and the East Retford case was discussed in the Commons, on the 19th of May, under a persuasion on the part of the government that the Penryn bill would be thrown out by the Lords; so that there would be only one borough to deal with. Here arose the ministerial difficulty. The government opposed, through Mr. Peel, the transference of the franchise to Birmingham, while Mr. Huskisson felt himself bound by his previous declaration to vote for that transference. Lord Sandon expressly claimed his vote on this ground; and he did not see how he could refuse it; though some suggested that

he might avoid voting against his colleagues, on the pretext that the House of Lords had not yet decided on the Penryn bill. Mr. Huskisson himself earnestly wished for an adjournment of the subject, that Mr. Peel and himself might have an opportunity of coming to some understanding, but he could not carry this point, and he voted against his colleagues. At the moment, he did not see that he could remain in office, or, at least, that he could avoid offering to resign. He went home, at two o'clock in the morning, with the buzz of the excited House in his ears, and the significant countenances of colleagues and opponents before his eyes, exhausted with fatigue after sixteen hours' attention to business, feeble in health and sick at heart, and, instead of waiting for the morrow to consider, when refreshed and composed, what he should do, he sat down, and wrote to the Duke of Wellington, a letter which was intended by Mr. Huskisson to be an offer to resign, but understood by the duke to be an actual and formal resignation. The duke received the letter before ten the next morning—was surprised—did not think the superscription, 'private and confidential,' had any bearing on the purport of the letter, and made all haste to lay it before the king as a formal resignation. Friend after friend went to him on Mr. Huskisson's behalf, but the duke would acknowledge no mistake or undue haste on his own part. Mr. H. after another, but still to have been positive to be irrevocable, it was, that Mr. Huskisson of the fatal character of to the duke under exhaustion his impulse was worthy and honest. . . . slow to accept the consequences of his own act. The duke was clearly less anxious about a disruption of his cabinet than pleased at the occurrence of a fair opportunity to dismiss 'the Canningites.' He offered one option to Mr. Huskisson—to withdraw his letter, but, as that act would have stultified the writer in regard to all his subsequent explanations, it could not, of course, be thought of. After a miserable series of negotiations, explanations, remon-

strances, accidents, and mistakes, so many as to suggest an idea of fatality, Mr. Huskisson's office was filled up on the 25th of May. Painfully as he had shrunk from the risk of disturbing the government, lest the country should lose the benefit of a continuance of Mr. Canning's policy, Mr. Huskisson was now compelled to witness, as a consequence of that little letter of his, the retirement of all 'the Canningites.' Lord Palmerston, Lord Dudley, Mr. Lamb (afterwards Lord Melbourne), and Mr. Grant resigned; and were succeeded by Sir Henry Hardinge, Lord Aberdeen, Lord Francis Egerton, and Mr. Vesey Fitzgerald. Mr. Huskisson's place was filled by Sir George Murray.

At last, here was a cabinet such as the king desired, and had hoped to have in January—a cabinet in which the affairs of the country could be managed as in old days, and on good old principles. Were the king and the duke happy at last?

The king could not much enjoy anything at present. In the preceding year, Lord Eldon had remarked a serious decline in his health; and he did not seem to be rallying. His state of health and nerve, of temper and spirits, enhanced the difficulties of his ministers, which were serious enough without that addition. Lord Eldon declared, a few days after Mr. Huskisson's retirement: 'The minister will have great difficulties to struggle with. The Whigs, the Canningites, and the Huskissonites, will join and be very strong. With the exception of Lord Lonsdale, the great Tory parliamentary lords are not propitiated by the new arrangements and many of them will be either neuter or adverse.' But a more serious difficulty was arising than any caused by this phalanx of foes.

In the debate on the Dissenters' bill, the duke had said, while showing how unconnected he conceived this bill to be with the Catholic cause: 'There is no person in this House whose feelings and sentiments, after long consideration, are more decided than mine are with regard to the subject of the Roman Catholic claims; and until I see a great change in that question, I certainly shall oppose it.' Recently as this had been said, there was already 'a great change.' The duke had not yet, perhaps, done yielding.

It was a pity he had not yet learned to refrain from engaging for future states of his mind

On the 8th of May, after the passing of the Dissenters' bills, and before the resignation of Mr Huskisson and his liberal colleagues, the Catholic question was brought forward by Sir F. Burdett. The debate, which occupied three evenings, ended with the adoption of a resolution, that it was expedient to consider the state of the laws affecting Roman Catholics, in order to such an adjustment as might be satisfactory to all parties. There was now a majority of six, where in the preceding session there had been a minority of four. It was thought advisable, considering the excitement caused by every movement on this question, to learn, before going further, what the Lords were likely to do, and a conference took place on the 19th, when the managers for the peers received the resolution of the Commons. The 9th of June was the day appointed for the consideration of the resolution. Before that day arrived, a 'great change' took place, which produced an immediate effect on the tone of the Duke of Wellington.

During Mr Canning's short administration, the Catholics had been very quiet. The premier was their friend, and a powerful one. During Lord Goderich's short administration, they had been suspicious and restless. The premier was their friend but he was a powerless one. When the Duke of Wellington assumed office they became violent for then the premier was their enemy. O'Connell boasted that no law should or could put down the Catholic Association, and it was, in fact, as active as ever. Their success in such of the elections as they had carried—a great success following upon a sudden thought, without any preparation or previous consultation—had taught them what to do next, by showing them what a vast electoral power they held in their command of 'the forties,' as O'Connell called the forty shilling freeholders. Vigorous preparations were made for the next general election. Missionaries were sent out to rouse and instruct the forties throughout Ireland, the priests gave all their influence to the cause and O'Connell spent his days in abusing the Duke of Wellington, and exciting hatred towards England.

The exasperation of the landlords of the forties was extreme. They found the priests and the great Catholic leader everywhere, interfering with their tenantry, and rousing the ignorant population of their estates to what they called insubordination. Till now, it was a thing unheard of that the tenantry of a landed proprietor should not vote as his landlord desired. To obtain their votes, the proprietors had cut up their lands into forty-shilling freeholds, and had covered their estates with an indigent population; and now, this political power, for which they had sacrificed everything—including the welfare of the indigent tenantry themselves—was turned against them by the priests and the agents of the association. The enmity was so fierce, and the mutual injuries so exasperating, that it seemed as if a dissolution of society must take place. While the Tory peers were fearing for the Church and the purity of the constitution if the Catholics were emancipated, men of wider views saw that society itself must fall to pieces in Ireland if they were not. It was in the midst of this state of things, and before the Lords had debated the Catholic question for this year, that Mr. Huskisson and his colleagues went out, and some new elections must take place on the assumption of office by their successors.

Mr. Vesey Fitzgerald, who represented the county of Clare, was the successor of Mr. Grant, as President of the Board of Trade. He was in favour of the Catholic claims; and neither he, nor any one else in England, doubted his being returned, as a matter of course, with the hearty good-will of the Catholics. But the Catholics seized the opportunity of bringing their cause to a crisis. Their leaders resolved that Mr. O'Connell should be elected; and the thing was done with a high hand. The Catholics in London held a meeting, and subscribed funds; and the Catholic rent in Ireland yielded what else was wanted. The Irish people, though extremely docile to their leaders, were, to the lowest of the forties, too acute not to see that there was little use in electing a representative who could not sit; and it was not enough for them that O'Connell declared, on his reputation as a lawyer, that there was nothing in the existing law which prevented his being

electd This was clear, of course, but not sufficient, so* he proceeded to pronounce that he could sit in parliament and vote, without taking the oaths The acute Irish naturally wondered what in that case became of their grievance of being unrepresented, and why O Connell had not been there all this time But Mr O Connell was not the only lawyer who avowed that opinion Mr Butler, an English Catholic barrister, published at this time a similar opinion, with the grounds assigned So the electors thought they would try

The excitement was prodigious. In every corner of the county of Clare there was such preaching and haranguing, that to a spectator it looked more like a crusade than an election As one of their patriots Mr Shiel afterwards said 'Every altar was a tribune' If an orator arrived in the dead of the night he had a crowd about him in five minutes It was not all joyous excitement. There was misery enough in the midst of it for the people were between two fires They had their religion on the one hand with all its awful threats and their landlords on the other for almost every landlord in the county exerted

Lords powerless

The 30th of June was the day fixed for the polling, and in the meantime, while this extraordinary electioneering was fixing the attention of all men, the Catholic debate came on in the Lords. By a shrewd and quiet passage in a speech of Lord Eldon's we learn that the electioneering of the Catholics was in the minds of the peers during the debate What Lord Eldon 'wished particularly to notice on this occasion was, a recent proscription by their chief orator, of twenty-eight county and borough members From the tone of confidence in which the speaker calculated on removing those obnoxious representatives it appeared that the Roman Catholics had already sufficient elective power in their hands and ought not to require that it should be increased' The interest of the debate lay in the speech of the Duke of Wellington Amid t

declarations of his sense of the difficulty and danger of making alterations, he impressed almost everybody with the idea that he saw yet more danger in making no changes. His complaints of the present agitation of the subject were chiefly on the ground that it prevented such consultation and mutual understanding as might take place if people's minds were at rest. The concluding words are remarkable now, as showing how a man, who considered himself eminently practical, could set his mind, and well-nigh stake his statesmanship, on impossibilities; and they were felt to be so remarkable at the time for what they foreboded, that they were repeated everywhere as a cause for either hope or dread. He said:

‘There is also one fact respecting the state of things in Ireland, to which I should wish to call your lordships’ attention. From 1781 to 1791, during which many troublesome questions with respect to that country were discussed, the Roman Catholic question was in fact never heard of; and so little was the question thought about, that when my noble and learned friend (Lord Redesdale) brought into the House of Commons, at that period, a bill respecting the Roman Catholics of England, it is a remarkable fact that the then lord-lieutenant of Ireland was not only not consulted on the subject, but actually did not know of it until the bill was brought into parliament. So little did the Catholics of Ireland disturb the public mind at that moment, that the question was allowed to pass quietly by, almost without comment. If the public mind was now suffered to be thus tranquil—if the agitators of Ireland would only leave the public mind at rest—the people would become more satisfied, and I certainly think it would then be possible to do something.’

This, if not very wise, appeared significant. People smiled at the idea of going back now, voluntarily, into the indifference of a past time—of pouring back the lava streams into the crater of the volcano; but they saw that the more this was found to be out of the question, the more inevitably would the ruling powers discover it to be ‘possible to do something.’ We find, accordingly, in a letter of Lord Eldon’s, written soon afterwards: ‘O’Connell’s proceedings in Ireland, which you will see in the

abusing in parliament the name of Catholics, who have the Roman Catholic

not to be followed' It is curious to see how utterly blind Lord Eldon was even at this time and with all his fears of the Liberals, and his distrust of the government to the real pressure of the case No man talked more loudly of his terrors, or of expected apostasy in high places yet what he anticipated was this and no more 'I look on the Roman Catholic question as, bit by bit here a little and there a little to be ultimately and at no distant day, carried I have no conception that even Oxford will struggle effectually against the great Church interests which will patronise that question and those who support it in parliament' It was too late for giving liberty 'bit by bit here a little and there a little'

The Protestant Clubs in England did not succeed very well The people generally were disposed to leave the matter to the government There was a meeting of twenty thousand people on Pennenden Heath in Kent, convened by Protestant leaders and attended by some advocates of the Catholic cause The petition to parliament proposed by the conveners was merely to declare attachment to our Protestant constitution, and to pray that it might be preserved inviolate Some noblemen present moved that the business of dealing with the Catholics should be left to the government but the petition was adopted by a large majority This was the only demonstration of any importance in England

O Connell now found himself strong enough to declare his pleasure as to the legislation which should take place in regard to his cause and he even dared a schism in the Catholic body The English Catholics parted off from the Irish on the question of securities They were willing to negotiate with government on the subject of securities O Connell scorned them feeling as he said, that it was better to receive a part of the Catholic claims, without being fettered with securities and in full certainty that the rest of the demand must soon be granted than to receive political equality on terms which might occasion future difficulty He would not entertain the 'poultry

question of political discount; he would have full emancipation, either at once or by instalments; but he would give nothing in return for clear political rights. But on no subject were his asseverations so emphatic as on that of the disfranchisement of 'the forties.' He well knew that his former agreement to sacrifice the forties had never been forgotten; and he now doubled and redoubled his protestations, given in the strongest terms the language affords, that he would never permit their franchise to be touched. On the 16th of December, the association unanimously passed a resolution, 'that they would deem any attempt to deprive the forty-shilling freeholders of their franchise a direct violation of the constitution.' Mr. O'Connell 'would rather die' than yield that franchise; 'would say that if any man dared to bring in a bill for the disfranchisement of the forty-shilling freeholders, the people ought to rebel, if they cannot otherwise succeed.' Again: 'Sooner than give up the forty-shilling freeholders, I would rather go back to the penal code. They form part of the constitution; their right is as sacred as that of the king to his throne; and it would be treason against the people to attempt to disfranchise them. . . . I would conceive it just to resist that attempt with force; and in such resistance I would be ready to perish in the field, or on the scaffold.' So said O'Connell up to the end of the year. As for Mr. Shiel, he said, in anticipating the policy of the Duke of Wellington: 'I trust he will not pursue this course; but if he should, I tell him, we would rather submit for ever to the pressure of the parricidal code, which crushed our fathers to the grave, than assent to this robbery of a generous peasantry.' These declarations were made in public, at the Clare election, and at the meetings of the association, and printed in the newspapers, at a time when all men's ears were open, and every word of the Catholic leaders echoed from end to end of the empire; and by them the leaders must be judged.

During these important months, nothing seems to have been seen and heard of the Irish government, till, on the 1st of October, it issued a proclamation against such assemblages as had already been put down by the influence of the association. All was again still and mute till a

strange incident, which occurred in the last month of the year, fixed attention on the two friends—the Duke of Wellington and the Marquis of Anglesey, who governed England and Ireland

Dr Curtis, the titular Catholic Primate of Ireland, had been intimate with the Duke of Wellington ever since the Peninsular war, when Dr Curtis held a high office in the University of Salamanca and was able to render important services to the British army. The Catholic primate wrote to the premier on the state of Ireland, on the 4th of December of this year, and on the 11th the duke wrote in reply,—a friend to friend, and without any idea of a political use being made of what he said. There was nothing in the letter which would have fixed attention, if it had been from any other man, and it now appears natural and reasonable enough, and little or nothing more than he had said in parliament half a year before. He reciprocates his correspondent's desire to see the question settled; sees no prospect of it, laments the existing party spirit and violence, thinks if men could bury the subject in oblivion for a short time, during which difficulties might be pondered—a curious method, by the way, of burying a subject in oblivion—'it might be possible to discover a satisfactory remedy.'

A copy of this letter was presently in Mr O'Connell's hands. Mr O'Connell carried it to the association, and read it aloud, the association received it with cheers and recorded it on their minutes as a decisive declaration of the prime minister in favour of Catholic emancipation.

It is a stretch of interpretation to say that the writer of the letter intended that edicts were to be issued, and that the conquest of the world was to be the result of the duke's letter.

In a long letter in which he explained his reasons for thinking that the burying the subject in oblivion was wholly out of the question, and that every attempt to get rid of it would be extremely dangerous. He sent copies of the duke's letter and his own reply to the lord lieutenant, and the lord lieutenant in return explained his

own view to be that the Catholic agitation should be continued. No doubt, this was not intended in contradiction or opposition to the premier; but under the idea that the Catholic agitation was the surest means of overpowering the difficulties which embarrassed the premier, and thus of aiding the government. Its effect, however, was strange, from its appearance of being in direct opposition to the views of the head of the government. Not less strange was the following sentence of Lord Anglesey's reply: 'Your letter gives me information on a subject of the highest interest. I did not know the precise sentiments of the Duke of Wellington upon the present state of the Catholic question.' What were men to think of this? They must conclude one of two things—both highly injurious to government; either that there was such indifference about the Catholics as that their cause had not been discussed with the lord-lieutenant among other subjects of Irish policy; or that the lord-lieutenant was not in the confidence of government at home. It was impossible not to entertain the last of these suppositions; especially as the viceroy proceeds to say that he must acknowledge his disappointment at finding—still from the duke's letter merely—that there was no prospect of Catholic emancipation being effected during the approaching session of parliament. This was on the 23rd of December; only six weeks before the opening of the session. These are curious disclosures of the way in which one of the most important events in British history, and in the history of civil and religious liberty everywhere, was first awaited, and then brought to pass.

This letter, too was immediately carried to the Catholic Association, and read aloud amidst plaudits, like the other. In this case the applause was natural enough; for the letter recommended a strenuous pushing of the Catholic cause, by peaceable means: 'The question should not be for a moment lost sight of;' but 'let the Catholic trust to the justice of his cause,' and use none but unexceptionable means, that his plea might 'be met by the parliament under the most favourable circumstances.' Such encouragement from the ruler of Ireland and a privy councillor of the king, might well be received with

cheers. A large tribute of admiration was voted to him for his 'manliness and political sagacity.' His sagacity seems to have failed him in regard to his own interests, however, his reputation for prudence and even political honour. If he was surprised, no one else was when the next English packet brought his recall. He left Ireland in January, and was succeeded in the viceroyalty by the Duke of Northumberland.

One cannot but see some comic intermixture with the very serious aspect of the times at the close of 1828. There were the Duke of Wellington and the Marquis of Anglesea made the two pets of the Catholic Association—their letters treasured in the minutes, and themselves assumed to be both friends of Catholic objects, while, at the same time, and in consequence of these very proceedings, the duke was recalling the marquis because the marquis had brought the duke into an irremediable difficulty. The Catholic Association was pledging itself to send seventy county members into the House, while its very existence was for the purpose of obtaining an admission to parliament at all. While the Catholic leaders were assuming that they should have all they wanted very soon and the Brunswick Clubmen were certain that they would never obtain anything at all, as long as there were true Britons who would make their dead bodies a barrier between the Catholics and the privileges of Protestantism, the English Tories, through the mouth of Lord Eldon, lamented that, 'bit by bit,' emancipation would be granted, and the Liberals were certain that the duke meant to yield everything in the course of the next session, while the duke himself certainly was not aware, in the middle of the cl

at all . . .
I know . . .

Mr Shiel has left us a picture of the time, in a speech at the association. 'The minister folds his arms, as if he were a mere indifferent observer, and the terrific contest only afforded him a spectacle for the amusement of his official leisure. He sits as if two gladiators were crossing their swords for his recreation. The cabinet seems to be little better than a box in an amphitheatre, from whence

his majesty's ministers may survey the business of blood.' The viceroy was recalled for desiring and promoting what the head of the government was about to do. As for the great Catholic leader, the most noticeable particular about him was his having pledged himself to perdition, if ever again he would compromise the franchise of 'the forties.' Times seem to have become too hard for men's wits—for their endowments of sagacity and judgment, and of that prudence which, in affairs so momentous as this, should go by the name of conscience.

CHAPTER VI.

Affairs of Portugal—Don Miguel in England—His Usurpation—Queen of Portugal in England—Death of Lord Liverpool.

IN the speech with which the king, by commission, dismissed parliament on the 28th of July, the first point of interest was a declaration of the reviving prosperity of the people. After the dreadful shocks of 1825 and 1826, it was some time before any revival of trade was apparent, at all adequate to the wants of the working-classes. But now the immense stocks of every species of manufacture which had been prepared under the mania of speculation were pretty well cleared off; money and commodities had resumed an ascertained and natural value; and the state of the revenue and the general contentment indicated that a condition of prosperity had returned. One advantage of this was, that many statesmen, and whole classes of 'interests,' became convinced that free-trade—as the very partial relaxations of former commercial restriction were then called—was not the cause of the late distresses—was certainly enhancing the prosperity—was, in short, found to be a very good thing.

The king's speech carefully indicated that the war which had been declared between Russia and the Porte was wholly unconnected with the Treaty of London; and promised to continue the efforts which *had been made*, in concert with the King of France, to *promote peace* between Russia and Turkey. Meantime, the ~~emperor~~ had been

induced not to carry war into the Mediterranean, where so many interests were involved, and had actually recalled his warlike instructions to the commanders of his fleet in the Levant

It was announced that great disappointment had occurred with regard to Portugal, and that it had been found necessary by all the powers of Europe to withdraw their representatives from Lisbon

The mistake with regard to Portugal had been in ever appointing as regent such a man as Don Miguel. It might be evident enough that difficulties would be reconciled, and the future would be provided for, by uniting the interests of the different branches of the royal family, in his regency, and his marriage with the yet childish queen, but all political arrangements proceed on the supposition that more or less reliance is to be placed on the acting parties—that some obligations of conscience or at least of reputation, exist in each party that enters into a contract. But the conduct of Don Miguel in regard to his father, and in other instances had shown him to be not only untrustworthy, but a sort of moral monster who cannot be treated with as men usually are. Yet his brother, the Emperor of Brazil, thought he had arranged everything, and settled adverse claims, by appointing him Regent of Portugal, and promising him marriage with the young queen

At the beginning of this year, Don Miguel had been in England. He spent nearly two months in London, and it was regarded as a good sign that he went there and associated with the rulers and statesmen of a free country, rather than visit the courts of despotic sovereigns. He had taken the oath to preserve the new constitution of Portugal, and had written to his sister—his predecessor in the regency—from Vienna, that he was determined to maintain inviolate the laws of the kingdom, and the institutions legally granted by Don Pedro, and to cause them to be observed, and by them to govern the kingdom. And before he left England, he had, according to the universal belief written a letter, voluntarily, to George IV. in which he said that 'if he overthrew the constitution, he should be a wretch a breaker of his oath and a usurper

of his brother's throne.' There was never any question of his being bound by the strongest obligations to administer constitutional government in Portugal, if he had been one who could be bound by any obligations whatever. But, as it was proved that he was not such a one, he should not have been trusted with any political powers whatever.

The princess-regent took leave of the cortes in January; and on the 22nd of February, Don Miguel landed at Lisbon. Among the acclamations which greeted him—the cries of 'Long live the Infant!'—a few voices were heard shouting 'Long live Don Miguel, the absolute king!' Neither on this occasion, nor when he went in procession to the cathedral, and heard more of the same shouts, did the prince take any notice of them. They passed as the cries of a few discontented men among the rabble; and it was never clear whether Don Miguel had at this time any intention of usurping the throne, or whether he was afterwards instigated to it by his mother. From the moment when he fell on his knees before his mother, he showed himself her slave, and wrought out her wicked pleasure most zealously, whatever might have been his previous intentions. He was to swear to the constitution, four days after his arrival, in the presence of the two chambers and of the court. There was something strange about the ceremony, which excited the suspicions of the bystanders. The prince was ill at ease, hurried and confused; and he spoke too low to be heard by those nearest to him. The Archbishop of Lisbon who administered the oath stood directly in front of the prince, with his priestly garments spread wide, so that the regent was little better seen than heard. He is declared not to have touched the book of the gospels, and to have said, when the show was over: 'Well, I have gone through the ceremony of swearing to the charter; but I have sworn nothing.' One significant circumstance is that there was no register, or legal record of any kind, of the event. The next day the new ministry was announced; and the announcement spread dismay among the constitutionalists. The funds fell; the bank, which was to have set off on a new score that day, feared a run, and postponed its payments indefinitely—all business was at a stand in Lisbon. The mob assembled under

the windows of the queen mother shouting for absolutism, and the prime minister distributed money among them. During the month of March the proceedings of the regent were so open and shameless in insulting and displacing liberals and favouring the absolutists that many hundreds of the best families in Lisbon left the capital. Just at this time the British troops sent by Mr Canning were embarking for their return and a large amount of money—a loan from M Rothschild to the prince—was arriving. The new British ambassador at Lisbon, Sir Frederick Lamb, decided, on his own responsibility, to detain the troops and send the money back to London, that the usurper—for it was now no secret that the prince was about to assume the title of king—might be awed by the presence of British troops and unaided in his treasonable purposes by British gold. This was in the middle of March, and it was the beginning of April before the British ambassador could receive instructions how to proceed.

On the 14th of March the prince dissolved the chambers, to evade the passing of a vote of thanks to the British commanders, and some troublesome inquiries into state abuses. On the 2nd of April the British troops were embarked for home in pursuance of orders received by the ambassador. Before this, the prince had been declared in several provincial towns to be absolute king. Don Miguel I. When the British troops were gone and with them all the respectable liberals who could get away, there was no further impediment to the proclamation taking place in the capital, and the thing was done on the birthday of the queen mother, on the 25th of April. The scene was opened by the commandant of police with his guard, before the hall of the municipality, between eight and nine in the morning. Baring their heads and drawing their sabres they cried aloud 'Long live Don Miguel the First! Long live the empress mother!' Thereupon the national flag was slung up on the roof of the hall, and the municipal authorities appeared in the balcony, to proclaim the new king. The proclamation was repeated at noon through the city, and all citizens were invited to sign a memorial, imploring Don Miguel to assume the function of king. This memorial was presented in the evening.

•but the paucity and doubtful character of the signatures—according to some authorities—annoyed and alarmed the prince. According to others, the signatures were wonderfully numerous; but the prince dared not proceed to extremities at once, because all the foreign ambassadors had notified that they should leave Lisbon immediately on his assumption of the title of king. He desired the memorialists to wait, and see what he would do.

A note was sent round the next morning from the foreign minister to these representatives, regretting the popular manifestation of the preceding day, and assuring them that everything possible had been done by government to keep the people quiet. The foreign ambassadors met to confer upon their reply; and they agreed upon a notification to the minister that they suspended all official intercourse with the government till they should receive fresh instructions from their respective courts.

All disguise was soon thrown off. On the 3rd of May, Don Miguel issued a summons to the ancient three estates of the kingdom, who had not been assembled for upwards of a hundred and thirty years. They were to meet to 'recognise the application of grave points of Portuguese right,' since the importunate demand of various bodies in the state, that the prince would assume empire, had become very perplexing to him. The difficulty was how to sign this document. The awkwardness of signing in Don Pedro's name an invitation to declare that Don Pedro had no rights in Portugal, was so great, that the prince actually signed it as Don Miguel I. As king, he summoned the estates who were to meet to invite him to become king.

The estates met on the 26th of June, and immediately declared Don Miguel to be lawfully King of Portugal. On the 28th, the new sovereign assumed his full name and title. He had not been left in peace and quiet in the interval. Oporto and other towns had risen against him; and many of the Portuguese refugees in England had returned to conduct the war. But they were delayed on the voyage; affairs had been mismanaged; and there was nothing left for them to do but to make the best retreat they could through Spain.

Of course, the ambassadors all took their departure at

came in their state carriages, in military uniform, and covered with orders. The king sent messages. He was at his cottage at Windsor, living in almost utter seclusion, and as his people now began to be aware, in feeble and declining health. On the 12th of October, the birthday of Don Pedro, an affecting ceremony took place at the residence of the Marquis Palmella. The whole of the Portuguese and Brazilian legations being present and the Brazilian and Portuguese ministers at the courts of Vienna and the Netherlands the Marquis Palmella told the whole story of Don Pedro's conduct and the young queen's position, read the decrees and the emperor's dispatches, and, in short, put his hearers in possession of the entire case in a discourse of three quarters of an hour. The marquis then, as the intended prime minister of the queen, first took the oath of fealty to her and his example was followed by all present—ambassadors, generals, peers of her realm, members of the cortes, and military and political officers of various ranks—in all, above two hundred. She had thus a little court about her while she remained in England which was till the next year, when her father recalled her to Brazil. By that time it was explained that, while Great Britain acknowledged her sovereignty,

— she alone and desired to extend
 was not possible to do
 with Portugal, it was
 declared, bound us to assist against foreign aggression,
 but not to interfere in her domestic struggles. We had
 sent troops to Portugal when Spain was invading her
 liberties but we could not impose or depose her rulers.

Towards the close of the year—on the 10th of December—the funeral train at last left the door of Lord Liverpool's abode at Wimbledon. Of those who had hourly looked for his death nearly two years before and who had held the affairs of the country suspended in expectation of it, some had long been in their graves. He was now released at last, and his funeral train was a long one, for his private life had won for him a gratitude and warm regard, which made him now more thought of as the kindly hearted man than as the respectable minister who had ostensibly governed the country for fifteen years.

CHAPTER VII.

Difficulties in the Cabinet—The King—Mr. Peel's Resignation of his Seat in Parliament—King's Speech and the Address—Catholic Relief Bill—Mr. Peel—The Duke of Wellington—Catholic Relief Bill passed—The King's Vacillation—The Bill becomes Law—Irish 'Forties'—Clare Election—Prospects of Ireland.

THERE never was an instance in which men were more universally blamed than the Wellington administration were at the time of the removal of the Catholic disabilities. The public always will and must judge by what they know; and those who knew only what was on the face of things, could not but form an unfavourable judgment, in every light, of the conduct of the duke and his colleagues. Their own party, of course, thought them faithless, infirm, and cowardly. The fact was before all eyes, that they had suddenly relinquished the declared principles, and stultified the professions, of their whole political lives, deceived and deserted their friends and supporters, and offered to history a flagrant instance of political apostasy. The opposition complained, with equal appearance of reason, that, after having thwarted, in every possible way, the efforts of Mr. Canning and the other friends of the Catholics, they shamelessly carried the measures which they would not hear of from Mr. Canning; that, having damaged the liberal statesmen of their day with all their influence, they stepped in at last to do the work which had been laboriously prepared in spite of them, and took the credit of it. Truly, their credit was but little with even those who put the best construction upon their conduct. By such, they were believed to have yielded to an overwhelming necessity; and thus to deserve no praise at all; while there was much that was inexplicable and unsatisfactory in their method of proceeding. There was evidence, that up to the middle of December, the prime-minister did not intend to remove the Catholic disabilities, or that he chose the

in his recommendation to parliament pledge himself to anything. He repeatedly mentioned that he represented to his ministers the infinite pain it gave him to consent even so far as that.

It was foolish to talk of refusing to pledge himself to anything while permitting his ministers to request from him a message to parliament which he contemplated granting. In consenting to receive the proposed representation of his ministers, he pledged himself to their policy, and he must have known at the time that he did so though in his anger and wretchedness afterwards he endeavoured to persuade himself and Lord Eldon that he had kept open a way of escape. Meantime, the case of his ministers was a hard one. Having once obtained the king's consent to bring forward a measure in relief of the Catholics they should have had every encouragement and assistance from him. But he led them a terrible life at present when they had quite enough to bear from other quarters and when they were so completely committed that nothing could be gained by making them miserable.

When February came in the best informed politicians began whispering to each other that the king's speech, which was to be read on the 5th, would contain large concessions to the Catholics. On the 4th at the dinners held as usual at the houses of the two leaders of government in parliament the speech was read, and found to contain all that had been rumoured, and more. After an allusion to the disorders in Ireland caused by the Catholic Association, and expression of their disapprobation, they followed the king to parliament to consider whether the Catholics could not be removed, consistently with the full and permanent security of our establishments in Church and State. On the same day Mr Peel addressed a letter to the vice-chancellor of Oxford offering to resign his seat for the university, because he believed that his resistance to the Catholic claims had been one of the main grounds upon which the confidence of his constituents in him had been founded and he could now resist those claims no longer, but, on the contrary, found himself impelled for the peace of the country, to advise the king

to propose a settlement of the question. What Mr. Canning had foregone, Mr. Peel now resigned—the honour and the trust which he valued above all others.

Mr. Peel's resignation was accepted; and the new election soon took place. There was an intention on the part of the anti-Catholic members of the University to bring forward Lord Encombe, the grandson of Lord Eldon, who consented to the nomination; but it was found that Mr. Peel was so strongly supported that it would be necessary to oppose to him a candidate of graver years and greater weight than the youthful Lord Encombe; and Sir Robert H. Inglis was the choice of the University. The contest was eager and close. During the three days that it lasted, 1364 voters polled; and the majority by which Sir Robert H. Inglis won his seat was only 146. Mr. Peel was returned for the borough of Westbury, in time to assume the management of the Catholic Relief Bill in the Commons.

No division took place in either House on the address in answer to the royal speech, which was, as usual now, delivered by commission. The king appeared averse to meeting his parliament, or seeing any one else whom he could avoid; and the present occasion was one the least likely to draw him forth from his retirement, though the sanction of his presence would at this time have been especially valuable to his ministers. The prime-minister expressed his desire that no discussion of the Catholic question should take place till the measure should be brought forward; explaining that the measure would be proposed in a substantial shape, without going through a committee; that its purport would be a removal of all the civil disabilities of the Catholics, with a few special exceptions; and that it would be accompanied by provisions rendered necessary by the removal of the disabilities.

Before the subject could be entered upon, it was essential to procure the dissolution of the Catholic Association. The preceding acts passed for the purpose had failed; and the difficulty was great of framing a law which could not be evaded as they had been. The present act was limited as to time, being proposed for only one year; and the penalties were not severe; but it gave large powers to the

therefore there is no reason for change. Why, this is the very reason for a change. It is because the evil is not casual and temporary, but permanent and inveterate—it is because the detail of misery and outrage is nothing but the ‘old story,’ that I am contented to run the hazards of a change. We cannot determine upon remaining idle spectators of the discord and disturbance of Ireland. The universal voice of the country declares that something must be done. I am but echoing the sentiments of all reasonable men, when I repeat that something must be done. I wish however, to take nothing for granted, but to found my argument, not upon general assent, but upon unquestionable facts. I ask you to go back to a remoter period than it is generally the habit to embrace in these discussions—I ask you to examine the state of his majesty’s government for the last thirty five years and to remark the bearing of the Catholic question upon that government the divisions it has created among our statesmen, the distraction it has occasioned among our councils, and the weakness it has consequently produced. I ask you then to observe what has been the course of parliament for the same period. And, lastly, what has been the consequence of the divisions in the councils of the king and of disunion between the two Houses of parliament—the practical consequences as to Ireland.’

The narrative of these divisions is mournful enough, not only in its detail of the consequences to Ireland but as proving how much evil men will cause and endure rather than surrender their prejudices and the power which they hold on the tenure of bigotry. In the time of Lord Liverpool, it appears that the prejudices had become scarcely tenable, and the power of tyranny very precarious. In 1825, Mr Peel declared ‘I stated to the Earl of Liverpool, who was then at the head of the administration, that in consequence of the decision given against me in this House, it was my anxious wish to be relieved from office. It was, however, notified to me that my retirement would occasion the retirement of the Earl of Liverpool and that such an event would at once produce a dissolution of the administration, the responsibility of which would rest with me . . . Lord Liverpool was then approaching the

end of his career. I had entered public life under his auspices, and I shrank from the painful task of causing his retirement, and the dissolution of his majesty's existing government. If I had acted simply in obedience to my own wishes, I would have resigned. I was induced, however, to retain office, and to ascertain the result of another appeal to the country, by a general election. In 1826, there was a new parliament. In 1827, a majority in this House decided against the Catholic question. In 1828, however, the House took a different view of the matter, and though it did not pass a bill, it agreed to a resolution favourable to the principle of adjustment. That resolution being passed, I was again in the situation in which I had been placed in 1825, and I determined to retire from office. I intimated my fixed intention in this respect to the Duke of Wellington; but I felt it my duty to accompany that intimation with the declaration, not only that I would not, in a private capacity, any longer obstruct a settlement which appeared to me ultimately inevitable, but that I would advise and promote it. Circumstances occurred, as I have already explained, under which I was appealed to to remain in office; under which I was told, that my retirement from office must prevent the adoption of the course which I was disposed to recommend. I resolved therefore, and without doubt or hesitation, not to abandon my post, but to take all the personal consequences of originating and enforcing, as a minister, the very measure which I had heretofore opposed.'

In the other House, the explanations were as characteristic, and almost as interesting, as in the Commons. The Duke of Wellington apologised at the outset for being about to make a longer speech than their lordships were accustomed to hear from him; but he made shorter work of it than any other man would have done. It was in the course of this speech that he uttered the declaration which is, and will continue to be, more remembered than anything else he ever said. 'I am one of those,' said the great Captain, 'who have probably passed a longer period of my life engaged in war than most men, and principally, I may say, in civil war; and I must say this, that if I could avoid, by any sacrifice whatever, even one month of civil

much of what the ministers had to struggle with in their dealings with a sovereign who according to this record, was as unscrupulous with regard to truth, as he was weak and passionate

The first interview took place on the 28th of March, two days before the Relief Bill left the Commons, and it lasted about four hours. The king seems to have opened by a statement so manifestly untrue that Lord Eldon, who 'refuted this allegation of the king's' in his private memorandum must have seen how cautiously he ought to receive the complaints of the present ministers which followed. 'His majesty employed a very considerable portion of time in stating all that he represented to have passed when Mr Canning was made minister, and expressly stated that Mr Canning would never, and that he had engaged that he would never allow him to be troubled about the Roman Catholic question. He blamed all the ministers who had retired upon Canning's appointment represented in substance that their retirement, and not he, had made Canning minister. He excepted from this blame, in words, myself. This is as foolish as it is clearly false, but his majesty was not at this time affirming 'on the word of a king,' but indulging in the fretfulness and helpless anger of a child, in which state men will sometimes like passionate children say anything that their passion suggests. And this helpless being was he whom his ministers, weighed down by responsibility, had to call master and to implicate in their work!

'He complained that he had never seen the bills, that the condition of Ireland had not been taken into consideration, that the Association Bill had been passed through both Houses before he had seen it, that it was a very inefficient measure compared to those which he had in vain himself recommended, that the other proposed measures gave him the greatest possible pain and uneasiness, that he was in the state of a person with a pistol presented to his breast, that he had nothing to fall back upon, that his ministers had threatened—I think he said twice, at the time of my seeing him—to resign, if the measures were not proceeded in, and that he had said to them "Go on," when he knew not how to relieve himself

from the state in which he was placed; and that, in one of those meetings, when resignation was threatened, he was urged to the sort of consent he gave, by what passed in the interview between him and his ministers, till the interview and the talk had brought him into such a state, that he hardly knew what he was about when he, after several hours, said "Go on." He then repeatedly expressed himself as in a state of the greatest misery, repeatedly saying: "What can I do? I have nothing to fall back upon;" and musing for some time, and then again repeating the same expression.'

It is clear that the king had given his ministers his formal sanction to proceed, on their presenting the alternative of their resigning. It was mere childishness now to say that he was in such a state that he did not know what he was about; and it is astonishing that he could for a moment think of drawing back, or suppose that Lord Eldon could suggest or sanction such a retractation. This appears to be what he was aiming at throughout these two interviews; but, well as the old Tory would have liked to see the measure destroyed, he could not assume the responsibility of encouraging the king to withdraw his royal word. The whole demeanour of the king appears to convey the impression that he thought his ministers were doing something wilful and wanton in proposing relief to the Catholics. Throughout the two interviews, he speaks as if the premier and Mr. Peel had taken it into their heads to gratify the Catholics, purely for the purpose of teasing their sovereign. He thinks and speaks of no one but himself; dwells only on his own annoyance, never even alluding to the state of the Catholics, or of the kingdom at large.

'After a great deal of time spent,' Lord Eldon's account continues, 'in which his majesty was sometimes silent—apparently uneasy—occasionally stating his distress, the hard usage he had received, his wish to extricate himself—that he knew not what to look to, what to fall back upon—that he was miserable beyond what he could express—I asked him whether his majesty, so frequently thus expressing himself, meant either to enjoin me, or to forbid me, considering or trying whether anything could

Though Lord Eldon told the king that it was impossible to draw back, he certainly entertained hopes that refusal, or at least delay, might yet be expected. He says 'I certainly thought when I left him that he would express great difficulty when the bill was proposed for the royal assent—great, but which would be overcome—about giving it. I fear that it seemed to be given as matter of course.' It was with great horror that the old earl heard the conclusion of the business. 'April 14, 1829—The fatal bill received the royal assent yesterday afternoon. After all I had heard in my visits, not a day's delay!' God bless us, and His Church!

What else could the helpless sovereign do, when even his friend, the late chancellor, told him that he could not draw back? Delay could have done no good, and might have cost him dear. The only thing he could now do was to exhibit his temper towards his ministers and all friends of the Catholics. He particularly requested the attendance of Lord Eldon at his next levee, and he distinguished him by attentions which contrasted strongly with his coldness towards those who were in the high places of office. This gracious reception, however, did not make Lord Eldon quite happy. 'I was grieved,' he says 'that my visit was a visit of duty to a sovereign whose supremacy is shared by that Italian priest, as Shakspeare calls the pope. But I heard that he much wished it, and I understood that it would be a relief if I would go. He is certainly very wretched about the late business. It is a pity, he has not the comfort of being free from blame himself.' The king's manner was observed, as he intended it should be. Two days afterwards Lord Eldon writes 'The universal talk here is about the manner in which the king, at the levee, received the voters for the Catholics—most uncivilly—markedly so towards the lords spiritual, the bishops who so voted—and the civility with which he received the anti-Catholic voters, particularly the bishops. It seems to be very general talk now, that his ministers went much beyond what they should have said in parliament, as to his consent to the measure. Consent, however, he certainly did, but with a language of reluctance, pain, and misery, which, if it had been represented, would

have prevented a great deal of that ratting which carried the measure.'

Such was the monarch in whose name the ministers were compelled to act, and such the temper and conduct they had to bear with from him. Such was 'the first gentleman in England'—casting himself on the neck of his old adviser, bemoaning himself like a child, and indulging himself in persecuting the peers for their opinions, after having, by his message, demanded their opinions on Catholic relief, and led the way. His gentlemanliness might be very striking to those who were in his presence; but it is not very conceivable to us now, when we find it did not preserve him from agitation and passion, from such despotism as he could use, and from extreme personal rudeness. We hardly know which to wonder at most—his rebellion against a necessity of which he could not have been ignorant, or his reputation for good-manners.

On looking back to this time, nothing is more surprising than the quietness with which the disfranchisement of the forty-shilling freeholders took place. There were some few who saw and exposed the badness of the proceeding; but they were very few; and the very men who ought to have understood and been faithful to the principle of the case—the very men who, in the same session spoke and voted for parliamentary reform—helped to extinguish the political liberties of the 'forties.' Mr. Brougham regarded it as 'the almost extravagant price of the inestimable good' which would arise from Catholic emancipation. Sir J. Mackintosh declared it a tough morsel which he had found it hard to swallow. Lord Duncannon, Lord Palmerston, and Mr. Huskisson, tried another method. They did what argument could do to obtain the inestimable good, without paying the extravagant price which they did not conceive to be necessary. If they had been duly supported by all the friends of parliamentary reform, there is little doubt that the relief of the Catholics might have been obtained without the sacrifice of so vast an amount of political rights. But among the silent and idle was O'Connell, who threw overboard his beloved 'forties,' after pledging his life to destruction, and his soul to perdition, if he ever again slighted their liberties; and in a case

Though
to draw } Connell so failed, we have little power of censure.
O'Connell for mercurial offenders

The two sides of the case were stated to be these. The Irish landlords had split up their estates into small properties for their own political purposes, and the long trains of adherents had followed their great man to the polling booth, as obediently as sheep go to the water, till the recent period when the forties were secured by O'Connell and the priests on behalf of the Catholic cause. The landlords would now have been glad to be able to undo their work, to consolidate these small properties, and get rid of the forties. But this was a work which can never be undone. No earthquake came to swallow up the forties, no volcano overflowed to fuse their little properties into one. The landlords therefore desired that the men whom they had made freeholders should be disfranchised. They pleaded, and truly, that these multitudes were led by the priests, and that their numbers were so great as to swamp all the rest of the county constituency, so that the representation of the Irish counties would be wholly in the hands of the Catholic leaders. The wish of many landlords was that the franchise should be restricted within a twenty pound qualification, but the government would not hear of any thing higher than a ten pound franchise.

The pleas on the other side were of the iniquity of playing fast and loose in this manner with political liberties, and of treating a merely inconvenient constituency in the same manner as a corrupt one. No corruption, no moral disqualification, was alleged against the forties. They had at first been under the influence of the Protestant landlords, and they were now under that of the Catholic priests, but every principle of political morality taught that the true remedy for such dependence was, not in retrogression, but in promoting the freedom and enlightenment of the class so easily led. There was irreparable mischief in visiting with the same penalties the superstitious voters who were led by their priests, and the corrupt who were bought with gold. As for considerations of expediency, the worst dangers, the only appreciable dangers arising from this large constituency, would be over when the Catholic Relief Bill was passed. Formidable

as the action of this constituency might be when directed towards objects not yet legalised, it could no longer be mischievous when Catholics had free entrance into parliament. If every county in Ireland should send Catholic members to parliament, where was the evil? It could only happen through the real preponderance of Catholics in the constituency, and would afford a fair representation, while the Catholic element in the legislature would still be small in the presence of the Protestants of the rest of the empire. It ought not to be forgotten, too, by the friends of the Catholics, that their relief had been obtained by this very constituency whom it was now proposed to disfranchise. Those friends of the Catholics were bound by every obligation of principle and feeling to resist such a demolition of political rights as was proposed in return for action so beneficial. But, admitting the thing in the main, and scarcely attempting to justify themselves, almost all the friends of the Catholics voted for the dis-

being raised within itself. Meantime, Mr. O'Connell must withdraw. As soon as Mr. O'Connell had withdrawn, Mr. Brougham moved that he should be recalled, in order to be heard in regard to his claim. Every one was aware that he must be heard. As it required some consideration whether he should be heard at the table or at the bar, the debate was adjourned from the present Friday to Monday the 18th. On that day, Mr. O'Connell spoke at length at the bar, and astonished some of his hearers as much by the gentlemanly moderation of his tone and manner as by the strength of his pleas. When he finished, opinion was very much divided as to his construction of his case; and some proposed that, as there appeared even to the lawyers to be doubt, Mr. O'Connell should have the benefit of the doubt, and be at once admitted on taking the new oath. There would, however, have been no real kindness to him and his constituents in so admitting him as to leave room for any question as to the legality of his position; and the true reason for the proposal probably was, the desire to avoid the excitement of a new Clare election at that time. The solicitor-general having moved that Mr. O'Connell was not entitled to sit without first taking the oath of supremacy, the question was pressed to a division, when the numbers were 190 to 116 in favour of Mr. O'Connell's exclusion.

When Mr. O'Connell appeared at the bar, the next day, to hear the decision of the House, he was asked whether he was ready to take the oath of supremacy. He requested permission to look at the oath; and, after considering it for a short time, observed: 'I see in this oath, one assertion, as to a matter of fact, which I know is not true; and I see in it another assertion, as to a matter of opinion, which I believe is not true. I therefore refuse to take this oath.' Then ensued some discussion as to whether a writ should be issued for a new election, or an act be passed for the relief of Mr. O'Connell, in order to avoid the excitement of a new election; but the issue of the writ was agreed to without a division.

Mr. O'Connell was elected without opposition; but not for this was the language of his addresses and speeches the less violent and outrageous. He left not a moment's

doubt in the mind of any one of his intention to keep up agitation in Ireland, by means as indefensible in themselves as ever, while they had no longer the excuse of being
 of his
 scarce
 are be
 appears worse after his having shown how mild and moderate he could appear away from home, and among persons too enlightened to be animated by violent language. He pledged himself to obtain the repeal of everything objectionable in the new act—the disfranchisement of the forties, and the checks upon the increase of monachism in Ireland. He promised everything the Irish would like to have, if the county of Clare would return him now, and among other things, the repeal of the union. From this time the cry of repeal was Mr O'Connell's tool for cultivating the agitation by which in regard to mind, fame, and fortune, he lived. From this time he was dishonoured in the eyes of all upright men. Up to this time he had had a good cause, and was truly the hero of it. There was many another good cause yet to be advocated for Ireland, of which he might have been the hero—of which he must have been the hero, if he had had in him anything of the heroic element. But from this time, his true glory was extinguished. He rose in influence, power and notoriety, to an eminence such as no other individual citizen has attained in modern times in our country, but the higher he rose in these respects, the deeper he sank in the esteem of those whose esteem is essential to the establishment of true fame. Up to this time, he might be a patriot, though his methods were too much those of a demagogue, up to this time, he had a clear, definite, and virtuous aim before him, and he followed it to the point of success, but henceforward he professed aims which were not only unreal, but which he evidently did not expect that rational people could suppose to be real. Henceforward there was no more stability, no more of the dignity which is involved in a noble cause. He made men fear him, court him, groan under him, admire him, and, as regards the ignorant lower class of Irish, adore him, but

from this moment, no man respected him. After his addresses at the second Clare election, there could be no more mistake about O'Connell.

The Catholic Association assembled again, under the name of an 'aggregate meeting' of the Catholics, to promote the re-election of Mr. O'Connell. The rent was still in existence—a large balance of its funds being in the hands of the treasurers, and disposable only at the bidding of the body which had collected it. Five thousand pounds of this money were voted towards the expenses of the new elections. On the 30th of July, Mr. O'Connell was returned without opposition, nearly a month after parliament had risen; so that he did not take his seat till the opening of the next session—February, 1830.

Here, then, we have witnessed the close of one of the most important controversies which ever agitated society in any age or country. In significance it perhaps yields to no social controversy whatever; in importance it must of course yield to some few great organic questions which concern essential principles of government. It must be considered as of less importance, for instance, in a large view, than the question of reform of parliament. But it was practically, and on a near view, of more pressing urgency than any other, or than all others put together; and under the pressure of this urgency, men generally judged amiss of the issues—as men are wont to do in circumstances so critical. The No-Popery terrorists were scarcely more mistaken in their anticipations of war and destruction from the emancipation of the Catholics than the liberal politicians of the time were in their expectations of the contentment and tranquillity which were to ensue in Ireland. The last reasonably laughed at the hobgoblin images of the pope and the Jesuits which the London Tories and Irish Orangemen conjured up, to frighten themselves and everybody else whom they could alarm; they reasonably insisted on the impossibility of doing anything for Ireland till this measure of relief should be granted; but they unreasonably went further in their expectations, and concluded that the tranquillity of Ireland would follow from the measure of relief. Mr. O'Connell had said that it would; but all

of affairs for themselves, setting at nought the word of Mr O Connell as it deserved saw that Mr O Connell never meant that Ireland should be tranquillised, and that if he had wished for her tranquillisation ever so earnestly, he could not have effected it. A sudden change in the law could not make a permanent change in the temper of a nation—even of a nation which knew how to reverence law. But by the Irish, the function and the value of law had never been understood, and it was now Mr O Connell's daily and nightly care that the people should not be the better disposed towards the law for its having become

11 + them. In his popular addresses at this time, purpose to be inducing
of legislation. He told

them that he had got the new law for them, and could get as much more as he liked, and he represented the whole administration of law and justice in Ireland as purposely hostile to them, and to be regarded only for the sake of safety, whether in the form of obedience or evasion. He advocated, both by precept and example a wholly empirical method of political and social existence, instead of using his efforts to bring society into a tranquil organic state. Accordingly, the relief measure appeared to produce no effect whatever upon the temper and troubles of Ireland. A multitude of Catholics found themselves deprived of the franchise, and landlords, Protestant and Catholic, found the value of their property much diminished by the operation of the same provision. The Orangemen became more furious and bigoted through fear and jealousy of their triumphant neighbours, and those triumphant neighbours were urged on by their leaders to insufferable insolence towards the government and sister nation which had granted them relief no longer possible to be withheld. The list of Irish outrages, the pictures of Irish crime which follow, in the registers of the time, the record of Catholic emancipation, are very painful, but they show, not that there was anything wrong in the procedure of relief but that it had been too long delayed. There could not have been stronger evidence that a less generous measure would have done no good, and much mischief. As it was there was no room for regret that the right thing had been done.

at last, and done in the freest and amplest spirit and manner. If there was any cause for regret, it was that it had not been done long before; and also that even its promoters should so little understand the operation of tyrannical restrictions as to believe that their effects would cease with their existence. Injury may be forgiven, and even forgotten; insult may be forgiven, though perhaps never forgotten; but the temper and character generated under insult and injury cannot, by any process, be changed at once into a healthful condition of trustfulness, integrity, and good-humour. The emancipators of the Catholics therefore had to put up with a different fate from that which had been predicted for them by the true patriots and best political prophets who had anticipated a brighter coming time for Ireland. They had not grateful Ireland at their feet, relieved from the raging demon—calm, clothed, and right in mind; but, on the contrary, it could scarcely be seen whether or no the demon was really cast out. There was no gratitude, no peace, no trust, no inclination to alliance for great common objects. But then, on the other hand, there was infinite relief in the sense of the removal of wrong, in safety from revolution and civil war, in consciousness that the way was now clear for the regeneration of Ireland—clear as far as the political conscience of England was concerned. Ireland was not, under her new emancipation, what her Grattans and Plunkets had expected, nor what the Cannings and Broughams, and Wellingtons and Peels, had hoped to see her; but it was enough for support that the right act was done, and that the grand obstruction of all was removed; though so many more were found to exist, that, after a lapse of twenty years, we see no end to them yet.

CHAPTER VIII.

Admission of Catholic Peers—Changes in the Cabinet—Parliamentary Reform—Lord Blandford—Duel—Parliamentary Proceedings—Relations with Portugal—King's Speech

THE Catholic question was so engrossing to the mind of the whole nation, that the records of the year present few notices of other subjects. In connection with it, however, some incidents occurred which are worthy of note.

When the House of Lords assembled after the Easter holidays, on the 28th of April, there was an unusually full attendance and many ladies were present, in expectation of a very interesting spectacle. On the entrance of a group of persons who proceeded to the table there was a profound silence amidst which three Catholic peers—the Duke of Norfolk, Lord Clifford, and Lord Dormer—took the oaths. They had obtained entrance at last to the legislative assembly where their fathers sat and ruled when their faith was that of the whole land. In those days, the cathedrals were theirs, and the universities and the crown and the legislature, all the ‘thrones’ dominions, princelings, virtues, powers of the civilised world, and now, here was a little remnant of the old Catholic peerage re-entering upon the function of government under a sad reduction of pomp and circumstance. To the student of history and the antiquarian, the spectacle was one of deep and somewhat melancholy interest, but the more ignorant among the possessors of power looked upon these peers of ancient lineage as a sort of intruders—as the newest order of upstarts whose admission vulgarised their

companions were soon after joined by more of their own faith. On the 1st of May Lords Stafford, Petre and Stourton took the oaths and their seats. Soon after, Lord Eldon

paid a visit to two melancholy duchesses, who showed him their vast collections of Protestant speeches, protestations, and pledges—‘some in gold letters’—which, in better days, the ladies had taken for an ample security that no Catholic would ever sit as a legislator; but their sympathising old friend told them they might now throw all those valued securities into the fire. One of these ladies was the wife of ‘the young Duke of Richmond, who did very well in all he said during the debates’ against the admission of the Catholics, and in opposition to the ministry. Though he failed in his object, he was not without his reward for his opposition. ‘I hear,’ writes Lord Eldon, ‘that he is a great favourite with the king; which seems not to be the fortune, be it good or bad, at this moment, of those addicted to his ministers.’

In the same cause, Sir Charles Wetherell, the attorney general, had made sacrifices. The administration had hoped that he would at least have kept silence on their great measure, though he had refused to prepare the bill; but he held it dishonest to keep silence, threw his whole powers into opposition, and of course was immediately dismissed from his office, in which he was succeeded by Sir James Scarlett, who had been attorney-general under Mr. Canuing. Another change was occasioned by the retirement of the lord high admiral, the Duke of Clarence, who was thought, by the straightforward and simple-mannered premier, to have mixed up too much of the popularity-seeking of the heir-presumptive with the business of his office. There had been a vast deal of jaunting and cruising about, presenting of colours, preparation of shows on sea and land, which appeared to the Duke of Wellington to be more expensive and foolish than in any way serviceable; and it is believed that the retirement of the lord high admiral was caused by a plain expression of the premier’s opinion on this matter. It is said that on a long account for travelling expenses being sent into the treasury by the lord high admiral, the Duke of Wellington endorsed the paper: ‘No travelling expenses allowed to the lord high admiral,’ and dismissed it. The health of the Duke of Clarence was unsatisfactory at this time—enough so to justify his retirement without other cause. His

privileges of gentlemen, and the lives of hares and birds, but there is another side to it, as we shall have occasion to see hereafter. The true and permanent aspect of the question is that in which it regards the feeding or robbing the hungry—the deterioration or improvement of the land—the filling or emptying of our prisons—the increase or diminution of crime—the oppression or redemption of a million of rural labourers, one might say, the very existence of society as it is and is to be. Of course, the game laws will give way, sooner than our social organisation, but the two cannot much longer exist together, and when the sportsmen in parliament attain to seeing thus the grave aspect of the question will present itself to them as it does now to those who foresee the end. Meantime, we have noted one of the first attacks on the aristocratic privileges of the gun, and the kind of thought, speech, and temper, which the attack called forth.

One of the most interesting debates of the session was on the subject of our relations with the Queen of Portugal. The conduct of England in preserving her neutrality as to the *de facto* government of Portugal had been apparently so strange as to cause eager and angry discussion, not only on the continent, and on the other side of the Atlantic but in the British parliament. It is well that cases of such extreme nicety in regard to international honour occur now and then, embarrassing as they may be at the moment for so close an appeal to principles is good for the national conscience, and a noble exercise for the national rulers. Seldom has there been a case more trying to flesh and blood than the one before us or more honourable to the conscience of the government. Thus, at least,

by

the Portuguese refugees for permission to send a large
ers,
ster
d to Brazil
granted on
id ammunition
sensions in
 Portugal, in which England was bound as a neutral

, power, not to interfere. The pledge was offered; Count Itabayana declaring that he could give a clear and precise reply, that there was no intention of employing these stores in the civil dissensions of Portugal. Yet, the arms and powder were immediately conveyed, not to Brazil, but to Terceira. Terceira, the largest island of the Azores, which are under the dominion of Portugal, had declared in favour of the young queen, and driven off the troops of Don Miguel. The sending these arms there in such a mode awakened the suspicions of our government that men would soon be sent after them; and thus the island would be garrisoned and strengthened by England for war against the actual ruler of Portugal; a proceeding which would have been a direct breach of neutrality. In October, application was made for a conveyance for the Portuguese troops to Terceira. The reply of the Duke of Wellington was, that 'England was determined to maintain a neutrality in the civil dissensions of Portugal, and that the king, with that determination, could not permit the ports and arsenals of England to be made places of equipment for hostile armaments.' He intimated also that the 4000 Portuguese troops could not be allowed to remain in any English port, as a military body, ready for action. All needful hospitality should be shown them; but they must disband, and distribute themselves over the neighbouring towns and villages, or wherever they pleased, and not remain concentrated in Plymouth. The answer was, that sooner than separate and dissolve their military organisation, they would go to Brazil. The duke's reply was, that we did not wish to send them away, but that they could repair to Brazil if they chose; and a British convoy was offered to protect them from Portuguese cruisers. This convoy was declined. In the next December, application was made for permission and means of transport to send the refugees, unarmed, to Terceira; and this was refused on the ground of the former deception. The applicants were told: 'We have been already deceived; you profess to sail as unarmed men, but you will find arms on your arrival at Terceira.' The profession then, on the part of the Portuguese leaders, was that they were going to Brazil; but the government were aware that

they sailed with false clearances, which were obtained at the custom house as for Gibraltar, for Virginia, and for other places. The expedition consisted of four vessels which carried 652 officers and men, under the command of General Count Saldanha, who had been the Portuguese war minister under the constitution. Distinct notice had been given to the heads of the expedition that any attempt to land at Terceira would be prevented, and that a British force would be found ready for the purpose stationed off the island.

A small force of armed vessels had, in fact, been despatched under the command of Captain Walpole, of the *Ranger*, with instructions to cruise off the island, and to inform the Portuguese under Saldanha, if they appeared, that he had authority to prevent their landing. 'And,' continued the instructions, 'should they persist, notwithstanding such warning, in hovering about, or in making any efforts to effect a landing, you are then to use force to drive them away from that neighbourhood, and keep sight of them until you shall be convinced by the course they may steer, and the distance they may have proceeded, that they have no intention of returning to the Western Islands.' As Captain Walpole was keeping his watch, on the 16th of January, off Port Praya, in Terceira, the expedition appeared. The vessel which carried Saldanha came first. It paid no attention to the two shots fired by the *Ranger* to bring them to, and appeared resolved to push into port at all hazards. Captain Walpole was compelled to follow.

in all countries, who did not know what had passed unseen, asked what this could mean. England had received the young queen and her adherents with all hospitality and encouragement, had withdrawn her ambassador from Lisbon on the avowal of Don Miguel's usurpation, and now was firing upon the young queen's troops, when they were entering the port of an island which had remained faithful to her. The most mortifying comment was that of the usurper. Don Miguel announced in the *Lisbon Gazette*, that, 'the conduct of England towards Portugal, in such

circumstances, had been above all praise.' The steady reply of the English government was that we were not at war with Portugal; and we should not go to war with Portugal while her conflicts were civil. Our obligations were to defend her; on her own appeal, against foreign aggression; and beyond these obligations we would not go. Our immediate business was to preserve our neutrality.

Captain Walpole's shot compelled Saldanha to a conference, at the end of which he declared that he considered the whole expedition prisoners to the English. Captain Walpole took care not to indicate the direction in which the Portuguese should depart; and he told them to go where they pleased; only not to stay where they were. They sailed westwards; and he followed them, Saldanha keeping up the affectation of supposing him the captor of the expedition. On the 24th, when the vessels were within five hundred miles of Scilly, Captain Walpole thought it time to put an end to this pretence, lest any colour should be afforded, by their simultaneous arrival in the Channel; to the charge that England had violated her neutrality, to the injury of the constitutional cause. He therefore sent to ask Saldanha where he was going. Saldanha expressed astonishment at the question, and said that prisoners of war always went wherever their captors chose to lead them. Captain Walpole, declaring that Saldanha's conduct determined him to escort the expedition no further, turned back to Terceira, where he intercepted another vessel charged with Portuguese officers, and fitted out from London. The vessel was just about to enter Port Praya. Captain Walpole supplied her with water and provisions; and bade her go. The case of the Portuguese does seem hard when viewed by itself; but their repeated deceptions show their own consciousness that they had no right to involve a neutral power, whose hospitality they were receiving; in their political conflicts. If they had brought their vessels and stores from Portugal or Brazil, or from any country beyond the limit of Portuguese alliance, it would have been well and good; but their conduct, however palliated by the temptation and distress of their circumstances, was not such as the English government could allow to pass unrebuked and unexplained.

Don Miguel's conduct was not such as to permit any reasonable person to suppose that the English government could have any partiality on his behalf. He set aside the sentences of the courts on political prisoners when they were not severe enough to please him, and actually caused death to be inflicted by his own mere order, when transportation had been decreed by the judges. He imprisoned multitudes and confiscated their goods to himself without any pretence of law, and even attempted the life of his sister, the late regent, with his own hand. The princess was suspected by him of having sent a servant to England, with money and jewels, to save her property from his rapacious grasp. He rushed armed, into her chamber, and demanded an account of the departure of this servant. When she did not reply, he rushed upon her with a bayonet which was fixed upon a pistol in his hand. She grappled with him and actually threw him down. He sprang up and again attacked her, but by this time her chamberlain was in the way. Don Miguel stabbed the chamberlain in the arm, and fired his pistol at the princess. The ball killed a servant by her side, but she was rescued by other servants, who came at the noise of the scuffle. Under such a sovereign, Portugal indeed deserved the pity expressed for her misfortunes in the king's speech, delivered by commission, at the close of the session of 1829, on the 24th of June. 'It is with increased regret that his majesty again adverts to the condition of the Portuguese monarchy. But his majesty commands us to repeat his determination to use every effort to reconcile conflicting interests, and to remove the evils which press so heavily upon a country, the prosperity of which must ever be an object of his majesty's solicitude.'

The speech announced in decorous terms, that the war with Turkey was turned over to Russia. Ambassadors from France and England were on their way to Constantinople, and Russia had not, on account of her own quarrel with the Porte, withdrawn her name from the negotiations for the final pacification of Greece. The king thanked his parliament for their attention to the affairs of Ireland and the Catholics which he had especially recommended to their deliberations, and sincerely hoped

that the important measures they had passed would tranquillise Ireland, and draw closer the bonds of union between her and the rest of the empire.

The king was not gone to the German baths and Hanover, leaving 'Clarence' or 'Sussex' to be king of the Catholics. He remained in seclusion at Windsor, Brighton, or London. It was generally understood that he was ill, and universally suspected that he was very miserable. The close of his unhappy life was now not far off; and the state of certain foreign affairs troubled him almost as much as the achievements of his own ministers and parliament at home.

CHAPTER IX.

Affairs of France—Law of the Press—Villèle's Resignation—Prince Polignac—Polignac Ministry—Summons to the Chambers—Dissolution of the Chambers—The Elections—Ministers' Memorial—Issue of the Ordinances—Protest of the Journalists—Destruction of the Press—Conference at the Tuileries—Messages to and from the King—Marshal Marmont—Second Conference—Retreat to St. Cloud—Wanderings of the Royal Family—Reception in England—Conduct of the Revolution—Fate of the Ministers—Duke of Brunswick—Death of the Pope—Russia and Turkey—Settlement of Greece.

It was about the political state of France that the king and ministers of England were troubled at the close of the year 1829. By that time, indeed, their relations of sympathy with the government of France were becoming the cause of more reasonable anxiety than even feelings of mutual hostility could have been. To understand this, we must look back a little.

At the time when Mr. Canning sent British troops to Portugal to repel aggressions from Spain, which were supported by France, there were three parties in France by whom England was very differently regarded. In 1827, indeed, there was such disorder in the political state of France, that there was scarcely any subject on which the three great parties were not in bitter enmity against

each other, and Mr Canning's foreign policy was naturally a prominent topic

The French King and his government justified England, in word as well as by the act of recalling their own

French in 1823, about his method of baffling her policy by separating the South American colonies from Spain, and about the power which would be wielded by England in the event of a war of opinion in Europe. This ruling party, called the moderate royalist party, was, in 1827, supposed to be the strongest. The other two were the ultra royalist which would have supported Ferdinand through everything, would have placed and upheld Don Miguel on the throne of Portugal, would have made the Jesuits masters of education in France and which hated which make

if the session of 1827, how to account for the agitation and turbulence pervading society in France, of which every one was sensible. Everybody was expecting that something fearful would happen soon, yet no one seemed to know why. The minister Villèle was extremely unpopular but this appeared to be rather on account of something he was expected to do, than from anything he had yet done. The financial statement of the session was very favourable. It came out afterwards that it was delusive, and that the condition of the people in the provinces was deplorable, but this was not yet understood seemed longer exceeding

session, the minister had been perplexed by the new Chamber of Peers, where he had supposed he might have altogether his own way. The peers had rejected his project of a kind of law of primogeniture and had refused to tolerate the presence of the Jesuits in establishments

of public instruction. The other chamber sank in the national estimation from day to day; and in proportion the liberal party within it rose into strength and influence. The newspaper press harassed the minister by its unremitting hostility; while the journals, which he held at his disposal, had scarcely any readers. The minister saw that he must either resign or put down the press. Unhappily for himself and his trust, he chose the latter course; and here was the first thunder-clap of the tempest whose distant mutterings had held the nation in dread.

During the preceding year, the bishops had been urgent with the government to restrain the licentiousness of the press, and the ministerial majority of the Chamber of Deputies had carried addresses for the same object; and now at the opening of the session, a bill was brought in, which must have gratified the expectations of the bishops and the Tory deputies to the utmost. This bill was the production of Peyronnet, keeper of the seals, and minister of justice. Hitherto the law had provided that five copies of every new work should be deposited in the appropriate government department. But this deposit was made at the moment of publication, allowing no time for revision by the police—a purpose never contemplated in the arrangement. Now, it was to be enacted, that no work of twenty sheets and under should be exposed for sale, or be allowed, in any portion, to leave the printing-office, till five complete days had elapsed from the period of deposit; nor any work of above twenty sheets, till after the expiration of ten days. The penalties were fines and confiscation of the edition. So much for works not periodical. As for periodicals, cheapness was to be done away with by the imposition of heavy stamps. The publication of the political journals was to be rendered almost impossible by restrictions as to proprietorship and editorship; and all proprietors whose case did not come within the conditions of the new law—all women, minors, and partners, beyond the number of five—were to find their property in journals extinguished within thirty days from the passing of the law unless they could previously accomplish a forced sale. Fines and other punishments, and stamp-duties, were heavily augmented. A fine of five

francs (about £21) was ordained for every article

of the courts it was proposed that the aggrieved party did not

It is worth while giving this brief sketch of Peyronnet's atrocious law of the press to show what the Bourbon government of France was in its latter day. The wickedness of bringing forward such a law in the nineteenth century can be equalled only by the folly and blindness of the venture. The king and his ministers might as reasonably and hopefully have proposed to put a padlock on the tongue of every Frenchman.

The chamber would hardly listen to the description of the law when it was proposed. One of the deputies M. Casimir Perier, quitting his seat exclaimed 'You might as well propose a law for the suppression of printing in France for the benefit of Belgium.' Shouts of surprise and indignation burst forth at intervals, and at the close of Peyronnet's speech, there was too much confusion to permit the continuance of business. Of course, the journals all came out furiously the next day all except the ministerial papers which nobody read. At the earliest possible moment petitions began to pour in from the remotest of the provinces. The most striking however, of the myriad of remonstrances called forth by the occasion was that of the French Academy. It was particularly striking on account of the undue subservience to royalty for which that great society was notorious. But this law was too obviously injurious to the interests of science and literature to be allowed to pass without the strongest protest that could be offered by the association which represented the science and literature of France. Of the 28 members who attended the discussion as to what should be done 18 voted for the remonstrance, and 4 went away without voting leaving only 6 in favour of keeping quiet under the infliction. M. Michaud was one of the speakers who exposed the consequences of the law, and the three men

bers who were charged with the preparation of the remonstrance were MM. Chateaubriand, Lacratelle, and Villemain. The next day, Villemain was deprived of his office in the privy-council; and the government newspaper announced that M. Michaud was no longer one of the readers to the royal family, nor M. Lacratelle dramatic censor. Crowds immediately assembled before the houses of these three gentlemen, thus dismissed from office; and subscriptions were set on foot for the publication of works which it was known that they were preparing. The director of the academy requested an audience of the king, to present the memorial; and the king refused to see the director of the academy. He could not yet, however, prevent the French nation seeing the remonstrance; for it was published, and spread far and wide.

Though the government was more powerful in the Chamber of Deputies—of which it had controlled the elections—than anywhere else, it had a severe struggle to obtain a majority in the committee which was to consider the bill; and, after all, the provisions of the law were so altered and softened that the minister hardly knew his own bill when it came forth from committee. He obtained the restoration of some of its original clauses; and the bill was sent up to the peers by a majority of 233 votes to 134. It was commonly said that, if it passed the peers, not more than three or four journals would continue to appear in Paris; and the ministers took no pains to conceal that this was exactly what they wished.

While the peers were occupied with the bill, the deputies were invited to pass a measure to secure themselves against newspaper reporters. Speech was to be repressed in every direction. Men were not silenced yet, however; and they made the king aware of their opinions. The committee of the peers began their work by calling before them the chief printers and booksellers of Paris, to give evidence as to the probable operation of the law, if passed. Putting this together with the fact that, of the seven who composed the committee, four were of liberal politics, the government must have seen pretty clearly what the result was likely to be. Just at that time (April 16), the king reviewed some of his troops and the National Guard; and

the ominous silence with which he was received seems to have struck upon his heart. He called his ministers to council the next day, and declared his will that the bill for the regulation of the press should be withdrawn. It is said that Peyronnet's appearance in the Chamber of Deputies on this 17th of April was really forlorn. He was embarrassed, his voice faltered, and the listening members could scarcely catch the words of the royal ordinance. They were immediately repeated loudly enough, however. The 30 000 journeymen who would have been deprived of bread by the passage of this law, caught up the news, and spread it over Paris, and the whole city was presently blazing with illuminations and fireworks. The rejoicings of the people were regarded by the ministers as manifestations of revolutionary tendencies, and no one member of the administration as yet offered to resign.

It had been arranged before this issue that the king should review the National Guard on the 29th of April 'in token of his satisfaction at their zeal in his honour on the anniversary of his return to Paris.' Some doubt had arisen in regard to the loyalty of a portion of this popular force, and there was a question whether the review should take place in the court of the Tuileries—which was not the most popular locality. The king however, declined to alter the announcement given, and the occasion was prepared for as a great festive day. When the king appeared surrounded by his brilliant staff and followed by the whole royal family, none but loyal cries were heard, but after a time a voice here and there from the ranks shouted 'Down with the ministers!' 'Down with the Jesuits!' The officers and comrades of those who thus shouted strove to silence them, but in vain. The king was heard to say in a tone of great dignity, 'I came here to receive homage and not admonitions. Upon this arose a great shout of 'Long live the king' but the disloyal cries were renewed and multiplied. The king would have borne with them as is known by his having formally signified his satisfaction with the state of the guard and the ceremonial of the day, but his ministers could not forgive their share. The cries were uttered with great rage, under their windows they went to the

king, to hold council, and sat late into the night. Before daylight, the royal and ministerial order for the disbanding of the National Guard was received by its commandant; and before seven in the morning, all the posts of the guard were occupied by troops of the line.

Two days after the close of the session, in June, the old censorship of 1820-21 was brought into action. Every one expected this; but nobody was the less angry. In August, government took offence at the orations and ceremonies which signalised the funeral of a deputy who had been expelled from the chamber in 1823, and prosecuted the printers and publishers of the report of the funeral. The speakers and reporters came forward to acknowledge their share in the matter. All the parties were prosecuted; and all authors, speakers, publishers, and printers, were acquitted, and the confiscated copies of the pamphlet ordered to be restored. Lafayette, who was one of these parties, made a kind of political progress through France; and he damaged the government, at every stage of his journey, by a plain narrative of its policy of the year. The king was travelling at the same time. He visited the camp at St. Omer; was loyally received; enjoyed the spectacle of the improved condition of his people—which was, in truth, very miserable—since he visited the same regions in his younger days; and returned to Paris, fancy-

were now to be swamped. In the new batch were found the only archbishops (five) who were not peers before, and the most slavish of the creatures of the government who had been thrust into the late assemblage of deputies.

The king and his minister were among the last to perceive that these measures would not do—that they were intolerable, but they discovered it at last, and on the 4th of January, Villèle resigned.

The people of Paris were on the watch. On occasion of the election returns, towards the end of November, there had been serious troubles in Paris, and it was at this time, as far as we are aware, that the first mention of barricades occurs. Some of the rioters, we are told, pursued by the patrol, raised barricades by means of the masons' tools and hewn stones which they found near the church St. Leu, where some new houses were in process of construction. It is two years and a half after this that we find, in our own *Annual Register*, the first mention of barricades, and of something else. 'As a detachment advanced, it was stopped by a new obstacle, a barricade formed across the street by one of those long coaches to which the Parisians have given the name of *omnibus*.'

The people of Paris were, as has been said, on the watch. The countenance of every minister was examined as he came forth from royal audience, during the six weeks between the close of the elections and the resignation of Villèle, and during the whole of the next two years they remained on the watch, while a weak and incompetent ministry was kept in, only by consent of all parties, because no party could put in a set of men of its own. During this period, minds and affairs were ripening for the great struggle to come, and everybody, unless it were the royal family, was aware that though little appeared to be done, the time was not lost.

The chief signs of the times were, first, the introduction of an impeachment of Villèle, which was allowed to stand over from the session of 1828 to the next, in order to prevent his return to office—a proceeding of which he and his master could not complain, as the delay was reasonably accounted for by their frustration of all attempts to obtain the evidence required. Next, the continually growing

proof of the impoverished condition of the people engaged in labour and trade; and, again, the introduction of more liberals into office and the chamber; a sure token of change: and the more, because it was achieved by a coalition for the crisis between the liberals and the ultra-royalists.

Among those who were on the watch during all this time, was he by whom England was brought into relation with this great French quarrel. Prince Jules de Polignac has been mentioned as the French ambassador in London, who was a party to the Treaty of London in regard to Greece. Prince Polignac was one of those men about whom neither the world at large, nor any one in it, knows how to be moderate—the accomplished, narrow-minded, strong-minded, conscientious oppressor, whom the oppressed hate with extremity of hatred, and whom his associates respect and regard as a man of sincerity, conscience, and loyalty. The people of France lived in incessant mistrust of him, and dread lest he should not remain in London. The King of England and the Duke of Wellington entertained a cordial admiration and a strong personal friendship for him; and his own sovereign was attached to him as to a faithful and able adherent and champion. At the beginning of 1829, the Count de Ferronay, the French foreign minister, the most respected and trusted of the weak ministry then existing, was compelled by illness to retire from office; and immediately Prince Polignac appeared in Paris. It was reported that he had been secretly sent for; that, if he could be got into office, he was gradually to restore the Villèle policy; and with one intolerable aggravation—that he was to work out in France the pleasure of the Tory ministry of England. The hated Wellington, who had brought back the Bourbons, and in this had helped to impose the tyranny under which the French nation groaned, was now about to impose a friend and fellow-conspirator of his own upon France, and to rule the struggling nation with the rod of the Holy Alliance. If the French king and ministry had hoped to bring in Prince Polignac, they found it would not do for this time. The ministers themselves threatened to resign, if the prince came in as the nominee of the king. So, Prince Polignac returned to London, after having made

responsible editor of the *Journal des Débats*, was prosecuted for the following words, which appeared in his paper on the accession of the new ministry 'The bond of affection and confidence which united the monarch with the people is broken. Unhappy France! unhappy king!' On these words a charge was founded of offence against the king's person and authority, on the ground that any impeachment of the king's judgment in choosing his ministers was an attack on his authority, and any declaration that there was no longer love between the king and his people, or between the people and their king, was an offence against his person. The courts of Paris were above trifling like this. After a deliberation of three hours as to the form of the judgment, the conclusion was that M. Bertin was acquitted, because, 'however improper might be the expressions of the article complained of, and however contrary to the moderation which should be preserved in discussing the acts of the government, they did not constitute actionable offences against the royal person or dignity.' Silence within the court had been enjoined, but the acclamations with which the judgment was received were deafening, and they were caught up by the crowds outside, who soon, by their shouts let all Paris know the result of the trial.

Hitherto,
but both
rich they

were compelled to witness, and Prince Polignac was made president of the council. Upon this the best, in their opinion—the most ultra royalist of the ministers, Labourdonnaye—withdrew. And now, the consequences of a bad season had to be met, in addition to other difficulties. Wet and cold weather had materially injured all the crops in the country, the manufacturers' stocks were large, and a multitude of people therefore unemployed, when the winter set in early, and with great severity. What would Polignac, whose head was full of old feudal ideas, do for the modern farming and manufacturing France? What would he do—and this was the most anxious question to himself—with the chambers? The Chamber of Deputies was hostile, but to resort to a new general election could

only make matters worse. It is believed that even now, on the eve of meeting the chambers, he was undecided as to whether he would satisfy himself by merely putting down journalism—not seeing that journalism was now an expression of the national will—or whether he would supersede the electoral laws by royal ordinances, in order to obtain a chamber which would work to his liking. Whatever might be in his mind, the fact of the case was, that the monarchy and the national liberties were now brought face to face for their decisive conflict, and that Prince Polignac was not aware of it.

Early in January 1830, the king issued a notice to the chambers to meet on the 2nd of March. From this it was supposed that the representative part of the state was safe for the present. But there was evidently no improvement in the temper of the royal and governing clique. When the president of the court which had acquitted M. Bertin went, according to custom, to offer to the king and royal family the usual wishes for the new year, he met with a reception which showed that, in France as in England, the first gentleman in the empire could lose his good-manners in personal pique. The upright judge, M. Seguier—who had asserted the function of his court in the memorable words: ‘The court gives judgments and not services’—offered his congratulations to the king, with an expression of satisfaction in the privilege of a yearly audience to tender these wishes. The stern reply of the king, was, ‘that he desired the magistrates of the court never to forget the important duties they had to fulfil, and to render themselves worthy of the marks of confidence they had received from their king.’ As for the royal ladies, the only word they had to give in reply to similar congratulations, was: ‘Pass on;’ and all the courtiers behaved to the judges exactly after the manner of the royal family. Childish as this appears in the reading, it was of vast importance at the time, as showing that the government could not tolerate the independent administration of justice—the most fatal of all symptoms.

Throughout February the newspapers contained articles which hinted, or said plainly, that men were now driven to revive the old question, what France had gained by the

return of the Bourbons, and whether she could prosper better under some other dynasty. Of course, the prosecutions of the journals were unremitting, and the sentences were often severe; but the more fines were imposed, the larger were the subscriptions to pay them; and the more men went to prison, the more volunteers appeared to carry on their work outside.

On the 2nd of March, the king, surrounded by the royal family, met the chambers. There was more than ordinary pomp and gravity observable in the proceedings. It was remarked, and afterwards told, in every home in France, that when the king set his foot on the step of . . . which was picked up by the . . . d by him, kneeling on one . . . d to with breathless eagerness; and up to the last paragraph it gave nothing but satisfaction. It told of peace abroad, of a good state of the finances, of fidelity to the charter; but the last paragraph ruined everything. In it the king called upon the peers to aid him in governing the country well; expressed his trust in them to repudiate wicked insinuations; and declared that if obstacles to his government should arise which he could not, and did not choose to foresee, he should find strength to overcome them in the loyalty of his people. The surprise and dismay caused on the instant by these words were evident enough through all the usual loyal demonstrations of the occasion.

The peers replied coldly to this direct appeal, assuring his majesty that there was indeed nothing to fear from the obstacles of faction, as the government would have the support . . . of the great majority of the nation; . . . : . . . yalty and the . . . : . . . connected, and must be transmitted undivided. This was pretty strong in the way of admonition and rebuke; but the ministry dared not object, for fear of bringing upon themselves something worse, in the form of direct censure. The king, to whom the address was presented on the 9th of March, ventured to congratulate himself on the substance of his sentiments having been so perfectly apprehended.

templated. I am grieved to find that the deputies declare that, on their part, such concurrence exists no longer. Gentlemen, I announced my intentions in my speech at the opening of the session. These intentions are immutable. The interest of my people forbids my receding from them. My ministers will make my further purposes known to you.'

The next day the chambers were prorogued to the 1st of September. And where was the king on the next 1st of September? 'Long live the king!' cried some on the ministerial side. 'Long live the charter!' cried some on the opposite side. 'Long live the constitution!' shouted a voice from one of the galleries, where the citizens of Paris had crowded in, to see what would happen. The royalists set up the cry: 'Down with faction!' and called upon the president to order the departure of strangers; but the president's authority was at an end now that the session was closed, and the whole assemblage broke up in disorder. There were many heavy hearts in both chambers, and in every street in Paris. It had not been supposed that the king would stand out to such a point as this. It was the first time that the sovereign had used the power of thus untimely dispersing his parliament. The budget was not brought forward, nor any provision made for some extraordinary expenses of the time. Every one saw that a dissolution might next be expected, and that this was a rupture which could not be healed. The liberals, who were virtually conquerors, were sure of their ground; but they were full of solicitude about what was to happen next. The royalists were merry and confident, looking upon the present crisis as the emancipation of royalty from tutelage.

After a grand expedition had been sent off to Algiers,

ordered for June and July; and the new parliament directed to meet on the 3rd of August. And where was the king on that 3rd of August?

In the elections, the government was beaten at all points. The nation was fond of military glory, as hitherto; and

popular ^{budget} budget, in which many and great economical reforms would be recommended. Then, they were to excite to the utmost the patriotic pride of the members about the Algerine victories, and they hoped that through the blaze of those glories, they might carry, almost unobserved, the restrictive laws of the press which they were resolved to obtain. Except their actual conduct, nothing could be more blind and foolish than this plan of procedure, nor more insulting to the French nation, who were thus to be treated like children—bribed to suffer restraint by the exhibition of a glittering toy. Their actual conduct was, however, even worse. Finding it out of the question to meet the chambers, they still did not think of resigning, but addressed a memorial to the king, petitioning and recommending him to set aside the charter. They had their own sense of duty, and mistaken, utterly foolish, as it was, they resolved to abide by it. They believed that the monarchical principle was now to be surrendered or a bold hand. They despised stirring from the contest, and, deserting the king, so they remained beside him, and urged him on to destruction. In ruining their king, and outraging his people, they never ^{for a} smallest doubt that they were discharging a sub of England might think of shown that his sympathy could not go this length. His measures of the preceding year were a practical and most powerful protest against the policy which was unjustly supposed to be instigated, or at least countenanced, by him because a personal friend of his was responsible for it. Prince Polignac was known to be inaccessible to counsel. It is probable that if he had ever obtained any opinion at all from the Duke of Wellington, or had guided himself by the policy of England in her last great crisis, he would not have been the one to overthrow the monarchy of France.

The ministers had discussed in some of their meetings a plan of three ordinances, which, being issued by the king, might free the government at once from its two great difficulties—the press and the chambers. These ordinances were laid before the king in council, on the 21st

of July, together with a memorial which explained their object and their necessity. This memorial declared that there was no provision in the charter for the protection of the periodical press, which had at all times been, from its very nature, nothing but an instrument of disorder and sedition; that it had established a despotism in the Chamber of Deputies, where every man who adhered to the side of order was sure to be insulted by the newspapers; that the Algerine expedition had been endangered by the disclosures and criticisms of the press; that the king's own words and sentiments had been disrespectfully discussed in the journals; that it was for his majesty to say whether such conduct should go unpunished; that government and the press could not co-exist; and that the prolonged cry of indignation and terror from all parts of the kingdom against the journals of Paris showed which must give way. So much for the press. As for the other difficulty, the representation, the ministers suggested that the right of government to provide for its own security existed before any laws, and, being founded in the nature of things, must overbear all laws; that the time had arrived for the assertion of this primary right; that all legal resources had been exhausted in vain; and that, if the ordinances proposed were not in accordance with the letter of the laws, they were with the spirit of the charter; and that the administration did not hesitate to recommend to the king the issuing of the accompanying ordinances, convinced as they were that justice must always prevail. Such was the memorial which was published with the celebrated ordinances of Charles X. and his Polignac ministry.

These ordinances were three. By the first, the liberty of the periodical press was suspended; no journals were to be issued but by the express authorisation of government, which must be renewed every three months, and might be withdrawn at any time; and all writings of less than twenty pages of print were to lie under the same conditions. By the second ordinance, the Chamber of Deputies was dissolved, on the ground that means had been used, in various parts of the kingdom, to deceive and mislead the electors, during the late elections. By the

third ordinance, means were taken to correct such abuses by setting aside those provisions of the charter which were found inconvenient in their operation; by the power conferred on the king by the charter to consult the security of the state he lessened the number of deputies, reduced their term of office, and altered their qualification, and the methods of election.

It is scarcely credible, even now, that any government of our day should have conceived of doing such things as these by the mere will of the sovereign, and the question arises how the government could have gone on thus far, administered by men who now showed themselves destitute of all idea of nationality, law, and the purposes of social organisation. These three ordinances, together with some subordinate articles, recalling to the council

of the *Moniteur* newspaper, for publication in the morning. So profoundly had the secret been kept, that neither the heads of the police nor the soldiery had the least idea that any extraordinary call was likely to be made upon their energies. The ministers had not made the slightest preparation for any awkward reception of their measures. There is no evidence that, amidst all their complaints of popular disobedience and violence they dreamed of resistance to the ordinances. As for the public, though something of the sort had been predicted and vaguely expected, from the day of Polignac's accession to office, the amazement and dismay at last were as overwhelming as if no

the most eminent lawyers in Paris of the illegality of the ordinances and then assembled, to the number of forty four, in the office of the *National*, to prepare the celebrated protest which first gave direction to the bewildered mind of Paris. By this protest they proved the illegality of the ordinances, declared their own intention of resisting them, and invited the deputies to meet on the

properly appointed day—the 3rd of August. ‘The government,’ said the protest, ‘has to-day forfeited that character of legality which makes obedience a duty. We, for our part, shall resist it. It is for the rest of the nation to determine how far its own resistance shall extend.’ A legal sanction was given, in the course of the day, to such a method of proceeding as this, by the decision of a magistrate, M. Belleyne, who authorised the printer of the *Journal of Commerce* to continue the issue of that paper provisionally, as long as the ordinance of the 25th had not been promulgated according to the legal forms. At the Exchange the excitement was tremendous. Crowds assembled in all the avenues to it, long before the gates were opened; and then the hubbub was such as might have alarmed even Prince Polignac, if he had witnessed it; but his way was to see very little, and to believe nothing but what he saw. Every one wanted to sell, and nobody to buy; manufacturers declared that they should close their establishments, and dismiss their workmen; and the Exchange had not been seen in so stormy a state since the return of the Bourbons. Presently, the stir and excitement had spread to the remotest corners of Paris; and in the theatres the usual occasions were found or made for expressing the popular opinion. The day passed over, however, without actual insurrection; and the ministers agreed that the discontent would exhaust itself in harmless murmurs; that no struggle need be apprehended till the new elections should be entered upon; and that they need not send police or soldiery into the streets, to disperse the groups which began to form there. Even the usual leave of absence, asked by some military officers, was granted as on ordinary days. Marmont, Duke of Ragusa, who commanded the troops, held a most difficult position. He had no warning whatever of what was going to be done, though the ministry were as well aware as he was, that whole divisions of the soldiery were so full of popular sympathy as to be unreliable, in case of insurrection. As the event showed, there were only 6000 on whom he could depend: and of these nearly 2000 were needed for the supply of the regular posts in Paris, and about the king’s palace at St. Cloud; so that the general had but little

more than 4000 men wherewith to defend Paris, and put down revolt, if the citizens should be disposed to resist the overthrow of the charter

The most remarkable scene, on Tuesday the 27th, was the conflict between the police and the newspaper corps. The doors of the offices were closed, and the papers were thrown out of the windows as fast as they could be printed, and the eager mob handed them, by tens of thousands, to every house or to every reader who wished to see the famous protest. The police, meantime, were standing before the doors, unable to effect an entrance, because nobody would give any aid. One blacksmith after another was brought to the spot, with his tools, but one after another folded his arms, and refused to force the locks. When half Paris had witnessed the scene, so damaging to the authority of the government, the doors were at last broken in, the manuscripts and books seized, the types thrown away, and the presses broken in a process which did not make the temper of the government more respected than its power had been. During this day, the Tribunal of Commerce declared itself. The printer of the *Courier Français* had been afraid to print the paper in violation of the ordinance, and the editors sued him for breach of contract. The tribunal, by the voice of its president Ganneron—a voice which sounded firm and clear amidst the first roar of the revolutionary storm—pronounced that the ordinance, being contrary to the charter
 , from his majesty the king
 and that the printer must
 within twenty four hours

Before two o'clock, Marmont was posting his troops, and bodies of men were arming themselves from the gunsmiths' shops. Some thirty deputies had met to consider whether or not they should assemble on the 3rd of August, and the police and soldiery drew round their place of meeting. They do not appear to have thought of anything but legal resistance as yet, but in the midst of their consultation, a deputation came to them from the electors of Paris to say that by the promulgation of the ordinance law was at an end, and that insurrection was the method open to the citizens, and that

which they were prepared to adopt. The deputation declared that assemblages were beginning in the streets; that they, the representatives of a multitude, like-minded with themselves, had cast themselves, 'body and goods,' into the enterprise; and that they now called upon the deputies to sanction and guide their proceedings. Next came a body of young men, messengers from a large association resolved on an immediate struggle, who offered a guard to the assembled deputies. These last could come to no immediate determination under these exciting visitations, with police and soldiers all about the neighbourhood, and shots multiplying in the streets, and at the very door. They appointed a place of meeting for the morrow, when some of them were to come prepared with a decisive protest, which should be immediately considered, and issued when agreed upon. The ministers met this afternoon at the foreign office; and though they knew everything that was going forward, saw with their own eyes the state of the streets and the armourers' shops, and had—Prince Polignac and M. de Montbel—been pelted with showers of stones, they could not yet perceive the seriousness of the occasion. They expected the people to become quiet, and talked of declaring Paris in a state of siege, as a threatening measure, and of bringing in troops from a distance, if matters were not right to-morrow morning. They had great faith in the power of soldiery against a mob; and thought little of the all-important circumstance that various bodies of the troops had shown disinclination to act against the citizens.

On Wednesday morning, the 28th, barricades were seen rising in all directions; paving-stones, powder, and lead, were carried into houses favourably placed for attacking troops in the streets; the court tradesmen, seeing that they were in danger of insult from their display of the royal arms, took them down; and this became the signal for pulling down the royal insignia everywhere, and dragging them through the mud. The arsenal, the artillery dépôt, and the powder-mills, were all emptied with extraordinary despatch, and every soldier or government servant who carried arms was disarmed, as soon as met. The prefect of the Seine went, at seven in the morning, to inform the

minister, that if the Hotel de Ville were not properly guarded, he feared it would be entered, and a provisional council of the people be established therein, but the minister still did not consider the matter serious, thought the people would be scared back to their homes when Paris should be declared in a state of siege, and drove off to attend a council at St Cloud, where the king and royal family now were. When the magistrate returned from this interview, the Hotel de Ville was in the hands of the people, who had turned out the guard of sixteen men, and were running up to the belfry, where they rang the tocsin, and hung out the tricoloured flag, with crepe for mourning, and the eloquent flag streamed to the wind, in the sight of all Paris. Presently there was another, streaming from the steeple of Notre Dame, whose great bell was kept tolling, to call the people to arms. Soon after this was accomplished, bodies of soldiery appeared, to guard the edifices which were already in the possession of the citizens. In the course of the morning, there were various encampments of troops in different parts of the city, but no one seems to have remembered that they would want food, for none was provided. Marshal Marmont now sent a letter and report to St Cloud, to alarm the king, and assure him that it was necessary to yield immediately, that if measures of pacification were instantly offered, there might yet be time to save the royal dignity, but that to-morrow it would be too late. This letter is declared to have been mis sent or suppressed.

When the ministers returned from St Cloud, they assembled and remained at the Tuileries, believing that they should no longer be safe in their own houses, and that they ought to be on the spot, ready to hold council with Marshal Marmont, who was now—Paris being in a state of siege—the head of the government. Almost as soon as they had arrived, a remarkable deputation was shown into the presence of Marshal Marmont. Five deputies came, sent by the liberal members of their body, to propose a truce, for the saving of life, till communication could be had with the king. The marshal appeared disposed for peace, on his own part, but declared that his orders were positive to enforce the decrees of the govern-

ment. He offered to send a message to St. Cloud; and did so. He inquired if the deputies had any objection to see Prince Polignac; they expressed themselves willing, and he went into an adjoining room. Returning almost immediately, he intimated that, as nothing could be done till an answer arrived from St. Cloud, there would be no use in their seeing Prince Polignac. It was afterwards made known, that orders had been issued for the arrest of five or six of the leading liberal deputies, some of whom were of this negotiating party; that the intended victims passed through the presence of the officers charged to arrest them; and that, on their departure, Marshal Marmont countermanded the orders, which could not now be executed without too much hazard.

The marshal sent one of his aides-de-camp, Colonel Komierowski, to St. Cloud with a letter which related the mission of the deputies, and referred the king to the bearer for an account of what was passing in Paris. It was four o'clock when the messenger left Paris. When he arrived at St. Cloud, the king was at cards, and some of the ladies were in the orangery, silently listening to the distant firing. They had all been informed by an officer of the royal suite of what was going on; but the king comforted himself with the thought that everybody always exaggerates dangers. The messenger did his duty well. He delivered the letter into the king's own hand, observing that an answer could not be given too speedily; that it was not the populace, but the whole people that had risen. 'It is a formidable revolt, is it?' inquired the king. 'Sire,' replied the soldier, 'it is not a revolt; it is a revolution.' The king desired him to retire, and return to his presence to receive his answer, when the letter should have been read; and at the end of twenty minutes of anxious waiting, he was called in. The dauphin and the Duchess de Berri were present; and it was unchecked by them that the king gave the message which he chose to send to Marshal Marmont—a message so cold and cruel, as well as foolish, as to extinguish any lingering feelings of compassion for his loss of the sovereignty of France. His verbal message was that Marshal Marmont must hold on—'concentrate his forces, and act the mas—'

that is, he was to put down the people by military force, at all events. It also signified the king's displeasure at the dispersion of the forces over Paris. The method prescribed was already impossible. The greater number of the soldiers had gone over to the people, those that remained were too few for the work, and they were hungry, weary, and distressed. At night orders were sent in the quietest way possible to such of them as were at the Hotel de Ville where fighting had been going on without result for many hours, to return to the Tuileries in the best way they could. Since the morning of the preceding day there had been no issue of provisions to the soldiers, and now, when in a famished condition they reached the Tuileries at midnight after fighting all day in a burning sun, there was neither food nor drink for them. They were promised some at daybreak but it was not to be got. The officers bought up from the bakers whatever bread they had; but it went a very little way. It was no wonder that it was found next morning that a large proportion of the troops of the line were not to be depended on.

There was little rest for anybody that night. The soldiers were murmuring, and their commander was in great anguish of mind which caused a miserable irresolution in his purposes. He disapproved the ordinances as much as any man in Paris, and had said so to M. Arago the Monday before but his professional duty constrained him—or he thought it did—to fire upon the citizens who had his sympathies in their enterprise. He was required to fulfil his professional duty under every kind of disadvantage. His troops were too few, and many of them untrustworthy. Food and ammunition fell short, he lay under the displeasure of the king and was not on good terms with the ministers. Marshal Marmont was a wretched man that night. All night the tocsin rang banishing sleep from the city. All night the people were cutting down the trees of the Boulevards, and building up new barricades. On the 29th, however, these were no longer wanted. The soldiers no longer came out against the people. They were posted 'in masses' as the king desired, and the people must come up and attack them.

There was a good deal of fighting, in a desultory kind of way; but regiment after regiment unscrewed their bayonets, and joined the people, or at least withdrew from the struggle. Meantime, from early in the morning, a remarkable scene was going forward in the palace of the Tuileries.

The peers had made no demonstration as a chamber; but some of them had fought as private men on the side of the people. Early in the morning of the 29th, the Marquis de Semonville, who held a high office in the Chamber of Peers, went to the Tuileries, saw Marmont, who carried despair in his countenance, and requested from him an interview with Prince Polignac. The marquis was accompanied by M. d'Argout; and their account of the interview has never been disputed. The marquis peremptorily requested Prince Polignac to withdraw the ordinances, in order to stop the effusion of blood, and preserve Paris; or, at least, to resign. Prince Polignac replied, with cold politeness, that he had no power of his own to take either step, without consultation with the king. The other ministers said the same thing; but their whole manner conveyed to the two peers the impression that they were 'under the influence of a power greater than their own will;' that as they had tempted and urged on the king to this pass, he would not now let them draw back. At length, Prince Polignac, with the same calm politeness, yielded so far as to propose to retire, to deliberate with his colleagues. While he was out of the room, the marquis urged Marmont to arrest the ministers, as the shortest way of putting an end to the slaughter in the streets; the governor of the Tuileries offering to do the deed, and the marquis himself proposing to go to St. Cloud, to work upon the king. Marmont was convulsed with agitation; he shed tears of indignation and passion, in the conflict between the convictions of his judgment and his professional duty; but he had yielded and was about to sign the requisite orders, when Peyronnet came in, and said in a voice of great emotion, as he stood behind the marquis: 'What! not gone yet?' The intention to yield was clear from the tone and manner of these few words. The marshal wrote something different from

what he had intended, he wrote a pressing entreaty to the king to give way. The governor put the two peers instantly into a carriage for St Cloud, Prince Polignac and some of his colleagues entered another, and the two carriages reached St Cloud at the same time. Their arrival and the disorder and agitation of their appearance created no little astonishment there for even yet the royal family insisted upon it that all their informants exaggerated the confusion. The king taunted the marquis with this in the interview which ensued.

During that interview, the king was as obstinate as ever about the ordinances and his 'system' of government. It was only by presenting plainly to him his personal danger from the hands of the populace and his responsibility for the lives and fortunes of his family, that the marquis could make any impression upon him whatever. It was not a moment for scruples, and the marquis therefore laid upon the king the sole responsibility for anything that might happen to his family through his refusal to yield. This at length brought tears to the old man's eyes, he drooped his head upon his breast and said in a low and agitated voice 'I will request my son to write and assemble the council.'

After a short deliberation, it was resolved that the ordinances should be revoked, and a new ministry appointed, but, either from some difficulty about the new appointments, or from some lingering hope of better news, the decision was kept secret till the evening, and then it was too late.

The ministers fairly gone, Marmont ordered the soldiers to act only on the defensive, and proclaimed a truce at various points, but he was not much attended to, and in fact, not understood. In some places the conflict raged more than ever, and elsewhere, more and more soldiers went over to the people. In the afternoon, the citizens had penetrated everywhere, and Marmont found himself suddenly compelled to leave the city, if he wished to preserve his force at all. He could not even give notice of his intention to several scattered companies which he was obliged to leave to their fate. Most of them, however, made their way out, and joined him on the road to

- St. Cloud. His only hope now was to guard the person of the king, and the safety of the royal family. On the road, the soldiers met the dauphin, with two aides-de-camp. They formed in battalions to receive him. They supposed that he would address the troops, and invite them to follow him to Paris; but he only rode rapidly, and in dismal silence, along their front, and turned back towards St. Cloud, whither they followed him with heavy hearts. Their case was a hard one. Their good-will towards the people and their cause was such, that they spared life to the utmost that was consistent with their military duty, while they were pelted with stones, and treated as enemies by the populace; and, at the same time they had no encouragement on the side of their professional duty; their wants were not cared for; they were not supported by an efficient command; nor were their spirits cheered by a single demonstration in favour of the royal cause. Throughout the whole struggle, not one solitary cry of 'Long live the king!' was heard. And now, when all was over, and they were going to the presence of the king, the king's heir had not one word of thanks or sympathy to address to them; but, on the contrary, he seemed to doubt whether they had done their duty. Some of them must have wished themselves with those of their comrades who had fallen—with the old grenadier, one of the heroes of Austerlitz, who fell mortally wounded this day by a ball from the musket of a citizen, exclaiming: 'I was a good Frenchman, however.'

The troops, on their arrival at St. Cloud, were encamped in the avenues of the park; but still, no provision of food or comfort was made for them. Those who had their pay in their pockets bought of the bakers; the others were at last fed by requisitions on the nearest inhabitants. In the evening Marmont delivered a sort of proclamation, in which he declared the revocation of the ordinances, and the change of ministry. The soldiers cried: 'Long live the king!' and set about eating and reposing themselves. The dauphin was indignant with the marshal—called him traitor, ordered his arrest, and took his sword from him with his own hand; but the king checked these proceedings, made some kind of apology for

them, and ordered the troops to be informed that he was satisfied with their conduct.

The courtiers were the most at a loss what to do. It was long before they could admit the idea of the popular victory, but when they did, they took their part with a primary view to their own security. Up to the night of the 29th, all had been brilliant, gay, and confident. Next day, there was an eager looking-out for news, but when, all day long, nobody entered the park, no deputations, no messengers, no news bearers, the silence of consternation settled down on the palace of St. Cloud. Then, one by one, the carriages rolled away—attendance slackened—manners became cold and careless, and, in a few hours, the great house appeared nearly empty. Only a few general officers and gentlemen-in-waiting remained—except, indeed, the disgraced ministers. The king could not bear this, and he did not know whether he was safe at St. Cloud: so, at three in the morning of the last day of July, he set off for Trianon, another country palace, with his whole family and establishment, except the dauphin and his attendants, who remained with the troops. The soldiers were naturally discouraged at this, and some returned to Paris without asking leave.

The unhappy king could not rest. He went from place to place, seeing the hated tricolor everywhere along the road, and forsaken by more and more of his guard of soldiers, who could not endure being thus dragged about before the eyes of the victorious people. His displaced ministers dropped off, except Polignac, who remained some days in the suite of his sovereign, but concealing himself from observation. That night—the night of the 1st of August—the king believed that all was lost for himself, for he heard that the Duke of Orleans had accepted the office of lieutenant general of the kingdom, but there might be a hope that the crown might be preserved for his grandson, the posthumous child of the Duke de Berri, and in his favour, the king that night abdicated, and the dauphin resigned his pretensions to the throne. Again they had to learn that it was too late. The only notice taken was by sending commissioners to Paris to advise the departure of the whole royal family.

for Cherbourg, whence they were to leave the kingdom; and to require the delivery of the crown jewels. It was impossible to resist. The jewels were delivered up; the last orders to the troops were issued while the chambers met in Paris, according to the king's first appointment, and in defiance of his subsequent decree of dissolution. The last orders to the troops were to repair to Paris, after having seen the royal family depart; and to submit themselves to whatever authority they might find supreme in the capital. On the morning of the 4th, the poor king affected to give the order for departure, though the commissioners remained to accompany him to the coast, and were, in fact, the masters. As he passed between the ranks of his soldiers, and among the flags under which they were to fight no more, tears were in his eyes, and in theirs; and these tears seem to have been the only mark of regret that he met with during the whole process of his dethronement. The royal party moved as slowly as possible towards the coast. They lingered—they courted sympathy—they looked in every face they met for comfort; but there was no comfort for them, for they had not deserved it. They had done nothing to secure either the respect or affection of the nation; and they now met with nothing but indifference or mere compassion. No one injured them; no one insulted them; no one withheld the observances of ordinary civility; but it was impossible for them not to see that no one cared for them. For the children, indeed, some emotion was shown—banished as they were from their birth-right before they were old enough to know what they had lost.

When the train arrived on the heights above Cherbourg, the spectacle that met the eyes of the travellers was very affecting. The vessels in the harbour carried the tri-color, all but two; two ships in the distance, whose sails were hung out, and all evidently ready for immediate departure. These were American vessels engaged to carry the royal family into exile. The travelling-party drove through the town without stopping, and immediately went on board the *Great Britain*, the soldiers on the quay presenting arms, and their officers saluting in grave silence, as the exiles passed. Captain Dumoi

“... shot by fire in the dreadful railway
on the king to inquire
of escorting him ‘To

of port related, on his return, that as the unhappy family saw the shores of France grow dim and dimmer in the distance, their sobs and lamentations became more and more irrepressible. The king alone preserved his calmness. In twenty four hours from their sailing—that is before three o'clock in the afternoon of the 17th of August—the vessels anchored at Spithead. Two of the king's suite were put on shore in order to proceed to London, to learn the pleasure of the king and ministry of England. As it was reported to the exiles that the people of Portsmouth, in their joy at the emancipation of France meant to hang out the tri-color all over the harbour the vessels were removed from their first station, and moored off Cowes, in the Isle of Wight.

The English ministers had to consult the foreign ambassadors and it was two days before their answer arrived. The decision was that Charles X. should be received, but as a ... of character he thenceforth ... From this time ... rth, in Dorsetshire, but there were reasons—some assigned and more supposed—why they should be recommended to reside further from the coast, and in a place less immediately accessible from France. William IV. offered for their use the palace of Holyrood, where the ex king had resided during his former exile. There the family lived in retirement occupied with the education and prospects of the young king Henry V., as they called him. The dethroned sovereign had nothing to suffer from remorse or even misgiving. He never ceased to believe and say that the ordinances ... would have happened ... issued then and that ... ited his intentions.

What the French nation did next, we shall see hereafter. The conduct of the people during the three days was

singularly noble. No deed of meanness, and scarcely one of violence, is reported, at a time when public opinion was the only law. The historical education of the French people may not have fitted them for the full understanding and enjoyment of combined liberty and order; but of the strength at once of their patriotism and self-command, in an hour of crisis, no doubt remained in any mind in Europe, after the spectacle of the three days.

As for the late ministers, they were tried by special commission. Prince Polignac was arrested on the night of the 16th of August, when he was on the point of escaping to Jersey. He preserved his calmness throughout, sending in to the government a letter of extraordinary confidence, in which he demanded his freedom, and permission to retire with his family to the tranquillity of the domestic hearth, at home or abroad. If, however, his detention should be decided upon, he requested that his place of imprisonment might be the fortress of Ham, where he had undergone a long captivity in his youth. His life and the lives of his colleagues were spared. They were sentenced to imprisonment for life—Polignac and Peyronnet at Ham—to confiscation of all their goods, and outlawry; to a condition, in short, of civil death.

The loss of life during the three days was much less than could have been expected, and than was believed at the time by those engaged. On the side of the troops, the loss is estimated at about 250 killed, and 500 wounded. On the popular side the numbers are more certainly known. The killed were 788, and the wounded 4500.

While the state of France, viewed in connection with politics at home, was disturbing the mind of the sick King of England, he had to bear a series of vexations on a personal matter, in which he was really ill-used. Among the killed at Waterloo was the Duke of Brunswick, whose young heir was left to the guardianship of the King of Hanover. The boy turned out ill; and there was no end to the trouble he gave to his guardian. He concluded by publishing libels against George IV., which positively asserted charges too serious to be allowed to pass; as, for instance, that he, the duke, had been excluded from his rights for long after he came of age. Though the incen-

sant brawls and disgraces of the young man showed the world that he was not worth attending to, it was necessary to put some check upon him and his refusal to recognise certain political acts of his guardian—liberal changes which were valued by his subjects—rendered some interposition necessary. He must also be rebuked for having sent a challenge to the Hanoverian minister, Count Munster. The courts of Vienna and Berlin tried to bring the young man to reason and penitence, to avoid the serious disgrace of a virtual trial before the diet, but he would not yield. An appeal was therefore made to the diet, by both the subjects and the guardian of the duke. The affair was gone into, and judgment given against the duke on every point. He was enjoined to fulfil the pledges given to his subjects and to make apology and reparation to his guardian. But he paid no attention to the judgment, made no apology—withdrew no libels—made no advances towards his subjects. Such was the state of things in 1829. During the revolutions of the next year, occasion was taken to settle his affairs. He was deposed, by universal consent and his younger brother put in his place. Of course he complained loudly and long, but his unfitness for power was so evident that no one aided him, and everybody advised him to be quiet. The judgment of the diet relieved George IV. from all apprehension for his reputation as the duke's guardian, but the affair was the close of his of body or mind.

The pope Leo XII., died in February of this year 1829. His reign had been short—only five years and a half, and it had not been distinguished by any remarkable events or indications of character or ability in himself. His

ment of the Catholic Church, such as the
dom, and he yielded. The French nation vexed him
sadly by retrenching the power of the Jesuits in France,

but he yielded. And now, at the age of sixty-nine, he laid down his predilections and his vexations together in the grave. His successor had as much reason as himself to feel how times were changed for popes. The new pope, Cardinal Castiglione, took the title of Pius VIII. One of his first acts was excommunicating the town of Imola, which lay under his displeasure. But neither the inhabitants of Imola, nor anybody else, seemed to be at all aware of the infliction; and the affairs of that town and of the world went on as before. Times were indeed changed for popes; but it seems as if popes were not changed. Pius VIII. excepted from the amnesty usually published on the accession of a pope, all political offenders, declaring such to be of the nature of assassins, undeserving of the mercy of even the compassionate church. Thus the new pontiff did not enter upon his reign altogether in the spirit of the gospel, of which he professed to be the high-priest.

The war between Russia and Turkey was soon over.

sight very liberal, but Russia obtained what she most desired—money in abundance, and a protracted hold upon the country. Besides the indemnity to Russian merchants, amounting to about £800,000, Turkey was to pay the expenses of the war, in ten yearly instalments of half a million sterling each. During these ten years the Turks were not to be rid of the Russian presence. On the payment of the first instalment, the Russians were to evacuate Adrianople, on the second, to retire beyond the Balkan, on the third, to quit the Danube, and so on; but they were not to evacuate the Turkish dominions till the payments were all made, and the ten years expired. As for the question of territory, Russia left to the Porte more than might have been expected, retaining some portions here and there which would be useful auxiliaries to future conquests. It was a galling thing, however, that the whole of the left bank of the Danube was gone, and that no Mohammedan might possess a foot of land, or even reside there, and yet more, that the methods of administration set up by the Russians in the provinces were to remain, and worse still that no Russian in any part of the Turkish dominions was to be subject to any government but his own. Henceforth the Russians might come and go and conduct themselves as they pleased, with or without the connivance of the authorities at home, and they could be controlled only by means of their own ambassador and consuls, whose predilections would naturally be on the side of their countrymen. The truth was, all was now over with Turkey, and her political existence was henceforth nothing but a mere show, granted to the solicitations of the three powers which deprecated her open destruction.

Of course, Turkey was in no condition to refuse any terms which might be proposed to her in regard to Greece. The Turks in Greece not being reinforced, had yielded almost everywhere to the arms of the Greeks and their allies, and the three powers might now fix the boundaries of

The National Assembly, which he convoked at Argos, cu

the 23rd of July, was composed mainly of his partisans; and they occupied their time till the 18th of August, chiefly in uttering sentiments on peace, and in compliments to the president. By that date, however, the three powers were transacting the business of Greece more effectually at Constantinople, where Russia forced upon the Turkish government the acceptance of the protocol of March. To prevent Russia having too much influence, however, in the disposal of Greek affairs, the conferences on the subject were, by agreement of the three powers, now to be carried on in London, where, from this time, neither the Turkish government, nor the President of Greece, had any part in the deliberations. The three powers, seeing the helplessness of the other parties concerned, took the matter into their own hands, somewhat unceremoniously, offering some compensation to Turkey, by proposing a narrower boundary for Greece than that assigned in the March protocol.

It was presently determined that Greece should be wholly released from Turkish rule; and that the powers which had thus created a new state should appoint its form of government. The monarchical form having been chosen, as of course, the next question was who should be its king. In order to avoid jealousies, all princes connected with the courts of the three powers were excluded. The first to whom the new crown was offered was Prince John of Saxony. He declined it. Prince Leopold of Saxe-Coburg, the widower of our Princess Charlotte, and at this day King of the Belgians, was supposed at the time to be eager for the sovereignty of Greece; and to him it was offered, in January 1830, by the representatives of England, Russia, and France.

The negotiators were rather surprised by the prince's method of proceeding. He had no idea of an unconditional acceptance or rejection; and believing the possession of Candia to be essential to the security of the sovereignty of Greece, he asked for Candia. There were other stipulations, too; and the offerers of the crown found themselves still involved in negotiations, when they had believed that they had only to confer a dignity. There was goodwill on both sides, however; and by the month of April it was understood by all parties that Prince Leopold had

CHAPTER X

Distress in England—State of the King—Duke of Wellington—State of Parties—Mr Peel—Press
 tions—Removal of Duties—
 Judge—Welsh and Scotch
 ties—Parliamentary Reform
 King—His Death—His Life and Character

THE year 1830 opened gloomily—not only in England, but throughout Europe, and even in America. In Russia, great efforts were made to rouse subscriptions to feed the
 depression
 Through
 there were

stirrings of discontent, which gave warning of revolutionary movements to follow. In the rural districts of the north of France, that strange madness of rick burning, which afterwards spread fearfully in England, had begun. The educated classes of England spoke of it at first with contemptuous amazement, as showing the desperate ignorance of the rural population of France, not yet dreaming how soon the proof would be brought home to them that our own agricultural labourers were in a similar condition of savagery. In the United States the pressure upon the least opulent class was extreme, and that prosperous country came to the knowledge of real and extensive distress. At home, the distress was so fearful that even the sanguine Duke of Wellington with all his slowness to see the dark side in politics, and all his unwillingness to depress his valetudinarian sovereign, felt himself obliged to take emphatic notice of it in the royal speech, and the debates on the address, which were keen and protracted in both Houses, turned chiefly on the dispute whether the distress which all admitted to be intolerable, was pervading or partial. The duke maintained that there were some parts of the kingdom where the distress was not

pressing; the opponents maintained that there were none. The duke spoke of the *vacancies* of new houses that were rising in the neighbourhood of most of the large towns, and declared that he had heard of no complaints on the part of the retail traders; while his opponents looked upon these ranges of new houses as monuments of the speculative mania of five years before; declared that they stood empty, or that their inhabitants were pining with hunger within the walls, unable to pay rent, and allowed to remain only because the owners knew that they could get no other tenants, and it was better for new houses to be inhabited than left empty. The interest of money was never known to be lower; and the manufacturers' stocks, with which their shelves were too well loaded, had suffered a depreciation of 40 per cent. The chancellor of the exchequer, we find, spoke this session of topics of 'consolation,' and no longer of 'congratulation;' and one subject of earnest deliberation with the ministers was whether they should propose a property-tax. They resolved against it; but the deliberation indicates the pressure of the time. The restless spirits of the mercantile and political world, who, in seasons of distress, want to be doing something for immediate relief, turned now, as usual, to the ready device of an issue of paper-money. This was urgently demanded, not only by many half-informed people throughout the country, but by some who should at least have known that they had better not speak on this subject unless they understood it. This idea—of an issue of paper-money—seems to have lain under the opposition to the address in both Houses, and to have been the real drift of the amendments proposed. And yet money was abundant throughout this period of distress; and as has been said, the interest of money never was lower.

The national discontent with the government was very great; and the discontent of the government with itself was hardly less. The continuance of the administration would not have been permitted for a day or an hour after the meeting of parliament, but for one consideration—the understood state of the king. And some members of the administration would not have borne the galling yoke of their military chief's authority, if they could, with any

profess those principles which he was actually working out. For this he was evidently not yet ready. His heart could not yet be with those whom he had regarded as antagonists during his whole political life, his heart was naturally still with the allies with whom he had lived, and worked, and fought, till now. This was easily comprehended, and it was known that he had suffered much in his private and political conduct, the stern rule of his chief, the share of difficulty in the re- the king, and therefore was he observed with intense interest—and time was given him—and he was spared or supported by a generous admiration and sympathy. Mr Canning had specially exempted him from censure for the secession which he complained of in every other case, the Liberals exempted him from the mockery and censure with which they visited his comrades in conversion on the Catholic question, and now, the liberal section of the opposition

The premier's view of the opposition was one which did not secure him any indulgence from it. The bulk of the opposition was the liberal party, now strengthened and graced by an abundance of parliamentary talent, while its weakness of administrative ability was, of course not yet shown, and animated by victory, hope, and expectation. Another powerful, though small party, in opposition, was that of the 'Canningites' led by Mr Huskisson in the last days. The old Tories made up the third party—not a very numerous one but strong in the energies of grief, disappointment, and fear. The duke's tactics were well understood. He expected to hold his position by playing off these parties against each other. He did not see, as others did, that the cause of their disunion had mainly disappeared, while, at the same time, new ground had been gained together, and looked abroad upon the situation. The duke

was blind to this, because he was not yet aware of the critical character of the times. He had seen the dangers of Ireland, and shown that he could yield to necessity, and do what was required. But he did not comprehend the state of France, nor entertain the least doubt that his friend Polignac would conquer there; and he was to speak a few words, the next November, which should show the existing generation and a remote posterity that the needs and destinies of England were no clearer to him than, as he should by that time have learned, were now those of France.

As for the union which was possible and probable between these three opposition parties—a union more probable at present than any practical antagonism—it must be remembered that a touchstone of political integrity had been applied universally in the Catholic emancipation measure. It was now clear which men had opinions and could hold to them. No one could be present at the debates of this session, and not see that a new feeling of mutual respect had grown up between the prominent men who had for life advocated, and for life opposed, Catholic emancipation. The dignity of irresistible victory belonged to the one set; and the dignity of adherence to conviction under the new adversity of opposition belonged to the other; and the mutual recognition attracted both to a cordial co-operation on questions on which they happened to agree. Then again, the Huskisson party was strongly united with the Tories on the subject of parliamentary reform, and with the Liberals on that of free-trade. And a clear understanding could not but exist among all the three in regard to the Wellington administration—that it could not, and must not, continue long; and that the utmost care and delicacy were necessary to support it as long as it was necessary, and to displace it in the least perilous time and manner. It is the belief of many that the premier was slow in becoming aware that he held office by the mercy of the opposition which he had expected to manage and control. It is certain that his experience with regard to Irish questions had not yet humbled him enough; and that the coming year was one of most painful discipline to him. He was

in the spring, however slow he might be in receiving the lesson, that his government was in itself quite powerless, in the summer, how France spurned the govern-

own time

perhaps no one year has taught him so much political truth, under a regimen of such severe discipline, as the year 1830

He began the year with a course of action so weak and blind as really helped to justify the popular belief in France, and in some quarters at home, that he and Prince Polignac were, if not in league at least actuated by strong sympathy. He began the year with a war, on his own account, against the press

Perhaps no act of the Duke of Wellington's has ever injured him so much as this. It instantly lessened his power, and wholly altered the popular estimate of his character. Much of his power was derived from the impression, till then universal that his self reliance was not only indomitable, but so lofty as to be beyond the reach of foolish or malignant censure. Some persons had been rather surprised at his condescending to quarrel with Lord

but now, when he directed into the *Morning Journal* for comment, and himself indignantly and asked whether this could be to have the world under his feet

if were very abusive, but they were, for the most part, extremely vague. One allegation of corruption, supposed to refer to the lord chancellor was distinct, and it might, perhaps be necessary to the reputation of a judge to rebut it, but, when the lord chancellor proceeded to prosecute on his own account the editor of the paper made an affidavit that the charge did not refer to the lord chancellor. Upon this, the government pursued the charge, instituting a new prosecution for the same libel, as affecting some member of the government whoever he might be, and this proceeding, taking place after the defendant had disclosed his line of defence, was universally regarded as

harsh and vindictive. But it was reasonable in comparison with the other prosecutions, which were for such vague charges as 'treachery, cowardice, and artifice,' and such gossip as that the king had been observed to look coldly on the Duke of Wellington, and giving hints of the reasons why the king did not appear in public. It was no small humiliation to the duke that he had to be reminded by the verdict of the jury, on the second of the three trials, that the time succeeding the passage of the Catholic Relief Bills was one of extreme excitement, when some allowance should be made for vehemence of temper, and intemperance of language. The prime-minister, who best knew the opposition of men's minds, should have been the first to make this allowance; and that he did not, materially damaged his reputation. The private chaplain of the Duke of Cumberland avowed himself the author of some of the libels; yet the printer and publisher were pursued for them. The duke's plea was, that such publications prevented the public excitement from subsiding; but there could be no doubt of the irritation being greatly aggravated by the prosecutions themselves. The Whig attorney-general, who remained in the ministry on the ground of the government being conducted on Whig principles, never recovered the ground he lost in the national esteem by these prosecutions. Mr. Scarlett after this obtained dignities, office, and title; but he was always felt to be a fallen man. Some contemporaries ascribed the whole proceeding to him, as others did, to Prince Polignac's

The king's speech delivered by commission on the 4th of February, announced the peace concluded between Russia and Turkey, the continuance of the Portuguese quarrel, the distress among the agricultural and manufacturing classes at home, and the hope of the government that considerable reductions of expenditure might take place, without injury to the public service. The subject of improvements in the administration of the law was also recommended to the consideration of parliament, and measures were announced to answer this object, and prepare for a revision of the practice and proceedings of the superior courts.

Before the ministers could announce their plans of retrenchment, they formally pledged themselves to the principle and practice, to be pursued without hesitation or delay. Only a week after the opening of parliament, Sir James Graham brought forward a motion for a general reduction of the salaries of official persons, on the ground of the restoration of the value of money by Mr Peel's bill of 1819. This motion was withdrawn in favour of a resolution proposed by Mr Dawson, secretary to the treasury, urging in the form of an address to the king, reduction of the persons employed in the departments of civil government, and of their salaries. Mr Hume's motion for a committee of economical inquiry was also withdrawn, that the ministers might be left free to produce their plan. They did this on the 19th of February.

Such reductions as were now to be proposed almost always disappoint the popular expectation, because they must necessarily bear a very small proportion to the vast expenditure of a country ancient enough in its form of government and society to inherit the consequences of old financial errors, and to lie under heavy obligations of good faith.

They do, point to the large amount of annual expenditure, and then to the small proposals of reduction, and scoff at the administration of the day—taking no pains to separate the expenditure of the administration of the day from that to which the present generation is bound by the pledges of a

former one. On the present occasion, there was less of this method of complaint than usual—leading members in each section of opposition making haste to declare that the reductions proposed went beyond their expectations. The reductions amounted altogether to £1,300,000; a large sum out of the £12,000,000 from which alone they could be deducted; but not an amount whose remission would be any effectual relief to the country. All who knew best, in each party, agreed that nothing further could at present be done in the departments of the army and navy; a conclusion which was not, however, allowed to pass without some severe taunting of the ministers about the state of Ireland, which would not yet admit of any diminution of the military force stationed there. It had been concluded too hastily, some months before, that the pacification of Ireland would follow upon the relief of the Catholics; and now, Mr. Peel's mention of 'the two great hostile parties in Ireland' was received with ironical congratulations by those who did not see that the disturbed state of Ireland was owing to the long delay of the measure of emancipation, which had exasperated the passions of parties to an indomitable point.

The duties removed were those on beer, cider, and leather, by which the direct relief to the people was calculated at £3,400,000; and the indirect at so much more as would justify an estimate of £5,000,000 for the whole boon. A prospect was held out of reducing the interest on some portions of the national debt; and a searching examination was going forward in every department of government, into the minutest divisions of the public expenditure. This session gave the most important financial relief to the nation of any since the peace; and the acknowledgements of this by the liberal members of opposition were full and gracious. Mr. Baring regretted that the project of annually paying off a portion of the principal of the national debt was surrendered for the sake of present relief; but most people thought that the fact of a deficit was hint enough to attend first to the immediate pressure upon the people. The repeal of the beer-duty met with great opposition from the landed interest in the House, who would have preferred a repeal of the malt-

tax, and from the agitation of the brewers and publicans, who were alarmed at the idea of cheap beer, and of the throwing open of the trade which was proposed to take place at the same time. But the measures suggested by the chancellor of the exchequer were all carried.

The government had promised, at the close of the preceding session, that a committee of parliament should be appointed this year to consider the whole subject of the jurisdiction and charter of the East India Company, as that charter was soon to expire. A committee was accordingly appointed this spring the vast importance of its duties being emphatically indicated by Mr Peel. The subjects of the Company had been computed to amount to ninety millions, and the welfare of millions more was implicated with theirs, it was therefore impossible to overrate the seriousness of the inquiry whether the territorial and commercial powers of the Company should be continued; and if continued on what understanding and what terms. The Company had kept silence as to their own desires and intentions, the government had no propositions to make, or opinions to express and the committee entered upon its work with every possible appearance of impartiality, and security for it. There was some remonstrance, here and there about the appointment of three or four India directors to serve on the committee, but the objection gave way before the need that was felt of their information on the affairs of India and of the Company. The result of the investigations of this committee will appear hereafter.

The speech had referred to proposed improvements in the administration of the law. One great improvement which took place this session—an incident so remarkable as to deserve special mention—was the removal of an unjust judge. The crown was addressed by both Houses of parliament praying for the removal of the judge of the High Court of Admiralty in Ireland—Sir Jonah Barrington—who had been lately discovered to have been guilty of malversation in the years 1805, 1806, and 1810. The facts were clear, and part of the evidence consisted of documents in the handwriting of the accused, which showed that he had appropriated to his own use some of

- the proceeds of derelict vessels adjudicated on by himself. He was, of course, removed. The shock which this proceeding caused throughout the country testified strongly to the confidence—so unhesitating as to become natural—which society in England has in the integrity of its judges.

An important alteration in the administration of the law was, that Wales was annexed to the English judicature, its own separate system being abolished. Instead of twelve, there were to be henceforward fifteen English judges; a new judge being added to each of the three courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer. In Scotland, two courts were abolished—the High Court of Admiralty and the Commissary Court; and thus the Court of Session had more to do. It was thought, however, that the Court of Session had still more judges than were necessary; and their number was reduced from fifteen to thirteen.

Mr. Peel brought in a bill, on the 1st. of April, to circumscribe the infliction of the punishment of death for forgery. He proposed to abolish the death penalty in all cases where the forgery could have been defied by any degree whatever of care on the part of the person injured, preserving it only in cases of the forgery of the great seal, the privy seal, and the sign-manual; in forgeries of wills, on the public funds, on bank or money notes or orders, or representatives of money in any shape. This bill, important as it was, did not meet the views of those who believed that the punishment of death for forgery did not discourage the crime, and did hinder conviction for it; and Sir James Mackintosh proposed and carried a clause repealing the penalty in all cases of forgery but that of wills. The Lords restored the bill to its original state, and sent it down so late in the session as to cause a question whether it should be accepted in the Commons, or thrown out, in the moral certainty that no lives would be forfeited under portions of a law which it was understood would be repealed in a few months. On the whole, it was thought best to take at once what was offered, and seek the rest hereafter; and Mr. Peel's bill passed.

The cause of the Jews was advocated strongly in the

House this session, as it was likely to be after the admission of the Catholics to parliament. Mr. Robert Grant opened the subject, and was supported at once by many of the ablest men in the House, and afterwards by a considerable body of petitions from the towns. There was a majority of 18 in favour of the introduction of the bill, but it was thrown out on the second reading by a majority of 226 over 165. The arguments against the admission of the Jews to parliament were of the usual untenable and mutually contradictory sort. The Jews were too few to be worth regarding but they would overthrow the Christianity of the legislature, some Jews once hated the Founder of Christianity, and therefore all Jews would now seek to overthrow his Church. Nobody wished it, and then, again, the desire to favour Jews showed the prevalent disposition to infidelity. All the petitions on the subject were in favour of the Jews, there was not one against them and this proved how carefully they must be kept out, as a class of infidels powerful through popular sympathy. The most amusing plea was, that it was unreasonable to admit Jews while Quakers were excluded, to which the friends of the Jews replied, by offering to admit the Quakers immediately. To us it is strange to look back now, and see how long ago the Quakers were admitted, while the Jews still stand waiting outside, it is strange to think that that method of management still subsists by which the hypocrite and lax holder of opinion find entrance without difficulty to the national councils, while the conscientious Jew, one of a body of singularly loyal and orderly and useful subjects, is excluded on account of a difference of belief on matters which, as is shown by the fundamental diversities of faith which exist within the walls of parliament, can have nothing to do with the business which comes before them.

P
ic

the Catholics, there might be some colour of reason for such an apprehension, but as everybody ought to know, there can be none such in the case of a Jew. A Jew no more desires to make Gentiles Jews, than a peer desires to make all the commonalty peers. In both cases, the [1811]

- lege must come from the fountain of privilege, and its value lies mainly in its restriction. The Jews consider themselves the peerage of the human race, and accordingly have no tendency to proselytism.

At the beginning of this session it is probable that no one foresaw what a vigorous growth of the political life of the nation was about to take place through the agitation of the question of parliamentary reform. This was beyond human foresight; because as yet the French revolution had not taken place, and its stimulating influence upon the politics of England could not be anticipated. But the subject of parliamentary reform was not neglected. The Marquis of Blandford was still too angry with parliament for passing the Catholic Relief Bill, still too firmly persuaded that the people of England were averse to Catholic emancipation, to give up his attempt to destroy the existing constitution of the House of Commons. The spectacle is curious of the zeal of this violent anti-Catholic gentleman, in the most 'radical and revolutionary' question of the day; a zeal so vehement and rash, that long-avowed advocates of reform of parliament could by no means keep it in check, or prevent its throwing ridicule on their great cause. The Marquis of Blandford moved a very extraordinary amendment to the address on the 5th of February; an amendment which he called a 'wholesome admonition to the throne.' This amendment declared—what would have astonished the king very much if it had been carried—that the House was determined that his majesty should not be the only person in his dominions left ignorant of the astounding fact of the deep and universal distress of the nation, and the consequent impending danger to the throne, and all the venerable institutions of the country. The reason assigned for the distress was the deviation from the true principle of representation, shown in the existence of purchaseable seats in parliament; by means of which the House was filled with men who considered their own interests alone, and heaped a ruinous weight of taxation upon the country; to remedy which, the king was exhorted to revert to the wisdom of our ancestors, and to make the House of Commons once more a representation of the popular will.

All members were to be paid, city and borough representatives two pounds, and county members four pounds per day, and all were to have been hitherto residents among the constituencies which they represented. Copyholders and certain leaseholders were to enjoy the franchise, and Scotland was to be placed on the same footing with England. The most obvious objection here is to the vagueness about the true principle of representation by which the committee were to try the existing state of the cities and boroughs of England. If, as the mover declared abundant information and authority were to be found in the law and history of England, it was clearly necessary to find and arrange them—to fix the test—before proceeding to the trial. That such a proposition should be entertained at all, and debated through a long sitting, showed the earnestness that existed for some measure of parliamentary reform. Lord Althorp moved, as an amendment, at a late hour, the resolution ‘That it is the opinion of this House that a reform in the representation of the people is necessary.’ The majority against the amendment was 113, and then the original motion was negatived.

The question about the destiny of East Retford was brought forward again, that question which had cost Mr Huskisson his seat in the government two years before. He voted as formerly, and there were 99 votes in favour of the transference of the representation to Birmingham, but 126 voted on the other side, and thus, in the opinion of many, cast the die which turned up ‘revolution.’ There are many who believe, at this day, that if the representation of Birmingham had been permitted at that time a bit-by bit reform would have taken place, instead of the sweeping measure which its enemies might be permitted to call ‘revolution.’ In Mr Huskisson’s speech on this occasion we find the first historical mention of the political unions which were now to form so prominent a feature of the times. The notice was this: ‘He saw in Birmingham, lately, an association which, as far as he could perceive its elements, principles, and operations, seemed exactly formed on the model of the Catholic Association, for it had its subscriptions, its fair, its meetings, its discussions, and its agitator. The pur-

• pose of this association was to raise a universal cry for parliamentary reform—to carry the question by exaggerating the difficulties, abuses, and distresses of the country. Admiring, as he did, the talent of the gentleman who took the lead (Mr. Attwood) at the Birmingham meeting, he, for one, would much rather see that gentleman in the House of Commons—as fortunately he saw the honourable member for Clare in the House of Commons. He would rather see the leader of the Birmingham meeting here as the representative of that town, than in conducting such an association, sending forth those statements and appeals to the country, which was, perhaps, too prone, at the present moment, to act on the apprehensions generated by them.’ Is it possible that Mr. Huskisson did not see—he who had so clear an eye for some things less evident—that when the attention of any portion of the English people is once fairly fixed on the principle of any one of their institutions, the yielding of a single point of detail can never satisfy them? If Birmingham had at that time obtained representation, and had sent Mr. Attwood to parliament, did he suppose that the Birmingham Union would have dissolved, any more than the Catholic Association would have dissolved if Mr. O’Connell had been permitted to take his seat after his first election for Clare? The Birmingham Political Union was formed for the promotion of the whole question of parliamentary reform, and not only for obtaining a representation of its own town. If this enfranchisement had been granted now, the success would have stimulated Manchester and Leeds, and other places, to a similar pursuit of their object; and then the old Tories would have charged the government with the consequences of yielding to popular movements. As it was, the denial answered the same purpose, of stimulating the popular will. The truth was, the time was come for the change. It mattered little, except as to the tempers of the parties concerned, whether government gave assent or denial. The time was come for the rending of the garments which the nation’s life had outgrown; and the agreement or refusal to mend the first slit could make but the difference of a *patch* providing of a new suit. The Duke of

of the statute which prohibits the interference of peers in elections. The Duke's influence was mainly derived from his being the lessee of crown lands, amounting to 960 acres, which formed a sort of belt round three-fourths of the town of Newark. The ministers declared plainly in the House that they had no intention of renewing the lease of these lands to the Duke of Newcastle, and this being the case, and the exposure and disgrace very complete, the committee asked for was considered by the majority not to be needful. The most really useful part of the affair, however, was the innocent amazement of the peer himself at such an interference with his use of his influence, an amazement expressed in words which were never let drop for a day during the continuance of the reform agitation, and which are a proverb to this hour. 'May I not do what I will with mine own?' He had looked upon the electors of Newark as his 'own,' but the 587 who had resisted his dictation, and striven to return an independent member, were very far from answering to the peers' notion of what Newark electors ought to be, and a great blessing the country from this time felt it to be that there were 587 electors within the duke's belt of land who were not his 'own.'

THE next morning, however, that the king was very ill con-

at length, however, that those preparations were countermanded, and on the 15th of April his majesty's physicians issued a bulletin, announcing that the king was ill of a bilious attack, accompanied with difficulty in breathing. The bulletins during this illness were extraordinarily deceptive, and the nation was kept as nearly as possible in the dark about the king's state to the last—almost every bulletin declaring him better, till, as a contemporary observed, 'Amidst these accumulated betternesses, the nation was wondering why he was not well, when it heard that he was dead.' It is supposed that the king insisted on seeing the bulletins, and that the physicians feared the responsibility of making them true. This is a mistake which should have been prevented by some means or other.

* On the 24th of May, however, a message from the king to both Houses of parliament, indicated the truth. The message told that the king was so ill that it was inconvenient and painful to him to sign papers with his own hand, and that he relied on the readiness of parliament to consider without delay how he might be relieved of this labour. There was no doubt in this case about the reality of the bodily illness, nor of the ability of the king to understand and give orders about the business brought before him; but the danger of the precedent was very properly kept in view, and the provision for affixing the sign-manual without trouble to the king was fenced about with all possible precautions, which could prevent the authority from being used by the creatures of an insano sovereign. The stamp was to be affixed in the king's presence, by his immediate order given by word of mouth, to obviate mistake of any sign by head or hand; a memorandum of the circumstances must accompany the stamp; and the document stamped must be previously endorsed by three members of the privy-council. The operation of the bill was limited to the present session, that, if the king's illness should continue, the irregular authority asked for must be renewed at short intervals. The bill was passed on the 28th of May; and the occasion for its use was over within a month. The king died at thrée o'clock in the morning of the 26th of June. The final struggle was sudden and short. He was sitting up when he felt what appears to be the peculiar and unmistakable sensation of death. He leaned his head on the shoulder of a page, exclaimed: 'O God! this is death!' and was gone. The immediate cause was the rupture of a blood-vessel in the stomach. Ossification of some of the large vessels about the heart had begun many years previously; and, before the end, the complication of diseases had become terrible.

The Kings of England and France were beckoned down from their thrones nearly at the same time. George IV. died just after his brother of France had issued his canvassing proclamation—his last words to his people—and before the result could be known; and both sovereigns were in a state of discontent, anger, and fear at the state

of the popular mind, and in view of the future. Two men more unhappy than they were at this time could hardly have been found in the dominions of both.

It would indeed be difficult to point to a more unhappy life through its whole extent, than that of George IV. Nothing went well with him, and as his troubles came chiefly from within, he had none of the compensations which have waited upon the most unfortunate of kings. Kings defeated, captive, dethroned—or diseased in body, or betrayed in their domestic relations—have usually had solace from noble emotions, strenuous acts, or sweet domestic affections. But our unhappy king had none of these. Through life he achieved nothing. He was neither a warrior, nor a statesman, nor a student, nor a domestic man. If he had been even a mechanic, like Louis XVI the locksmith, it would have been something. He was nothing but the man of pleasure, and even in an ordinary life, no one leads such a life of pain as the man of pleasure.

In his rank where real companionship is out of the question—even that life of pain is deprived of its chief solace—the fellowship of comrades. The 'first gentleman in Europe' might make himself as vulgar as he would in the pursuits of dissipation, he was still prince and therefore excluded from the hilarity which cannot exist where there is not equality.

His youth was unhappy. His parents disliked and restricted him, and thus drove him early into distrust and offence. What his married life was is seen in the story of his queen. If he loved his only child, she did not love him, and he lost her. He had no friends, and if he chose to give that name to any of his counsellors he knew that he had often their disapprobation and their compassion. Between himself and his people there was no tie, nor any pretence of one for their happiness.

He showed himself

showed himself incapable of magnanimity. It is seen that the best government of his reign took place against his will, while he attempted disgraceful acts which did not succeed. He surrounded himself with persons whom the nation could not respect, while his selfish pro-

digality at their expense checked every growth of that loyalty which springs from personal attachment and esteem. Faulty as was his temper, his principles were no better. We have seen in the course of this history that his word was utterly unreliable; and other proofs stood out from the whole surface of his life. If it is asked whether there was no good to set against this amount of evil, the only answer, probably, that could be given by those most disposed in his favour is, that he was kindly and warm in his feelings towards those whom he took for his companions, whatever their deserts; and that he could be extremely agreeable and winning, and even outwardly dignified, when he chose. Like all princes, he had his flatterers; and while he lived, praises of the sovereign were afloat, as they are in every reign. The glories and blessings which accrued to the nation in his time, naturally appeared to belong more or less to him at the moment. But it is not so after the lapse of twenty years. When we now look back upon the close of the war, the breaking up of the Holy Alliance, the reduction of taxation, the improvement in freedom of speech and the press, the emancipation of the Dissenters and the Catholics, and the establishment of the principle and some of the practice of free-trade, we involuntarily regard these as the acts and experience of a nation without a head. If it is now a conviction very common among us, that besides that irresistible influence which emanates from personal character, the sovereign has, with us, no longer any power but for obstruction, it is certain that no one person has done so much to ripen and extend this conviction as George IV. He declined the noble prerogative of rule over the heart and mind of his people by personal qualities, while using such opportunities as he had of reminding them of his obstructive power; and his death was received by them with an indifference proportioned to such deserts.

He died in the sixty-eighth year of his age, and the eleventh of his reign; previous to which he had held the regency for ten years.

CHAPTER XI.

Character
 tives—C
 Hanwel
 Scott's
 Parties—
 senters' Marriages—Press at Calcutta—Stamp Duty—Protector of
 Slaves—Treaty with Brazil—Spring-guns—Society for the Diffusion
 of Useful Knowledge—Necrology—Political Deaths—Travellers—
 Men of Business—Artists—Men of Letters—Philosophers—Philan-
 thropists

At the close of the first reign since the peace, it is easy to see that a great improvement in the national welfare had taken place, though the period was in itself one of gloom and agitation. The old Tory rule was broken up, like an ice-field in spring, and the winds were all abroad to prevent its reuniting. There were obstacles ahead; but so many were floating away behind, that the expectation of progress was clear and strong. On every account it was a good thing that the old Tory rule was broken up; but chiefly for this—that when the thing was done by the strong compulsion of fact, of necessity, men were beginning to look for the principle of the change, and thereby to . . . parties that had . . . country. Men . . . that the Tories thought it their duty to govern the people (for their good) as a disposable property; that the Whigs thought it their duty to govern as trustees of the nation, according to their own discretion; and that there were persons living and effectually moving in the world of politics, who thought that the people ought to govern themselves through the House of Commons. This perception once awakened, a new time had from that moment begun, of which we are at this day very far from seeing the end. With the departure of George IV. into the region of the past, we are taking leave of the old time, and can almost join in even

Lord Eldon's declarations about the passing away of the things that had been, and the incoming of a new and portentous age of the national history, though we do not sympathise in his terrors and regrets, nor agree with him that what had been dropped was that which should have been retained, and that whatever should supervene was to be deprecated because it was new. We have, what the old Tories have not, and cannot conceive of, the deepest satisfaction in every proof that the national soul is alive and awake, that the national mind is up and stirring. There was proof of this, at the close of this reign, in what had been done, and in what was clearly about to be done; and this trumpet-call to advance was heard above loud groans of suffering, and deep sighs of depression; and the nation marshalled itself for the advance accordingly.

As for the facts of what had been done, the old Tory rule by hereditary custom, or an understanding among the 'great families' whom Mr. Canning so mortally offended, was broken up. Exclusion from social right and privilege on account of religious opinion was broken up; that is, the system was, as a whole, though some partial exclusion remained, and remains to this day. In the same manner, the system of commercial restriction was broken up, though in practice monopoly was as yet far more extensive than liberty of commerce. Slavery was brought up for trial at the tribunal of the national conscience; and, whatever might be the issue, impunity at least was at an end. The delusion of the perfection of existing law was at an end; and the national conscience was appealed to, to denounce legal vengeance and cruelty, to substitute justice in their place. Hope had dawned for the most miserable classes of society; for, while some of the first men in the nation were contending for an amelioration of the criminal law in parliament, one of the first women of her time was going through the prisons, to watch over and enlighten the victims of sin and ignorance. The admission of a new order of men into the cabinet; the bending of the old order, even of the iron duke himself, to their policy; the emancipation of Dissenters and Catholics; the adoption of some measures on behalf of slaves; the free-trade; the continued ameliorations

through the efforts of Sir S. Romilly, Mr. Peel, and Sir James Mackintosh, and the interest excited in the condition of prisoners by the exertions of Mrs. Fry—are features in the domestic policy of England which must mark for ever as illustrious the first reign succeeding the peace.

Its chief misfortune, perhaps, is that it introduced a method, which some consider a principle of government—which cannot, from its nature, be permanent, and which no one would wish to be so. Now began, with the Catholic relief measure that practice of granting to clamour and intimidation what would not otherwise have been granted, which has ever since been the most unfavourable feature of our political history. The mischief began with the delay in granting the Catholics their fair claims, and those who caused that delay are answerable for the mischief. They are doubtless right in deprecating the evil, and in calling it a revolutionary symptom or fact; but they are wrong in laying it at any door but their own. It was not till the Whigs came into power that the greatness of the evil was evident to everybody—and then, when the Whigs alone were blamed for it, the censure was unjust. The earlier liberal measures were pushed forward in good time. Mr. Canning's foreign policy, and Mr. Huskisson's free-trade, and all the ameliorations of the criminal law, were the results of the ideas of the men who offered them; offered before the nation was ready to demand them in a way not to be refused. With Catholic emancipation the change came. The leading members of the government avowed their disinclination for the measure, and that it was extorted by necessity. In the story which we shall have next to tell, we shall see the consequences. They did not appear immediately, for, though reform of parliament would have been extorted from an unwilling government, there was happily a willing government ready to grant it. It was as much the result of the ideas of the men who gave it as Mr. Canning's foreign policy, or Mr. Huskisson's free-trade—and all the world knew that the members of the government had advocated this reform for long years past, through evil and through good reports; and they could, therefore, now bestow the boon with con-

° summate grace. But the history of other transactions will not prove so gratifying. We shall have occasion to see how the Whigs were, not only what all rulers of our day must be—the servants, instead of the masters, of principles of policy—but the servants, instead of the rulers, of the loudest shouters of the hour; and with the less dignity from their being the professors of popular principles. Dangerous as it might be to see a Wellington and a Peel yielding to popular demands what they would never have originated, there might be, and there was, a certain dignity in it—a touch of heroic mournfulness which is altogether absent in the other case—when leaders professedly liberal do not originate measures, but have them extorted against their own convictions, by the clamour of their own preponderating party. As we shall see, there has been too much of this in a succeeding time; and some fearful consequences have probably to be met hereafter; but this is the place in which to fix the reproach where it is due—to charge upon the anti-Catholic portion of the aristocracy the consequences, be they what they may, of first compelling concessions to popular intimidation, and turning back the government from its glorious post of guide and ruler of the will of the people, to the ignominy of being its reluctant follower and servant.

As for what remained to be done—obviously in the view of all the people—the House of Commons must be reconstituted; municipal government must be purified; slavery must be abolished; something must be done to lighten the intolerable burden of the poor-law; the corn-laws, and as a consequence, the game-laws, must be repealed; religious liberty must be made complete; the youth of the nation must be educated; and something remained over and above, and still remains—more important and more pressing, if all men could but see it, than all these put together: the industrious must have their deserts of food and comfort. The poor-law, the corn-law, taxation, and education—these, if properly taken in hand, and amended to the utmost, might do something; but whatever they might leave over must be done. It cannot, in the nature of things, happen for ever, or for very long, that men in rural districts shall toil every day and all day

long, without obtaining food for themselves and their children, or that men in the towns should sit at the loom, or stand over the spindles through all the working hours of the day, for their whole lives till age comes upon them, and then have no resource but the workhouse. The greatest work remaining to be done was to discover where the fault lies, and to amend it while there was yet time. We shall hereafter see what has been done to this end, and must then draw our inferences as to what remains to be done.

In 1829, the weavers of Lancashire and Cheshire were earning, at best, from 4s 4½d to 6s per week when at work. The most favoured had to wait a week or two between one piece of work and the next, and about a fourth of the whole number were out of employ altogether. The parishes made allowances in the proportion necessary to enable these people to procure food and shelter, and the burden became so heavy, that a continually increasing number of rate-payers sank down into the condition of paupers. At this time, a cotton mill was burned down at Chorley, in Lancashire, and there was reason to suspect that the fire was not accidental—disputes having taken place between the proprietors and their men about wages. The factory was rebuilt, and persons were employed at the rate of wages formerly given. An advance was soon demanded and refused. The spinners turned out, and they used every effort to prevent others from taking their places, but, where so many were in need, hands were sure to be found. Four of the new workers lodged in the house of one of the overseers. At one o'clock in the morning (June 17, 1830), a tremendous explosion shook the house to its foundation, destroyed the furniture and blew out all the doors and windows—without, however, materially injuring any of the inmates. A common brick fist-can, containing gunpowder, had been let down the chimney, and ignited by a slow match. Here was one symptom of the state of society which could not long exist. Amidst fearful records of the destruction of property in the manufacturing districts by men wild with hunger, we meet with the yet more sickening stories of the Hatters and Phylps, who hardly escaped from the hands of the

mob for their treatment of parish apprentices. The name of Esther Hibner is familiarised to all ears by its infamy. The sum of her history is, that she treated her apprentices as the most barbarous and depraved of slaveholders treats his slaves, whom he would rather torture than make a profit of. She starved them; she beat them; she pulled out their hair; she had them ducked; till, happily, one died of the ill-usage, and the others were in consequence rescued. Esther Hibner was hanged. In this case, protection came when only one life had been sacrificed; but the succession of cases that was revealed at this time, and the general impression conveyed by the evidence, caused a conviction that the pauper apprentices were too many and too helpless to be properly cared for; and that there must be something intolerably wrong in the state of society which permitted them to swarm as they did. During the same period, a case here and there appeared at the police-offices, or came to the knowledge of inquiring men, which showed that if the amount of pauperism was becoming unmanageable, so were the abuses of pauper funds. The corruption of morals caused by the parish allowance for infants was more like the agency of demons than the consequence of a legislative mistake. In many rural districts, it was scarcely possible to meet with a young woman who was respectable; so tempting was the parish allowance for infants in a time of great pressure. And then again, there were the pauper marriages; old drunkards marrying the worst subjects they could find in the neighbouring workhouses, for the sake of the fee of two or three pounds given to get rid of the woman. The poverty of the industrious, the violence of the exasperated, the cruelty of the oppressor, the corruption of the tempted, the swindling of the corrupt, and the waste of the means of life all round, to a point which threatened the stability of the whole of society; these were things which could not long endure, and which made the thoughtful look anxiously for a change. The amount of poor-rate expenditure for relief at this time was between six and seven millions annually; and incessantly on the increase.

First among the changes needed was the introduction of an abundance of food. While, however, men, women, and

being apparent, he was brought before a magistrate on a charge of swindling. And he has never since left off swindling, in precisely the same manner, making, it is believed, a good living for many years, by the credulity of his correspondents. He baffled the ingenuity of every one who wished to stop his career, till the assistance of the Post-office authorities was called in. By making him responsible for the postage of his unaccepted letters, he has been checked at last, and laid up as a debtor to the postmaster-general. But in the intervening eighteen years, it may be safely alleged that no one person in the kingdom has consumed so much time and patience of the magistrates in London, or, in his character of swindler, so tickled the fancy of the wide public—a multitude of whom, all the while fully aware of his dealings with others, hesitated to forego the chance of some great ed for one sovereign. many the shopkeepers, recoverable in their books, many the professional men, experienced in the odd turns of human life and fortunes, who have held a letter of Joseph Ady's between the finger and thumb, waiting

is too old to find more dupes, if ever he escapes from the

Monday, the 2nd of
York Minster-yard at
Supposing that
took no notice;
and, indeed, the last thing likely to occur to any one was
that York Minster could be on fire. Between six and
seven, a boy, one of the choristers, passing the same way,
set his foot on a piece of ice and fell on his back, when,
dusk as it was, he saw that smoke was coming out at
various parts of the roof. He ran to the man who had the

keys. On entering, it was found that the fine carved wood-work of the choir was all on fire. That carving, done in the fourteenth century, with its curious devices, long become monumental, was evidently doomed. The preservation of any part now seemed to depend on the roof not catching fire; but the wood of the roof was extremely dry, and it presently kindled as a tongue of flame touched it here and there; and at half-past eight it fell in. The mourning multitude who looked on now told each other that their beautiful minster was gone. But such exertions were used that the flames were checked, less by the efforts of the people—though everything possible was done—than by the failure of any combustible substance when the tower was reached. The great east window, the glory of the fabric, suffered but little; and the stone-screen which separated the communion-table from the Ladye Chapel was capable of repair. The clustered pillars of the choir were ruined, being of magnesian limestone, and splitting into fragments under the action of the fire.

On inquiry, it was immediately ascertained that the mischief was done by an insane man, named Jonathan Martin, who believed himself directed by a divine voice to destroy the minster. He told his wife of his supposed commission; and she nearly diverted him from his purpose by asking what was to become of their child. The voice, however, urged him again; he travelled to York, secreted himself in the minster on the Sunday evening, struck a light at night with a razor, flint, and tinder, shouted 'Glory to God!' till he was weary, and at three in the morning collected the cushions, set fire to them with a bundle of matches, broke a window, and let himself down to the ground outside by the knotted rope of the prayer-bell. Such was his own account; and several persons testified to having heard noises in the cathedral in the course of the night. How it was that no one of them took steps to ascertain the cause has never been explained. At the end of a month, the estimates for the restoration were prepared, and a meeting was held, the tone of which was so earnest and spirited as to leave no doubt that the work would be well and completely done. Happily, some drawings of the stalls and screen of the choir remained in the hands of the

son's account of a club is, that it is 'an assembly of good fellows, meeting under certain conditions,' but there is implied in this a degree of mutual acquaintanceship and fellowship which do not exist in the large modern clubs, where the object is not political or literary, or even social but merely the personal convenience and enjoyment of the members, who use for this object the principle of the economy of association. The modern club is a mixture of the hotel, the home, and the reading room. The member calls for what he pleases, and is waited on as at a hotel, he goes in and out, and lives in splendid apartments without daily charge, as at home, his subscription covering his expenses, and he sees newspapers and books, and meets acquaintances, as at the reading room. Of the convenience of the arrangement, of the soundness of the principle of the economy of association, there can be no doubt, and it is on this account—because it may be hoped that the principle will be extended from these clubbists to classes which need the aid more pressingly—that the London clubs of this century form so important a feature of the time. There is some complaint that these luxurious abodes draw men from home, make them fond of a bachelor life, and tend to discourage marriage—already growing too infrequent among the upper classes of society—and to lessen the intercourse between men and women of education, objections which will never be practically available against the clear daily convenience of such institutions. The remedy will be found if it is found, not in unmaking these associations, but in extending them to a point which will obviate the objections. Already, the less opulent classes are stirring to prove the principle of the economy of association in clubs, where the object is, not bachelor luxury and ease, but comfort and intellectual advantage, in which wife and sister may share the general table, library, and lecture-room, where those whose daily business lies far from home may enjoy mid-day comfort and evening improvement at a moderate expense, through the association of numbers. The city clerk, the shopman, the music and drawing-master and mistress, the daily governess, married persons, and brothers and sisters, can now live out of town, can dine here and see the newspapers,

and stay for the evening lecture, while enjoying the benefit of an abode in the country, instead of a lodging in a close street in the city. When the experiment has been tried somewhat further, and found to succeed, it may be hoped that women will have courage to adopt the principle, and to obtain more comfort and advantage out of a slender income than a multitude of widows and single women do now. In a state of society like our own at present—a transition state as regards the position of women—the lot of the educated woman with narrow means is a particularly hard one. Formerly, every woman above the labouring-class was supported by father, husband, or brother; and marriage was almost universal. In the future, possibly marriage may again become general; and if not, women will assuredly have an independent position of self-maintenance, and more and more employments will be open to them, as their abilities and their needs may demand. At present, there is an intervening state, in which the condition of a multitude of women of the middle class is hard. Marriage is not now general, except among the poor. Of the great middle class it is computed that only half, or little more, marry before middle age. It is no longer true that every woman is supported by husband, father, or brother; a multitude of women have to support themselves; and only too many of them, their fathers and brothers too; but few departments of industry are yet opened to them, and those few are most inadequately paid. While this state of things endures—which, however, cannot be for long—there is a multitude of educated women in London, and the country-towns of England, living in isolation on means so small as to command scarcely the bare necessities of life. They are dispersed as boarders in schools and lodging-houses, able to obtain nothing more than mere food, shelter, and clothes; without society, without books, without the pleasures of art or science, while the gentlemen of the London clubs are living in luxury on the same expenditure, by means of the principle of economy of association. When such women have looked a little longer on the handsome exterior of these club-houses, and heard a little more of the luxury enjoyed within, it may be hoped that they will have courage to try an

stock exchanges a sign of the times worth noting
 Two large public buildings were rising at this time within a few miles of London which have nothing in common but their date. There was a grand stand on Epsom race course of which nothing more need be said than that was said at the time that it was 'on a more magnificent scale than the stand at Doncaster'. What does the subject admit of more? The other edifice was the Metropolitan Lunatic Asylum at Hanwell, of whose destination so much might be said as to need a volume. We can merely note here what a history of the time requires—that the mode of life within those walls was almost as new as the edifice itself, and there were things to be seen there far nobler and more interesting than any architectural spectacle ever offered to the eyes of men. The building up that was to go on within was far grander than any that could be seen without—the building up of the overthrown faculties—the restoration of shattered affections. The Middlesex magistrates secured the services of Dr and Mrs (afterwards Sir William and Lady) Ellis as superintendents, and their method of management stands in noble contrast with that of former times when the insane were subjected to no medicinal or moral treatment but only to coercion. Instead of being chained and left in idleness and misery the patients here were immediately employed and permitted all the liberty which their employment required. Not only might they be seen gardening with the necessary tools but the men dug a canal, by which stores were brought up to the building at a great saving of expense. A score of insane men

might be seen there, working with spade, pickaxe, and shovel; they built the wall; they kept the place in repair; they worked and lived much as other men would have done; and from first to last, no accident happened. They attended chapel; and no interruption to the service ever occurred. The women earned in their work-rooms the means of buying an organ for the chapel-service. No sign of the times can be more worthy of notice than this—that the insane had begun to be treated like other diseased persons, by medicine and regimen, and with the sympathy and care that their suffering state requires. As for the results, the recoveries were found to be out of all proportion more numerous than before, and continually increasing; the pecuniary saving of a household of working-people over that of a crowd of helpless beings raving in a state of coercion was very great; and of the difference in the comfort of each and all under the two systems, there can, of course, be no doubt. The Hanwell Asylum was not, even at first, the only one in which the humane and efficacious new method of treating insanity was practised; but, as the metropolitan asylum, built at this date, it was the most conspicuous, as were the merits of Dr. and Mrs. Ellis, from their having been many years engaged and successful in the noble task of their lives.

We find during this period much improvement going on in drainage and enclosure of land, and extension of water-works. The Ewbank drainage, by which 9000 acres of land in Cardiganshire were reclaimed for cultivation, was completed in 1828, with its embankments, cuts, three miles of road, and stone bridge. In a small insular territory, the addition of 9000 acres to its area of cultivation is not an insignificant circumstance.—At the same date we find an achievement of somewhat the same kind notified in the records of the year, in those capital letters which indicate the last degree of astonishment. Chat Moss, lying in the line of the Manchester and Liverpool Railway, was under treatment for the formation of the line; and we are told, that ‘horses with loaded waggons, each weighing five tons, are constantly moving over those parts of the moss which originally would scarcely bear a person walking over it.’—The marvels of this first great English railway were open-

ing upon the world by degrees. This solidifying of Chat Moss was enough at first. Next, we find that two locomotives were put to use on the works, to draw the marl and rock from the excavations, at a saving of nearly £50 a month in one case, and more in the other. But the highest astonishment of all was experienced on occasion of the race of locomotives on the line, for a prize of £500, when 'the Rocket actually accomplished one mile in one minute and twenty seconds, being at the rate of forty-five miles an hour'. If men had been told at even that late date at what speed our Queen would be travelling twenty years later, they would have been as truly amazed as our great grandfathers could have been at the notion of travelling from London to Edinburgh in a day. It is very interesting to observe how strong was the exultation, twenty years ago, when any improvement in road making turned up, how anxious men were to publish new facts about the best methods of skirting hills, managing differences of level, and connecting the substructure and superstructure of the mail roads, so as to facilitate to the utmost the passage of the mails. We find earnest declarations of the increase of postal correspondence, of the evils of delay, and of the benefits of rapid communication between distant places. These notices seem to us now clear indications of the approach of the railway age, but no one then knew it. What these complaints, and declarations, and desultory toils indicated, we can now recognise, but our fathers—except a philosopher here and there—could not then foresee. Nor shall we perhaps learn philosophy from the lesson, nor perceive that every urgent want, every object of restless popular search, foreshows a change by which the want will be met, and the search rewarded. As men were anxiously and restlessly mending their old roads up to the very time of the opening of the great first English railway, so may we be complaining and toiling about some inadequate arrangement which needs superseding, while on the verge of the disclosure of the supersession. It would save us much anxiety and some wrath, and render us reasonable in our discontents, if we could bear this in mind as often as we come into collision with social difficulties, whether they be mechanical or political, for social

difficulties of both orders come under the same law of remedy.

In 1828, a committee of the professors of the University of Edinburgh were employed on a very interesting service—witnessing how, by means of a special method of printing, the blind ‘were able to read with their fingers as quickly, or nearly so, as we could suppose them to do with their sight in ordinary circumstances. Since that time, the method of printing for the blind by raised letters, to be traced with the fingers, has been much extended; and embossed maps are largely brought into use, to teach them geography. The question of the existence of a faculty by which space could be apprehended and reasoned about, without any aid from the sense of sight, was proved by the case of Dr. Saunderson; and it is very interesting to watch its working in children who have never seen light, when they learn geography by means of these embossed maps. And the printing of books for their use has been facilitated from year to year, till now the number of books to which they have access is greatly increased, and their cost much diminished. The honour of the invention, in the form under notice, was assigned to Mr. Gall, by the committee of Edinburgh professors; and it is an honour greater than it is in the power of princes to bestow.

Before this time, the public had become aware of Sir W. Scott's claim to the undivided authorship of the *Waverley* Novels. In 1827, the copyrights of the novels, from *Waverley* to *Quentin Durward*, with those of some of the poems, were sold by auction, and bid for as if the successive editions of these wondrous works had not already overspread the civilised world. After the unparalleled issue which had amazed the book-trade for so many years, the competition for the property was yet keen; the whole were purchased by Mr. Cadell for £8500; and he made them produce upwards of £200,000. What would the novelists of a century before—what will the novelists of a century hence, if such an order of writers then exists—think of this fact? Genius of a high quality finds or makes its own time and place; but still the unbounded popularity of Scott as a novelist is to be

my legs than I used to do on my head.' This was a melancholy close of the merriment of Grimaldi's night and of his career. But there is seldom or never an absence of favourites in the playgoing world. While, according to Lord Eldon, the sun of England was about to set for ever—while a Catholic demagogue was trying to force his way into parliament, to the utter destruction of Church and State, and everything else—Lord Eldon thus writes: 'Amidst all our political difficulties and miseries, the generality of folks here direct their attention to nothing but meditations and controversies about the face, and figure, and voice, of the new lady who is come over here to excite raptures and encores at the Opera-house—namely, Mademoiselle Sontag. Hardly any other subject is touched upon in conversation, and all the attention due to Church and State is withdrawn from both, and be-towed on this same Mademoiselle Sontag. Her face is somewhat too square for a beauty, and this sad circumstance distresses the body of fashionables extremely.'

Mademoiselle Sontag did not stay very long; and her birdlike warblings were forgotten in the higher interest of the appearance of another Kemble the next year. The young Fanny Kemble, then only eighteen, came forward in October 1829, under circumstances which secured to her beforehand the sympathy of the public, as her name insured for her a due appreciation of her great talents. She came forward to retrieve her father's affairs and those of Covent Garden Theatre; and her success was splendid. For two or three seasons, she was the rage. There were always those who, true to art, and loyal to Mrs. Siddons, saw that her niece's extraordinary popularity could not last, unsustained as it was by the long study, experience, and discipline—to say nothing of the unrivalled genius—of Mrs. Siddons; but the appearance of the young actress was a high treat, though a temporary one, to the London public. She went to America, and married there; and subsequent appearances in England have not revived the enthusiasm which her first efforts excited.

The dramatic world is not more sure of a constant succession of enthusiasms than the religious. It is at this time, in 1828, that we first hear of that extraordinary man

who was soon to turn so many heads, the greater number by a passing excitement, and not a few by actually crazing them. The way in which we first hear of the Rev Edward Irving is characteristic. It was by the fall of a church in Kirkcaldy, from the overcrowding of the people to hear him. The gallery fell, and brought down much ruin with it. Twenty-eight persons were killed on the spot, and one hundred and fifty more or less injured. Among the killed were three young daughters of a widowed mother, who never more lifted up her head, and was laid by their side in a few weeks. What Irving was as a sign of the times we shall have occasion to see hereafter, for, for seven years from this date, and especially during the first half of that period, he was conspicuous in the public eye, and doing what he could, under a notion of duty, to intoxicate the national mind. What he had been, up to the first burst of his fame, we know through the testimony of one who understood him well. 'What the Scottish uncelebrated Irving was, they that have only seen the London celebrated—and distorted—one can never know. His was the freest, brotherliest, bravest human soul mine ever came in contact with. I call him, on the whole, the best man I have ever, after trial enough, found in the world, or now hope to find. The first time I saw Irving was six and-twenty years ago, in his native town, Annan. He was fresh from Edinburgh with college prizes, high character, and promise. He had come to see our schoolmaster, who had also been his. We heard of famed professors of high matters classical, mathematical, a whole wonderland of knowledge, nothing but joy, health, hopefulness without end, looked out from the blooming young man.' It was in 1809 that he was this 'blooming young man.' The rest of the picture—what he was just before his death at the age of forty-two—we shall see but too soon.

These were times when some such man as Edward Irving was pretty sure to rise up, times certain to excite and to betray any such man who might exist within our borders. The religious world was in an extraordinary state of confusion, with regard both to opinion and conscience. The High Church party was becoming more and more disgusted with the appeals of the day to the vulgar

'Protestantism'; of the mob, while it was no less alarmed at the concessions made to the popular will on both civil and ecclesiastical matters. The most earnest members of this party were already looking towards each other, and establishing that sort of union which was immediately to cast discredit on the hitherto honoured name of Protestantism, and very soon to originate the *Tracts for the Times*. This party had lost its trust in the crown; it had no sympathy with parliament, and saw that it must soon be in antagonism with it; and its only hope now was in making a vigorous effort to revive, purify, and appropriate to itself the Church. This exclusive reliance upon the Church appears to have been, as yet, the only new point of sympathy between this party and Rome; but it was enough to set men whispering imputations of Romanism against its members. While such imputations were arising and spreading, the Low-Church party were zealous among the Romanists to convert them; and the registers of the time show their great success. Conversions from popery figure largely among the incidents of the few years following Catholic emancipation; and nothing could be more natural. There were in the Catholic body, as there would be in any religious body so circumstanced, many men who did not know or care very much about matters of faith, or any precise definitions of them, who were of too high and honourable a spirit to desert their Church while it was in adversity, who had fought its battles while it was depressed, but were indifferent about being called by its name after it came into possession of its rights. Again, amidst the new intercourse now beginning between Catholics and Churchmen, it was natural that both parties, and especially the Catholics, should find more common ground existing than they had previously been aware of; and their sympathy might easily become a real fraternisation. Again, there might naturally have been many Catholics constitutionally disposed to a more inward and 'spiritual' religion than they received from a priest who might add to the formalism of his Church an ignorance or hardness which would disqualify him for meeting the needs of such persons. Under these influences we cannot wonder that conversions from popery were numerous at that time; but

can exist at the same time, if not, the least worthy of God's creatures must fall—the rustic without a soul, not the Christian partridge—not the immortal pheasant—not the rational woodcock, or the accountable hare' If it appears an idle task to be presenting matters so plain, even after it had long been decided that it was unlawful to kill a dog which is pursuing game in a manor—Lord Ellenborough declaring that 'to decide the contrary would outrage reason and sense'—we can only say that we are presenting a picture of the times under our hand times when such a remonstrance as this was needed in England 'There is a sort of horror in thinking of a whole land filled with lurking engines of death—machinations against human life under every green tree—traps and guns in every dusky dell and bosky bourn, the *feræ natura*—the lords of manors eyeing their peasantry as so many butts and marks and panting to hear the click of the trap and to see the flash of the gun How any human being educated in liberal knowledge and Christian feeling can doom to certain destruction a poor wretch tempted by the sight of animals that naturally appear to him to belong to one person as well as another we are at a loss to conceive We cannot imagine how he could live in the same village and see the widow and orphans of the man whose blood he had shed for such a trifle We consider a person who could do this to be deficient in the very elements of morals—to want that sacred regard to human life which is one of the corner stones of civil society If he sacrifices the life of man for his mere pleasures he would do so if he dared, for the lowest and least of his passions He may be defended perhaps, by the abominable injustice of the game laws, though we think and hope he is not But there rests upon his head and there is marked in his account, the deep and indelible sin of *blood guiltiness* This is the deep and indelible sin which is marked in the account of the nation, under the head of its game-defences till as before recorded, Lord Suffield obtained a parliamentary prohibition of man traps and spring guns, in the session of 1827

As a winding up of the improvements of this period, and in rank the very first we must mention the systematic introduction of cheap literature, for the benefit of the

working-classes. A series or two of cheap works had been issued before, chiefly of entertaining books meant for the middle classes; and there was never any deficiency of infamous half-penny trash, hawked about the streets, and sold in low shops. The time had now arrived for something very different from either of these kinds of literature to appear.

Immediately upon the establishment of mechanics' institutes, it was found that the deficiency of attainable books in science and literature was a serious misfortune. Men can learn little from lectures, unless they can follow up their subjects by reading; and hearty efforts were made to collect libraries, and form reading societies. These efforts convinced all concerned in them of two facts—that books were dreadfully expensive, and that many that were eminently wanted did not exist; elementary treatises on scientific subjects, by which students might be introduced into the comprehension of a new subject by a more rational method than through a wilderness of technical terms. The friends of popular enlightenment began, upon this, to consider whether the want could not be supplied; whether works truly elementary could not be issued so cheaply as to meet the needs of the members of mechanics' institutes; and in April 1825, Mr. Brougham, Lord John Russell, Dr. Lushington, Mr. Crawford, William Allen, and others, formed themselves into a society, under the name of the 'Society for Promoting the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge.' Large subscriptions were offered, and all looked promising, when the commercial convulsions of the time stopped the progress of the work; and little more was done than in the way of preparation, till November 1826, when Mr. Brougham assembled the friends of the enterprise, and the organisation of the society was completed. The issue of works began on the 1st of March 1827, in the form of pamphlets of unexampled cheapness; and the publication was continued fortnightly for a long period. The subscriptions declined when the society was once fairly in operation; and after the first year, it was mainly supported by its own works. The society was incorporated in 1832, and before the virtual expirati-

powers, it had done great service to the existing generation, though not precisely—as happens with almost all social enterprises—to the extent, or in the mode, contemplated. The profession—and, no doubt, the intention—was to teach the elements of all the sciences, moral as well as natural, politics, jurisprudence, and universal history as well as physical science. As Mr Brougham said, in his *Treatise on Popular Education* ‘Why should not political, as well as all other works, be published in a cheap form, and in numbers?’ and he proceeded to assign good reasons why they should, but it was not done. In the laudatory and exulting notice of the operations of the society, some months after its works were spread over the kingdom, the *Edinburgh Review* slides in a hint ‘We trust, however, that the appearance of the ethical and political treatises will not be unnecessarily delayed.’ They never came, and the consequences to the society and to the public were very serious—too serious to be passed over without grave mention. Some of the leading promoters of this society became the rulers of the country, a short time afterwards. Those whom they had invited to be their readers were aware of their own lack of

and that this knowledge
the highest import
asked for it, it was

promised to them, but not given. It was promised by men about to enter into office, and when they were in office, it was not given. While a vast change was taking place in the constitution, and a multitude of men were eager to learn the history and bearings of this change, they were put off with treatises on dynamics and the polarisation of light. Explanations of the fact might, perhaps, be easily given, but the fact was injurious to the spread of the knowledge which the society was willing to afford. The

right or wrong, that the Whigs desired to preclude them from political knowledge. So much for what this important association failed to effect. It is very animating to observe and note what it achieved.

them to be, and this society disappointed the expectations of the public, and of their own friends in many ways, but this was because the conception and its earliest aspirations were so noble as they were, and it is with the conception and original aspiration, that, in reviewing the spirit of the period we have to do. Any work suggested is sure to find doers—one set, if not another, it is the suggestion that is all important in the history of the time.

Within two years after the death of the Duke of York, happened that of his sister, the Queen of Wurtemberg the eldest daughter of George III. After she became the second wife of the King of Wurtemberg, she had little connection with England, and the tidings of her death were chiefly interesting as reminding men that one generation was passing away and another coming. She died on the 6th of October 1828 in her sixty third year.

In January 1830, a death happened in the political world which occasioned extraordinary relief to all dull or indolent or in any way incapable or unworthy members of the House of Commons. Mr Tierney the castigator—the unremitting satirist of incapacity and unworthiness in any sort of functionary—died suddenly on the 25th of that month. He had long been known to be suffering under an organic disease of the heart, and he was found, dead and cold sitting in his chair in the attitude of sleep. The most notorious single event in the political life of Mr Tierney, was his duel with Mr Pitt in 1798, the fault of which appears to have lain wholly with Mr Pitt, who charged Mr Tierney with ‘a wish to impede the service of the country,’ and refused to retract when time and opportunity were afforded. Both parties left the ground unharmed. Mr Tierney was generally regarded as a sort of concentrated parliamentary opposition but he was in office for short periods at different times of his life, first, as treasurer of the navy under Mr Addington in 1803, and last, as master of the Mint under Mr Canning in 1827. He represented many places in parliament during his political life of forty-two years, and died member for Llanesborough.

It was in May of the same year that Sir Robert Peel,

the father of the minister, died at the age of eighty. He was originally a cotton manufacturer; and in that business he early obtained great wealth, which enabled him to become an extensive landed proprietor, a benefactor to the borough of Tamworth, where his influence soon transcended that of the Townshends, and a member of parliament who discharged his function well. He was an able and conscientious public man, and blessed in his domestic relations, dying in the midst of a family of above fifty descendants. His politics were high Tory. He considered the national debt a national blessing, believed everything to be right that was done by Mr. Pitt, and was unable so to perceive that the times were changing as to take any pleasure in the political acts of his son during the last two or three years of his life. His life was interesting as an indication of the greatness of the career laid open to ability and industry, under favouring circumstances, in our country; and his death was interesting, not only as conferring title and increased wealth on his illustrious son, but as giving him that freedom of speculation and action which had necessarily been more or less restrained of late by virtuous filial regards.

Two great Indian officers, both Scotch by birth, died in 1828 and the following year—Sir David Baird and Sir Thomas Munro. Sir David Baird had been one of Tippoo Saib's prisoners, chained by the leg in a dungeon; after which he lived to receive the thanks of parliament four times—for his services in India in 1799; in Egypt in 1803; in the Danish expedition in 1807; and in the Peninsula in 1809, after the battle of Corunna, at which time he was made a baronet. He had been governor of Fort-George two years when he died, on the 18th of August 1829.—Sir Thomas Munro was governor of Madras at the time of his death, which happened by a sudden attack of cholera in July 1828. Having spent his life in Indian service, he was anxious to return to England in 1823, but was entreated by the directors to remain. He received his baronetcy in 1825. Capable in every way, as he had shown himself to be as a soldier, his most eminent services were wrought in a nobler field, in settling, governing and festerling one conquered province after another that was

great manufactory at Stockport, and afterwards at Mellor, in Derbyshire. The results were that, as regarded him self, he grew rich, and became a great landed proprietor and a member of the Derby Agricultural Society. He brought to such a point of perfection that we were using cotton from India, make it into muslins rivalling those of India themselves, and sell them in India at a lower price than the native fabric can be sold for on the spot. Mr Oldknow had the energy and perseverance which invariably distinguish public benefactors of his order. He seldom saw a muslin dress in any drawing-room, of a pattern that was new to him, but he had the pattern in his mind the next day. It was his mind that he could pursue. The penalty usually have to pay for rid themselves of their object, as an eminent designer was heard to say that it was the plague of his life that he saw ribbons everywhere—ribbons in the winter sun, ribbons in the summer evening clouds, and wherever there was form and colour. Mr Oldknow must have dropped his muslins when in his farmyard, and among his crops.

The great printer, Luke Hansard, died in 1829, at the age of seventy-six. His father, a Norwich manufacturer, had died early in embarrassed circumstances. At the end of his apprenticeship to the printing business, Luke Hansard went to London, with one guinea in his pocket. The very next time that he had a guinea in his pocket, he sent it to Norwich to pay a debt of his father's—his father having then been dead some years. Mr. Hughes of Great Turnstile, was then, in 1774, printer to the House of Commons, and Luke Hansard became a compositor in his office. In two years he was made a partner, and from that time his career, as sketched in the report of a committee of the House of Commons on parliamentary printing (1828), was nothing short of illustrious. He improved the extent and quality of the parliamentary printing beyond what had been dreamed of. Employed by Mr Orme in

printing his *History of India*, he informed himself so thoroughly on Indian subjects, that he was Burke's right hand in selecting evidence from India documents for the trial of Warren Hastings. It was he who supplied without delay, and without the commission of an error, the unequalled demand for Burke's *Essay on the French Revolution*. Dr. Johnson secured him for his printer; and Poisson pronounced him the most accurate of Greek printers. When Mr. Pitt was perplexed how to get the report of the secret committee on the French Revolution printed, under such impossible conditions as his own illegible handwriting, extreme haste, and absolute secrecy, Luke Hansard promised that the thing should be done; and the minister was amazed by the sight of the proof-sheets early the next morning. After the union with Ireland, the parliamentary printing increased so much that Mr. Hansard declined all private business except during the parliamentary recess, when he liked to have it, to keep his great corps together and in practice. His great corps once thought they had him and his affairs in their own hands. In no business could a strike of workmen be more fatal than in this; and in 1805, when strikes were the fashion, Hansard's men thought themselves sure of any wages they chose to ask. But they did not yet know their employer. The greater the danger, the better prepared was such a man to meet it. He had foreseen the event, and had devised plans, and taught them to his sons, by which the art of printing might, by extreme subdivision of employment, be practised by untrained hands. He let his workmen go; picked up great numbers of unemployed men from the streets and stable-yards, put on a working-jacket, and, with his sons, went from one to another, showing how the business was to be done, and aiding in it. He was an early riser; and his plans—so original, so various, and so singularly successful—were made in the clearness and coolness of the morning, before those were awake who were to execute his schemes. He was little seen out of the range of his business; and that business was of so wide a range as to afford constant exercise to all the faculties of his mind. It united the interests of the scholar, the literary man, and the politician, with those of the vast mechanism of his business.

He had the excellent health which is the natural privilege of men who work the whole of the brain equably and diligently—the faculties which relate to the body, the intellect, and the affections. Up to the age of seventy-five he felt no change in his powers, nor was any failure apparent to his friends. At that time he experienced paralysis of the left eye. It disappeared, but when the business of the session began, he declared his conviction that this would be his last season of regular work, but that he would work on while parliament sat. And so he did, and he had the gratification of printing the report on printing in which his labours are immortalised. When this was done and parliament rose he felt himself sinking, and summoned to his presence the principal persons in his establishment, taking a solemn leave of them, and declaring his belief that he should see them no more. We cannot but hope that some of them knew how he came to London, and what he did with his first spare guinea. He died a few weeks after this leave taking, saying farewell.

Commons of England *

Just at the time when George III. came to the throne, a youth belonging to Bath was apprenticed to a jeweller there—a youth of high spirit, little industry, a strong love of pleasure, good talents, and especially a remarkably refined taste, which contrived to show itself before I knew anything of art. When this gay lad heard of the accession of the young king and of the splendours of the coronation, he little dreamed how much he should hereafter have to do with this king and all his family, nor how it would be his own industry that would make a way for him into the royal presence and employment. This somewhat haram scarum youth, apt to go into a violent passion, apt to sing and dance rather than to work, was Philip Rundell, who was to die one of the richest and

best-known men in England. A new apprentice came into the business at Bath, a few months before he left it, to be trained to take his place; and the new apprentice's name was Bridge. Here we have the Rundell and Bridge whose firm is known all over Europe. Never were two men more unlike than Mr. Rundell and Mr. Bridge; yet the partnership turned out admirably, by their undertaking different departments. Mr. Rundell studied art, *con amore*, became an unequalled judge of diamonds, and worked indefatigably—absolutely revelling in the gratification afforded by his business to his intellect and taste, when once it had expanded to a point which satisfied his desires. He was very irascible; but his people knew him, and revered his generosity, through his bursts of temper. No one ever left his employment on account of his temper. But he was not the man to go about for orders among the great—always excepting the royal family. Mr. Bridge, amiable, gentlemanly, and as able in his way as his partner, undertook this part of his business. And he sacrificed no independence by it. On matters of taste in their department, Messrs. Rundell and Bridge were called on to advise, and not to be dictated to. If it is asked how they reached this point of eminence, the only answer is, that they won it. Mr. Rundell was placed by his relations as a partner in the ancient jewellery establishment of the Golden Salmon on Ludgate Hill; and there, if he had been an ordinary man, he might merely have made a competence, as an ordinary jeweller, in a respectable house. When the senior partner retired, leaving his money in the concern, Mr. Rundell invited Mr. Bridge to be his partner. In liberality of views the partners were one. They studied, and they largely bought, pictures, statues, gems—every species of antique beauty that they could obtain access to; and that they obtained access to so many as they did, in those days of continental warfare, is a proof of their zeal in the pursuit of peaceful art. It was for the sake of art that they executed their celebrated ‘Shield of Achilles.’ It was not ordered; it was not likely ever to be bought. But they communicated their idea to Mr. Flaxman, and paid him £620 for his model and drawing of the shield. George IV. and the Duke of York, and two noblemen, had

each a cast of this shield, in silver-gilt, and the jewellers now stood before the world as artists, and they gathered into their establishment all the talent, foreign as well as native, which promised to advance their art. It was about 1797 that they became diamond jewellers to the royal family, on the retirement of their predecessor, and Mr. Rundell retired from business in 1823, so that his intercourse with royalty extended over twenty six years, and a long time that was to be handling and taking care of many of the finest jewels in the world. He was in the habit of giving away money freely. To persons out of the line of relationship, he gave sums not exceeding £200, and he is supposed to have given away about £10,000 in this manner. To his relations he presented gifts varying from £500 to £20,000, and in this way he distributed about £145,000 during his life. Besides this, he settled annuities on a considerable number of persons, that he might not keep them waiting till his death, and at last he left property far exceeding a million. It was not the money that it brought, nor yet the fame, which made his success in life precious to him. It was the high gratification of his faculties and taste. And he enjoyed this long, for he had worked well during the last two thirds of his life. His mind remained clear to the last, and he was eighty when he died, on the 17th of February 1827.

His life carries us over from the department of the useful arts to that of art, properly so called. But first we must note the melancholy case of the engineer to whom we owe the design for Waterloo Bridge, and the institution of steam passage from London to Margate and to Richmond. Mr. George Dodd was the son of Mr. Ralph Dodd, who effected some excellent engineering in his day. His unhappy son, in his best years, revived the idea of the Strand Bridge, and on its being seriously undertaken, was appointed resident engineer, with a salary of £1000 a year. This situation he hastily resigned, but he received £5000 in all from the company. His habits, however, put prosperity out of the question, great as were his talents. Those whom he had served could not save him from himself, and he lived to be brought up to the Mansion House as a drunken pauper. He asked for a week in prison, after

which he would begin life afresh. He was taken care of in the infirmary of the prison ; but he rejected medicine and advice, and sank at the end of the week, killed by intemperance, at the age of only forty-four. He died in September 1827.

In the preceding March died a patient artist who had devoted thirty years of incessant labour to engraving the cartoons of Raffaele. Thomas Holloway was scarcely heard of as an engraver till a book came out which presently became the rage—Dr. Hunter's translation of Lavater, for which Holloway had engraved seven hundred of the plates. He was made historical engraver to the king, and when he was about fifty, applied himself to this very work of the cartoons, six of which were nearly completed, and the seventh begun, when he died in the eightieth year of his age. What a succession is here of men engaged in virtuous and intellectual toil, who lived in honour. He died in a clear and serene old age !

Many people have seen the bust of Nelson ; but the one placed in the Common Council-room at Guildhall, and the bust of Sir Joseph Banks at the British Museum, and the colossal heads of Thames and Isis on Henry's bridge, and some know the statue of George III. at the Horse Guards Office at Edinburgh. These are all works of the late Mrs. Damer, whose father was the General, and Joshua was (afterwards Field-marshal) to whom the large correspondence of Horace Walpole's correspondence was addressed. She left Strawberry Hill, with £2000 to keep it in repair, on condition that she lived there, and did not dispose of it to any one but his great niece, Lady Waldegrave. Mrs. Damer's marriage was unhappy ; and her husband destroyed himself nine years after their union. She had no children ; and from the time of her husband's death, she applied herself to the study and practice of art. She went to Rome for improvement, and returned to be the acknowledged head of amateur sculpture in Europe. She was always at work ; and her work is in many great houses, valued as her gift, as well as for real merit. One of her last achievements was a bust of Nelson, which she sculptured for the King of Tanjore, at the request of her relative, Sir Alexander Johnston, then

governor of Ceylon Great was the sensation excited when the bust reached its destination, and its reception by the gazing multitude was such as to encourage further attempts to foster the artistic faculties of the natives of our dependencies Mrs Damer directed that her apron and tools should be buried with her Let us hope that her example does not lie buried with them She was in her eightieth year, and died on the 28th of May 1828

Another amateur artist, better known as a patron of art and long-lived during this period, was Sir George Howland Knollys, the friend of Sir Joshua Reynolds, to whom Sir Joshua left his picture, by Sebastian Bourdon of the line of 'Noah's Ark' This picture Sir George Beaumont and he sold, with fifteen others, to the National Gallery at this time before his death He was in parliament for about £5000 a year, but his heart was in private life—in his home about £1000 a year, and his friends He was a liberal and annuitant patron of art and artists, and the idea we form might not be far from Wordsworth's Works the Life of Wilkie, and he left pictures of the time, is genial and endearing He made money that those whose pursuits and tenor of life promise success in his old age He was seventy three when he died, and his death was from an attack of erysipelas The for he had lived on the 7th of February 1827

His life was spent on the continent and most of his life was spent there as he was first painter to the Emperor of Russia He was a Royal Academician, and a picture of his 'The Demoniac,' hangs in the council room of the academy He realised a very large fortune at St Petersburg, but died in England, and was buried at St Pauls The Russian ambassador and Sir Thomas Lawrence led the pall bearers

Only three months afterwards Sir Thomas Lawrence was himself carried to burial in St Pauls, with as much pomp as rank and wealth can contribute to the honour of genius Great as Sir Thomas Lawrence was the abiding impression of him is and will be that he was not all that nature intended him to be His early promise was most marvellous At six years old he took crayon likenesses, those of Lord and Lady Kenyon still existing to show the

wonderful spirit the child could put into his drawings, which were also strong likenesses. At the age of eight he saw a Rubens—the first good picture he had ever seen. He could not leave it; and when he was fetched away he sighed: ‘Ah! I shall never be able to paint like that!’ At ten he painted historical pictures; and one especially—‘Christ reproving Peter’—manifested such promise as makes it a matter of infinite regret that he spent his life in painting portraits, even though that life establishes a new era in portrait-painting in England. At thirteen he received from the Society of Arts, for his copy of the ‘Transfiguration,’ the great silver-gilt palette, and a premium of five guineas; and yet at sixteen he was very near going upon the stage. There was something to be said for this fancy. He was full of personal beauty, grace, activity, and accomplishments; a hearty lover of Shakspeare, and a wonderfully fine dramatic reader. He was also very intimate with the Kembles. Indeed, he was early engaged to a daughter of Mrs. Siddons; but the father doubted the prudence of the connection, and the young lady afterwards died. Thus far Lawrence had studied under Mr. Hoare at Bath—an artist of exquisite taste, who fostered the boy’s powers. At seventeen, Lawrence’s father took him to London, and petitioned for an interview with Sir Joshua Reynolds. Sir Joshua was manifestly struck on the instant with the extraordinary beauty and manners of the youth, and gave close attention, during a long silence, to the young artist’s first attempt in oils—a picture of ‘Christ bearing the Cross.’ It was an anxious pause for both father and son; and the son at least thought that all was over, and that he should never be a painter, when Sir Joshua found fault with his colouring in many particulars. It was Sir Joshua’s way, however, to get all the fault-finding done first, and then to praise; and this was what he was doing now. When he had raised the lad’s spirits again, he said, impressively, but mildly: ‘It is very clear you have been copying the old masters; but my advice to you is to study *nature*. Apply your talents to nature, and don’t *copy* paintings.’ Then followed an invitation to call whenever he liked; and the great man’s kindness never failed during the four years

that he continued to live. Lawrence succeeded him in his office of principal painter in ordinary to the king. Honours were showered upon him from this time and wealth flowed in, to be immediately dispersed in acts of generosity, or by habits of carelessness. He never married, he made money at an unequalled rate yet he was never rich. Of course, it was said that he gamed, but this was so far from being true that he conscientiously abstained from billiards—at which he had never played for money—because his fine play occasioned immoderate betting, and he thought it as wrong to occasion gambling in others as to game himself. At Christmas 1829 he consulted a friend about insuring his life for £5000 and resolved to effect the insurance on the 8th of January, but on the 7th he was dead. Between 1792 and 1818 he was painting the portraits of half the aristocracy of England, and then he was called to paint the royalty of Europe. The regent sent him to the congress at Aix la Chapelle, to paint the potentates there assembled and he proceeded afterwards to various courts to complete his commission. He had never been abroad before—had never seen Rome nor even the pictures that Paris had to show. Before his return he was elected, on the death of West, president of the Royal Academy. After his return he went on portrait-painting to the time of his death. His service to art was in idealising portraits. He had that *bonhomme* of genius which showed to him at once not only the best side of whatever human phenomenon met his eye but all that a face and figure were capable of being under the best influences, and that ideal he had power to present. His portraits of children are beautiful beyond parallel. His own face and manner were most attractive to children. They would hang upon his neck and sit on his knee to be fed, and their antics in his painting room were as free as in the fields, and not a trait of folic or grace ever escaped him. We have a myriad such traits, caught at a glance, and fixed down for ever. At Christmas 1829, as we have seen Sir Thomas Lawrence believed himself as he then said, likely to attain a good old age. He declared his health to be perfect, except that at night his head and eyes were heated, so that he was glad to

bathe them. On Saturday, January 2nd, he dined, with Wilkie and others, at Mr. Peel's. On Tuesday, though not feeling very well, he was busy at the new Athenæum Club-house, about whose interior decoration he was much interested. On Wednesday, the 6th, he wrote a note to his sister, to say that he could not dine with her on Thursday, but would come on Friday—the day he meant to insure his life. On Thursday evening, being better than for some days before, he received two friends, with whom he conversed very cheerfully. Before they had left the house they heard a cry from his servant, which made them return to the room, where they found him dead in his chair. He had told his servant that he was very ill—that he must be dying. His disease was ascertained to be extensive ossification of the heart. He was sixty years of age.

One of Lawrence's famous portraits was of Miss Farren, the bewitching actress, of whom our grandfathers could not speak without enthusiasm. This lady, become Countess of Derby, died in April 1829. Among her captives she reckoned Charles James Fox, who spent evening after evening behind the scenes at Drury Lane; but there was no coquetry on the lady's part. She became the second wife of the Earl of Derby in 1797; was received at court; and, to the end of her days, was considered the most accomplished lady in the peerage. It may be a question whether, under the happiest domestic circumstances, it is wise to exchange the excitement of artistic life for the level dulness of aristocratic existence; but Miss Farren's case is a proof that it may be done without scandal, or open bad consequences; and all will agree that, supposing an opening to aristocratic life to be a good thing, artistic genius is a nobler avenue than the commoner one of wealth.

Before this time, and for some years afterwards, there was a good deal of disputation going forward as to the best method of learning a foreign language; whether in the old plodding way by grammar and dictionary, or by the new method of Mr. Hamilton—by interlinear translations, in which each foreign word was placed above or below the equivalent English one. The dispute at times

high, the advocates of each method not seeing that both may be good in their way. If people found that they could learn to read a foreign language by beginning with some Hamiltonians the contrary, and children a thorough grammatical knowledge of a foreign language, they would put the grammar and dictionary before them as of old. A great number too would use both methods at once—the ancient for a knowledge of the construction the modern for a knowledge of the idiom and of its affinity with their mother tongue. In the midst of the controversy and of great success Mr James Hamilton author of the Hamiltonian system died at the age of fifty nine, in September 1829.

Of men of letters there died during this period William Gifford Professor Jardine Mitford the historian, and Professor Dugald Stewart—Gifford's career was a remarkable one. He worked his way upwards from the lowest condition of fortune and education, his spirit and his love of knowledge being indomitable. He became known when cabin boy of a ship to a surgeon of Ashburton Mr Cooley who so exerted his interest and his own generosity as to send the aspiring boy to Oxford. Earl Grosvenor afterwards took him into his house to be a tutor to his son. He was intimate with Canning and became the editor of the *Anti Jacobin*, and afterwards for a long course of years of the *Quarterly Review* which he edited from its origin in 1809 till within two years of his death. His learning his industry his literary taste his unscrupulousness as a partisan and his intense bigotry all favoured him in making the *Quarterly Review* what it was, worthy of immortality for its literary articles and sure of an undesirable immortality as a monument of the folly and folly of its day—with all its insolence all its

friendship. He was generous in his nature and courteous in his manners, and he thus won a cordial

affection from his friends, while he provoked a feeling, an adverse kind from the public at large. He left a considerable portion of his property to a member of Mr Cookesley's family; and died on the last day of the year 1826, at the age of seventy.—Professor Jardine, who taught logic at Glasgow College, and won to himself the respect and affection of a wide circle of eminent men, once his pupils, died, at the age of eighty-four, on the 28th of January 1827.—Mitford, the historian of Greece, reached the age of eighty-three, and died in February of the same year. His history was universally read, and celebrated accordingly, in its early days; but this was mainly because it was uncontroverted and left unrivalled. Since the great recent expansion of the philosophy of history, Mitford's work has fallen into discredit, from which it is not likely to recover.—Professor Dugald Stewart is never spoken of by those who knew him without affection and admiration, on account of the amiability and charm of his character and manners. He early devoted himself to metaphysical speculation, and became the most popular lecturer on mental philosophy ever known in this country. For a long course of years, his lecture-room was crowded; and his circle of pupils was enlarged indefinitely by his frequent publication of his lectures, under one form or another. The service that he rendered to philosophy was, however, confined to that of interesting a wide public in the subjects which occupied his mind. He added nothing to the science which he undertook to teach; but rather drew away from the track of real science many minds which might have followed it, if they had not been enticed by the graces of his desultory learning into a wilderness where he indicated no path at all. No comprehensive principle is to be found amidst the whole mass of his works; no firm ground under his speculations; no substance beneath his illustrations. Nothing that he wrote under the name of philosophy could cohere for a moment under the test of science. And the science was already abroad—the strong breeze which was to drive before it the mists of mere speculation. Prince Metternich—who, whatever had been his political sins, understood and appreciated as well as any man the

slender to be seen but in a full light. With an apparatus which would stand on a tery tray he would effect what another man would require a roomful of utensils to do. A grain of any substance would serve his purposes of analysis as well as another man's pound. This peculiarity, though chiefly interesting as characteristic of the man, is useful also, as suggesting to other labourers the practicality and benefit of simplifying the processes of chemical research. To a though no one
 cacy of sense
 standing whi
 as large if on

mediate practical results of his labours, we have mentioned one whose profit to himself showed its immediate utility. He discovered two new metals, rhodium and palladium. Then we owe to him the camera lucida, and that boon to practical chemists the sliding scale of chemical equivalents and that great help to crystallographers, the goniometer, or angle measurer, by which the angle contained between two faces of a crystal can be measured with a degree of accuracy never before attainable. But it is an injury to great chemical discoverers to specify as the result of their labours those discoveries which take the form of inventions. We are thankful to have them, but they are a small benefit in comparison with the other services of such men. Their true service is in their general furtherance of science, their pioneering in new regions or opening out new methods of procedure whose importance cannot be at once communicated to or appreciated by the multitude of men. It is a good thing to invent a useful instrument, for the service or safety of society and men, but it is a much greater thing to evolve a new element, to discover a new substance, to exhibit a new combination of matter and add confirmation to a general law. Wallastan did much in both ways to serve the world. He died of a disease of the brain which, however, left his mind clear to the last. He employed his latter days in dictating to amanuensis an account of the results of his labours. When he was speechless and dying, one of his friends observed aloud that he was in a state of unconsciousness, where-

upon, he made signs for paper and pencil, wrote down figures, cast them up, and returned the paper, and the sum was right. He was in the sixty-third year of his age.

Dr. Thomas Young went next. He was the son of Quaker parents, whom he astonished not a little by his ability to read at two years old. He appears to have been able to learn and to do whatever he chose; and that, with such versatility, he had any soundness of science at all seems surprising. His first passion was for languages, even for the Oriental; and to this we owe the vast benefit of an introduction to the interpretation of the hieroglyphics of Egypt. It was Dr. Young who was the first to read the proper names in the hieroglyphic and enchorial inscriptions on the Rosetta stone, by a comparison of them with the third—the Greek inscription; and it was on this hint that Champollion proceeded in his elaborate researches. It is by this service, and his re-invention or revival of the theory of the undulatory character of light, that Young is chiefly known; though there is hardly a department of natural science on which he did not cast some wondrous illumination. It is a common mistake of superficial readers to suppose that there must have been three or four Dr. Youngs at work in different regions of the world of science. He was the last secretary of the Board of Longitude; and then sole conductor of the *Nautical Almanac*. His writings are too numerous for citation. He was a physician by profession; but the greatest service he rendered in that province was by his testimony to the empirical character of medical treatment, and the absence of all real science in that department of pursuit. He was himself too scientific to be a good practical physician, or to make his patients think him one. Where he saw no guiding principle, he could not pretend to a decision that he did not feel; and he was open in his complaints of the darkness which involves the laws of the human frame. When he said this in his lectures at St. George's Hospital, and avowed that his idea of the advantage of skill in medical practice was the advantage of holding a larger number of tickets in a lottery over a smaller, the students were offended, as this was, as Arago observes, a doctrine which students of medicine do not like

to hear From this cause of unpopularity, and from his instructions being too high and deep for the comprehension of his class, his lectures were not well attended, nor was
 and therefore most
 the anxious and
 so who are more
 itful about causes,
 obscure than they

as yet are From these disappointments, and other causes of irritation, Dr Young was not a happy man, and the controversies in which he was engaged are painful records of the aberrations from the serenity of science induced by those self regards which the love of science should cast out He was hardly and insultingly treated, but he might not have been so, if his temper had been worthy of his vocation He and his enemies are gone down to that common resting-place where there is no more strife, and the testimony remains, of which Arago was the utterer, that among philosophers he must always be held to be one of the greatest whom England has produced in modern times

The man who, of this group, presented the most strongly to the popular observation the attributes of genius, was Davy. In his case, there was no occasion to offer, upon

the nature of his intense egotism, his countenance, his manners—before he was spoiled—and his pleasures, all spoke the man of genius, from moment to moment He brought the poet's mind into philosophical research, and the results were as brilliant as might be expected from such a concentration of such faculties as his The world will for ever be the better for them. Those who know nothing else about him have heard of the Davy lamp, and know what a service he rendered by tracking death through the foul caverns of the earth, to bind and disarm him This was only one of many immediate practical services which he rendered to society before the eyes of

all men—the wise and ignorant together; but the wise know that there is a host more behind, which the multitude must as yet take upon trust. The genius of the Cornish boy made itself felt by society before he had reached mature years; and when he lectured in London at the beginning of the century, he was probably the most popular man of his time—so clear were his expositions, so beautiful his experiments, and so bewitching his ardent eloquence. When we call him perhaps the most popular man of his time, we mean with the listening public; for he was not popular in private life. Besides the degree of wildness which appears in all the evidence of his life and writings, there was an excessive egotism, a lack of magnanimity, an insufferable pride and vanity united, which destroyed all pleasure on both sides in his intercourses with others than his flatterers. His visit to Paris ended badly, hearty as was the welcome accorded to himself and his discoveries by the French philosophers. The serenity of a life of scientific research was not his. He had manifold and intense enjoyments; but not the peace which occupies the unsophisticated mind when employed in its noble researches into the secrets of nature. His ambition did not take the direction of wealth. About money, he was simple-minded and generous. As for the rest, such men are so rare that they may well be permitted the isolation of egotism when they must have so much isolation of other kinds. It is happy for themselves, and for those about them, if they can preserve the childlike nature, innocent, humble, and loving, which bears the truest affinity to genius; but if the world comes in to strip genius of its natural graces, we must not reckon too hardly with a being so singularly circumstanced, but honour and glory in the gifts that remain, and let the losses go. Davy was born at Penzance, in December 1778. He arrived in London in 1801; was knighted in 1812; and was afterwards, in 1818, made a baronet; but, his marriage being childless, his title died with him. He became president of the Royal Society in 1820; went abroad in ill health in 1825, and again, and finally, in the early part of 1828, dying at Geneva on the 29th of May, 1829. The authorities of Geneva decreed a public funeral;

England when the
 had sunk into the
 Wollaston never
 their minds and
 their methods of pursuing science being essentially unlike.
 Wollaston was the elder by twelve years; and on some
 occasions he was called the Mentor of the younger and
 more brilliant genius; but they generally worked apart,
 and certainly without mutual hindrance, if without co-
 operation. Whilst Wollaston was busy with his tumbler,
 and a shaving of metal, and a pinch of earth, using the
 most delicate manipulation and refined observation, Davy
 was rushing about in his laboratory, among heaps of appa-
 ratus and masses of material, holding to his work for days
 and nights together, or half-killing himself by respiring
 fatal gases. Wollaston never declared a fact or a doctrine,
 even to his own mind, till the verification of every step of
 the evidence was complete, while Davy intrepidly pub-
 lished the proofs of the error of his own former published
 opinions. Wollaston was seldom or never wrong; Davy
 was often miraculously right. Both had sagacity not to
 be surpassed, but the sagacity of the one was clear in-
 sight, and of the other excited provision. Both men were
 too great to be confined within the limits of their own
 science. Wollaston was a man of various reading and
 open intellect, and he was capable of genuine intercourse
 with minds of various character. Davy had not that
 liberality; but his own pursuits were diversified. He
 loved sport—fishing and fowling—with all the intensity
 of his nature. He was fond of what he thought to be
 mental and moral philosophy, and attached an unaccount-
 able value to his writings on such subjects. That esti-
 mate, however, must be regarded as one of his weaknesses,
 and as another instance of that opposition which is so
 common between great men and every body else as to what
 they can do best and worst. The inspiration of Davy's
 miscellaneous
 duty hero
 such as
 may be dropped through the dreams of the night. Amidst
 his mass of achievements, we may well throw out without

slight what there was of mistake and transient; but Wollaston left as little as it is possible for fallible and tentative man to leave for rejection, and much, very much, for which the world will ever be the better. They were two wonderful and truly great men; and at the date under our notice, and for long after, the scientific world felt blank and dreary without them.

Major Rennell is considered the first Englishman who ever attained a high and permanent reputation as a geographer. He began life in the navy, and early showed what he was capable of in surveying. After being in India, he was induced to leave the navy for the army; and he went out to Bengal as an officer of engineers. His Bengal atlas, and some charts of great value, appeared before long. His greatest work is *The Geographical System of Herodotus*; a work of the highest interest and importance to untravelled scholars, and a marvel in its way, from the fact that Major Rennell could not read Greek, had no better translation of Herodotus than Beloe's, and was actually able to detect the errors of the translation, by his sagacity and his geographical knowledge together. He assisted Dr. Vincent in making out the track of Nearchus for his Commentary on Arrian's account of that voyage; he assisted Sir William Jones in his Oriental collections; and it was he who made out Mungo Park's track, from his journals and descriptions; and by comparing Park's account with prior discoveries, formed the map which accompanies the *Travels*, with an approach to correctness since proved to be truly surprising. One of his most remarkable and interesting works is his *Observations on the Topography of the Plain of Troy*, which the lovers of Homer rushed to read, and have studied ever since. As a practical boon, none of his labours are more important than his account of the currents in the oceans navigated by European ships. This excellent man and eminent public benefactor lived to the age of eighty-eight, being born near Chudleigh, in Devonshire, in 1742, and dying on the 29th of March 1830. Though he never reached a higher rank than that of major in the army, and surveyor-general of Bengal, he had abundance of honours in the scientific world, being a member of the chief learned societies in Europe. His

must have been an eminently happy life—full of diversity and interest, full of innocence and uprightness, and of achievements of the most unquestionable value to the whole society of the civilised world

Among the philanthropists whose lives and labours closed during this period the name of Pestalozzi ought not to be omitted, for, though a foreigner, he was a benefactor to our country and people. One of the most remarkable results of the peace was the improvement in methods of education in countries which had for many years been shut up within themselves, but could now freely communicate with each other. Pestalozzi was the principal medium of this benefit to England. He was a Swiss, born at Zurich, in 1746, and his benevolence led him to surrender all the ordinary views of young men entering upon the profession of the law, and to devote himself to the service of the ignorant and poor. As director of an orphan institution at Stanz he obtained

“ of testing the value of some
he human mind. Here he

English travellers, or his pupils were encountered here and there, and his popular works were made known among us and the rage for the Pestalozzian method of education which ensued can never be forgotten by those who witnessed it. This Pestalozzian method was in fact the Socratic, but applied to little children, with whom Socrates himself would probably not have used it. Hitherto common-place and unreflecting parents and teachers had gone on in the old method—putting everything into a child, and not thinking of bringing anything out, while reflecting and able teachers

Now, everything was to be done
and nothing was to be re-
could in any way be made
suffering of a multitude of

children was at first very great, as under every new fashion in education and there are many who rue the prevalence of that fashion to this day. But this was no fault of Pestalozzi's. It was not his way to force a little child with questions that it could not see the drift of, till every fibre in its frame was quivering with irritation. It

was not his way to work a child's reasoning faculties before they ought to have been appealed to at all; or to forbid the natural and pleasant exercise of the flourishing memory of childhood, till a little creature might be seen clutching a vocabulary or chronological table, as most children lay hands on a fairy tale. He interrogated his pupils only on subjects which they were able and ready to understand, and on which they had ideas which they could produce on easy solicitation. But the truth was, his procedure was more a peculiar talent than a system, and it was impossible that it could be extensively imitated without serious abuse, for which he was; all the while, in noway responsible. Serious as were the abuses at first in England, as no doubt elsewhere, the benefits given us by Pestalozzi unquestionably and immeasurably surpassed them. The mischief was one which was certain to work its own cure; while all that was noble and true must live and grow. Pestalozzi's respect for the human mind, wherever he found it—his sense of its equal and infinite rights, under all circumstances—his recognition of the diversity of its faculties—his skill in enlarging its scope—and substantiating its knowledge; all this was like a new idea to a nation of parents, who had been too long shut up alone with old methods, and debarred from intercourse with thinkers abroad. Since that time, English children have had a better chance in education—those of them who are educated at all; a better chance of a natural and timely development of their various faculties, physical, intellectual, and moral. Therefore it is that we may fairly class Pestalozzi among our national benefactors, and record his death among the national losses. He died at the age of eighty-two, on the 17th of February 1827.

Another educator died during this period, whose name should not be ungratefully passed over—Dr. Watson, of the Deaf and Dumb Institution in the Kent Road, London. Without going into any general account of the education of the deaf and dumb, we may note, in explanation of Dr. Watson's services, that the most fatal oversight in that branch of education has been that of supposing that a full communication of mind and reception of ideas can be obtained by written language and gesture. Written

words and gesture are but the sign of language, after all, and without oral communication, the mind cannot possibly be fully exercised and cultivated. This difficulty is, to all appearance, insuperable, but men have risen up, from time to time, who saw that though the deaf and dumb can never be brought to an equality of cultivation with those who have the full use of speech, much is gained by giving them spoken as well as written language, and Dr. Watson was the man who gave the deaf and dumb more power in this direction than any preceding teacher. Bulwer, the chrysophist, opened up the track in England in the seventeenth century, and his work, dated 1648, plainly shows that he taught articulate speech, as well as the written and hand language. Wallis followed, being a contemporary of Bulwer, and anxious to engross the merit which belonged truly to him. Dr. Wallis had great merit, but he is proved not to have been a discoverer. Articulate speech had been found attainable for the born deaf previously in Spain, and subsequently in Holland where and during the followed. Henry persons to speak his method, and, though he half promised Dr. Johnson to make it known, he never did so. Thomas Braidwood

and worked at his institution, and made up his mind to devote himself to the education of that unfortunate class, of whom there are not fewer than 13,000 in our islands, and in his eyes the practice of articulate speech was indispensable to the attainment of such cultivation as could be afforded. For five-and-forty years he laboured at his benevolent task, and he carried the capability of speech much higher than any predecessor. In regard to the general run of his pupils, an authority declares: 'Some of the pupils articulate not unpleasantly, their reading is monotonous, but their animation in ordinary conversations, especially on subjects of interest to them, gives a species of natural tone and emphasis to what they say.' This,

great as it is, is not all. A few days before Dr. Watson's death one of his private pupils was called to the bar by the Honourable Society of the Middle Temple. Here were tidings for a good man to receive on his death-bed ! The days of miracles will never be over while human benevolence is unexhausted ; and here we have, for a sign of our own times, a good man soothed to his rest by the blessings of the dumb. Dr. Watson died on the 23rd of November 1829, in the sixty-fifth year of his age.

It is not a purely melancholy task to make up this account of our national losses. In the presence of great deeds, the doers fade into shadows even during their life, except to the few to whom they are dear for other reasons than their deeds. The shadowy form is dissolved by death, and we strain our eyes to catch the last trace, and sigh when it is gone ; but the substance remains in the deeds done, and yet more in the immortal ideal of the man.

BOOK IV.

CHAPTER I.

William IV—King's Message—Regency Question—Manners of the Commons—Prorogation—Dissolution—Sympathy with France—Mr Brougham—Yorkshire Election—New House—Death of Mr Huskisson—O Connell and the Viceroy—Repeal of the Union—Rick burning—Anxieties of Parties—Opening of the Session—The Duke's Declaration—Alderman Hey's Panic—Change of Ministry

THE valetudinarian king was gone, with his moods and caprices and with him went all the considerations of expediency which had determined the political conduct of the year, on every side. It was not now necessary to have the most peremptory man in the empire to hold its first office, for the purpose of keeping its sovereign in order. There was no longer an incessant appeal to the generosity of the three bodies in opposition to abstain from joining to throw out the ministry. There need no longer be a mere show of transacting business, while in reality nothing was done—through the mechanical character of the administration on the one hand, and the desultory forbearance of the opposition on the other. It was no longer necessary that the country should be without a government in fact, while the nation was kindling and stirring under the news from France, which became more interesting every day. There was now a king who did not shut himself up with his discontents and his flatterers, but who walked in London streets with his umbrella under his arm, and gave a frank and sailor-like greeting to all old acquaintances whoever they might be. There was no longer a king with his prejudices as . . . and kindly de . . . regard to his . . .

and strong proof of this by continuing the Duke of Wellington and his colleagues in power, notwithstanding a well-understood personal disinclination, and from the pure desire not to unsettle public affairs till the national will should have shown itself in the elections. He had not been many days on the throne, when he took the opportunity, at some public collation, of proposing the Duke of Wellington's health, and declaring, in a manner more well-meant than dignified, that it was a mistake to suppose that he had any ill-feeling—any feeling but of entire confidence in his good friend, the Duke of Wellington. A steady man, of determined will, he certainly did require as head of his government, as every British sovereign must, in days when sovereigns have little power, and scanty means of knowledge of the national mind and needs; and in this case, the sovereign was at no time a man of ability, and often liable to attacks of incapacitating illness; and he was sixty-five years of age; but he was honest, unselfish, and earnestly desirous to do his duty well; so that the steadiness of his prime-minister was required, not to control him, but to inform, and guide, and aid him in the fulfilment of his function. There was in no direction any necessity for the Wellington ministry to remain in power, unless by the wish of the nation; and what the desire of the nation was, the elections would soon show.

The late king had died on the 26th of June. On the 29th, William IV. sent down his first message to parliament—just after the unhappy King of France had addressed his last words to his people, and while the elections were proving that he had lost all. King William's message, after adverting to the loss sustained by himself and the nation, declared his opinion that the sooner the necessary new elections took place the better, and recommended the Commons to make provision, without delay, for the maintenance of the public service during the interval between the close of the present session and the meeting of the new parliament.

This was very well, as far as it went; but it struck everybody on the instant that there was an enormous omission. The king was childless; and + Princess

family man who is prevented by vexatious accidents from making his will and who grows nervous about his personal safety till the thing is done there is no knowing but the matter was discussed with deep interest in the homes of the land—children and adults wondering whether the little princess was aware of her position—whether, if the king were now to die she would have the sense to desire a regency for some years or whether she would choose to rule according to her own pleasure, and if so, what kind of persons she would select for her ministers. There was another consideration uppermost in all minds, and largely concerned in the question though it could not be openly spoken of in parliament. After the king's death, the Duke of Cumberland would be her eldest uncle. He must succeed to the crown of Hanover, which descends only to male heirs. Would he go to Hanover and stay there and let England alone? To say that the Duke of Cumberland was unpopular throughout the empire would be to use language too feeble for the fact. He was hated and hated with that mixture of fear which belongs to total disesteem. It was widely felt that the princess would not be safe if unprotected by a regency on ascending the throne in childhood, and it was generally believed that the nation would not submit to any kind or degree of rule, governance, or influence from the Duke of Cumberland. This being the state of the royal family, and the warning condition of France being before all eyes, it was an act of extraordinary rashness in the ministry to insist on the dissolution of parliament before any provision had been made for a regency.

It was carefully pointed out, when the king came down to prorogue parliament, that he appeared to be in excellent health. There was something exhilarating in the sight of a king in excellent health coming down with an open face and frank demeanour, wore his admiral's uniform was not much in his speech, much matter. The most important point was that with which the speech concluded, an expression of his desire that as parliament had declared its will that civil distinctions on account of religious opinion should cease. His

subjects universally should join with him in promoting peace, and burying all such differences in oblivion.

The next day, July 24, parliament was dissolved by proclamation; and in a few hours the bustle of the new elections began. In a few days, some of them were actually decided; for the writs were made returnable on the 14th of September.

The people of England, Scotland, and Ireland, met together in crowds for other purposes than electing their representatives. By this time, the three days in Paris were over; the French people had thrown off the Polignac tyranny, and the English were not slow to congratulate them. Public meetings were held in counties and towns to prepare addresses for this purpose; and a long file of deputations crossed the Channel to present these addresses in Paris. At these meetings men spoke to each other, in high exhilaration, of the bearing of these French events upon their own political affairs. They pointed out to each other how the representation was the central ground of struggle; and how victory there was total victory. They agreed upon the powerlessness of kings, cabinets, and armies, when in opposition to the popular will; and all who were in any degree on the Liberal side in politics saw that now was the time to secure that reform of parliament which was a necessary condition of all other political reforms. That was a stirring time in England. Again, the men of the towns went out early in the summer mornings, or late at night, to meet the mails; and brought news to the breakfast table, or to the eager listeners round the lamp, that Paris was in a state of siege; that the Parisians had taken Paris; that the French king was coming to England; that the chambers had met at the appointed time, as if no impediment had arisen; that the tricolor had been seen in the Thames, and that the Duke of Wellington, riding along the wharves, had turned away his head from the sight with unconcealed anger and mortification; that, though the king had called the duke his friend, it was clear that we could not have an intimate of Prince Polignac for our prime-minister; that almost the whole newspaper press of England was hostile to the present administration; and, finally, that the men of Yorkshire

had sent such a requisition to Harry Brougham to become their representative as left scarcely a doubt of his triumphant return, a portentous sign of the times, if such should be the issue.

There is something very affecting to those who were of mature years at that time in looking back upon these glories of the Harry Brougham who was the hope and admiration of so large a portion of the Liberal body in the nation. As he himself said, he had now arrived at the pinnacle of his fame, he had attained an honour which could never be paralleled. When he said this, he did not contemplate decline, nor did those who listened to him, nor did the Liberal party generally. Those who did were some close observers who had never had confidence in him, and who knew that sobriety of thought, and temperance of feeling were essential to success in a commanding position, though they might not be much missed in one of struggle and antagonism. These observers, who had seen that with all his zeal, his strong spirit of pugnacity, his large views of popular right and interests, Henry Brougham gave no evidences of magnanimity, patience, moderation and self forgetfulness, felt now, as throughout his course that power would be too much for him and that his splendid talents were likely to become conspicuous disgraces. This was what was soon to be tried, and in the interval he stood in these times of popular excitement, the first man in England, called by the popular voice to represent the first constituency in England, in a season when constituencies and their chosen representatives were the most prominent objects in the nation's eye. Mr Brougham had been twenty-one years in public life, his endowments were the most splendid conceivable short of the inspiration of genius, and they had been thus far, employed on behalf of popular interests. Men thought of his knowledge and sagacity on colonial affairs, shown early in his career, they thought of his brave and faithful advocacy of the queen's cause, they thought of his labours for popular enlightenment—of his furtherance of Mechanics' Institutes of the London University, and of the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge, they thought of his plans for the reform of the law, and his

labours in making justice accessible to the poor; they thought of his mighty advocacy of the claims of the slave, and of his thundering denunciations of oppression in that and every other relation; and they reasonably regarded him as a great man, and the hope of his country. It was so reasonable to regard him thus, that those who had misgivings were ashamed of them, and concealed them so anxiously, that it is certain that Mr. Brougham had as fair a field as any man ever had for showing what he could do. But, though those who knew him best concealed their doubts, the doubts were there; doubts whether his celebrated oratory was not mainly factitious—vehement and passionate, but not simple and heartfelt; doubts whether a temper of jealousy and irritability would not poison any work into which it could find entrance; doubts whether a vanity so restless and insatiable must not speedily starve out the richest abilities; doubts whether a habit of speech so exaggerated, of statements so inaccurate, would not soon be fatal to respect and confidence; doubts about the perfect genuineness of his popular sympathies—not charging him with hypocrisy, but suspecting that the people were an object in his imagination, rather than an interest in his heart—a temporary idol to him, as he was to them. These doubts made the spectacle of Henry Brougham at the head of the representation of Great Britain an interesting and anxious one to those who knew him well, whether from personal intercourse or from a close study of his career. With all the other Liberals of England, it was an occasion of unbounded triumph. He has since publicly and repeatedly referred to this period as that of his highest glory; and there are now none, probably, who do not agree with him. At this Yorkshire election, when four representatives were required, five candidates came forward, and Mr. Brougham stood next to Lord Morpeth, who headed the poll.

A very few days were enough to show the ministers what they had to expect from the new House. The Tory magnates whom they had offended by their liberal measures, took this opportunity of revenging themselves, and returned members opposed to them, who, though not Liberals, served the purposes of the Liberals nearly as

well as if they had been comrades. Two brothers and a brother in law of Sir Robert Peel were thrown out. Mr Hume came in for the county of Middlesex, while the Duke of Newcastle was causing the return of members hostile to the ministry. Their faithful friend the Duke of Rutland could not carry the county of Cambridge, and Lord Ebrington was returned for Devonshire. No cabinet minister obtained a seat by anything like open and popular election. Of the eighty-two county members, only twenty-eight were avowedly on the ministerial side, while forty-seven were avowedly on the other side. Of the twenty-eight members representing the greatest cities, three were ministerialists, and twenty-four Liberals. Such being the state of things where the elections were open and popular, and the proprietors of close boroughs being still steady anti-Catholics, the fate of the ministry was sealed, and known to be so, before the summer was over. Even the revolutions on the continent, now following one another with a rapidity which, at a different time, would have pressed all the Conservatives in England into close union, had not the peremptory

of, and then, and see what must be done to save the Church and the State. The ministry, on their part, hoped to effect some good understanding in the interval betwixt August and November. In September, an event occurred which seemed to open some prospect of this, though the ministers themselves were too much touched and grieved at heart to think of such a result so soon as some of their less interested adherents.

The first great English railway was completed, and the line from Liverpool to Manchester was opened on Wednesday, the 15th of September. The Duke of Wellington, Sir Robert Peel, and other great men, arrived to take part in the ceremony, which was to have been succeeded by a banquet at Manchester. Mr. Huskisson was already on the spot, having arrived, as soon as the state of his feeble health permitted it, to visit the constituency of Liverpool, who had elected him in his absence. Before the train left Liverpool, a particular request was made that none of the

company would leave the carriages, and the printed bills exhibited a caution to the same effect; but when the trains stopped at Parkside, several of the party alighted, and a mutual friend of the Duke of Wellington and Mr. Huskisson thought that this would be a good opportunity for bringing them together, and putting an end to the coolness which had existed between them since Mr. Huskisson's dismissal from the cabinet. Both parties were willing and cordial. When the duke saw Mr. Huskisson approaching, he advanced and held out his hand, and almost before the friendly grasp was loosened, some one took alarm at the approach of a locomotive, and there was a general cry to those who were standing in the road: 'Get in, get in!' If Mr. Huskisson had stood still beside the car, he would have been safe. Whether, feeble and nervous from illness, he was attempting to get round the open door of the car, in order to enter it, or whether he was merely holding by it, appears not to be known. The event was that the open door by which he held was struck by the locomotive, and threw down Mr. Huskisson, who fell, with his leg doubled across the rail, so that the limb was instantly crushed. He was at once aware that the accident was fatal; and he died that night, at the parsonage at Eccles, where he was conveyed with all skill and tenderness. The ministers were in no spirits for further public exhibition that day, and they would fain have withdrawn; but it was represented to them how serious would be the public alarm, in such a place as Manchester; how report would exaggerate the mischief if they were not seen; and how fatal might even be the effect on future railway travelling of a false panic that day; and they consented to proceed. All was now gloom, and the chief guests refused to leave the car at Manchester, or do more than the public safety required.

It was not they who immediately began to consider what effect this mournful death would have on their political position; but, as was natural, there were many who did. The 'Canningites' would now merge into another party. For some time there had been no sufficient peculiarity of doctrine or principle to necessitate their forming a separate party; and that they did stand as such, was owing to the state of feeling between the

utmost freedom of application, for the rest of his life. The administration was 'base, bloody, and brutal;' and henceforward, every law, every cabinet, every person, and every party, that he objected to, was 'base, bloody, and brutal,' and it really appears as if every successive party to whom the epithets were applied, winced under them as if they had never been used before, or as if they carried any weight.

Our country and our time have, since this date, rung with the Irish cry of 'Repeal of the Union!' and this seems the occasion on which to look and see what it means. There are many in France and Germany, and a multitude in America, who would be surprised that any question could be made as to the meaning of that cry. They suppose the case to be plain enough, that England con-

pose that the entire Irish people wish to be wholly separated from England and that a total abolition of the Union is the only remedy for their present and future miseries.

and its produce are of consequence to England. Such was the story told by O'Connell to the world, though it is utterly impossible that he could have believed it himself. He had too much warrant in history for some of his complaints. It was true that Ireland had once been fiercely conquered and cruelly oppressed; that, till now, her Catholic population had been bitterly insulted by exclusion from political rights on account of their faith; that the Church of seven-eighths of her people was still insulted by the presence of an established Episcopal Church, and endowed Protestant meeting houses, and that a large proportion of her people were in a condition of political discontent, and intolerable social misery. Thus much was true; but O'Connell, in his addresses to the ignorant among his countrymen, and to the world abroad, never failed to cast the blame of ancient tyranny on the existing generation, never failed to impute the purely social miseries of Ireland to political causes; never failed to suppress the

fact that Ireland had any imperial rights at all, or to throw contempt and ridicule on benefits which he could not ignore; never held forth to his countrymen the means of welfare which they had in their power, if they would but use them, and, above all, never made the slightest rational attempt to show how the repeal of the union would cure their woes and give them peace and comfort. Any one who studies his speeches, as a series, may see that, he knew the truth, from the directions in which he levels his vituperation and his sarcasm. He certainly knew that the miserable tenure of land, and multiplication of a destitute population, were the chief causes of the miseries of Ireland, and that, as a natural consequence, the people would not work, and were prone to outrage. He certainly knew that these evils could not be cured by a parliament sitting in Dublin. He certainly knew that nearly all persons of education and property in Ireland were averse to a repeal of the union, and did not choose that it should take place. He certainly knew that such a complexity of interests had grown up between England and Ireland during their imperial connection as made separation impossible, and that the interests of Great Britain would no more permit her to have for an independent neighbour an insular nation in a state of desperate and reckless misery—as Ireland would be, if left to her own turbulence and poverty—than her conscience would permit her to cast off from her protection a people whom she had formerly helped to make miserable. From O'Connell's speeches, during a course of years, it is clear that he well knew all these things; yet it was his custom to speak, when on Irish ground, as if all the Irish desired repeal—as if the Dublin parliament would truly represent the Irish people—as if Irish industry would thrive when commerce with England should be stopped—as if repeal would give to every man for his own, the land he lived on—as if Irish turbulence were merely the result of English provocation—and as if all had been well in Ireland till the British connection began, and would be immediately well again if that connection could be dissolved. As for the reasons why any man should plead such a cause in such a way, they seem clear enough in this case. Among the ignorant of his

own countrymen and uninformed foreigners, he obtained credence enough to give him great power, and this power sustained him in his chosen career as an agitator in Ireland. His power was great, and that it gave him the power of annoyance and destruction in parliament.

ment—a power of intimidation which he could take up at any time when he had an object to gain for himself or his country. He raised the repeal cry whenever any benefit to Ireland was moved for, to hasten it, as he thought, and again, whenever it was granted, to save the awkwardness of acknowledgment, and he raised it in the autumn of every year—unless some other cry was abroad which would spare this for once—when the O'Connell rent was to be collected. As for the question of repeal itself, let us see how it stands apart from the prejudice which O'Connell connected with it.

People had different opinions about what the effect would be in Ireland of granting measures which had been too long delayed. When the Duke of Wellington was proposing Catholic emancipation, he said at his own table, at a ministerial dinner, 'It is a bad business, but we are aground.' Lord Sidmouth asked, 'Does your grace think, then, that this concession will tranquillise Ireland?' 'I can't tell. I hope it will,' the duke replied. He shortly discovered and owned his mistake. The duke was no philosopher, to be sure, but, if he had been, he would have seen that the union itself, though working well on the whole, worked very slowly, because it had been too long delayed. And this other great measure, being much too long delayed, could not be expected to 'tranquillise Ireland' so as to gratify the eyes of existing statesmen with the spectacle of tranquillity.

The slightest observation of Ireland and the most superficial knowledge of her history, must convince every one, that if she had been an independent kingdom from 1782, or earlier, she would have been from that time in a state of misery and ruin which could not have been allowed in any civilised quarter of the world, either for her own sake or that of her neighbours. The civil wars of her factions, and the hunger of her swarming

multitudes, must presently have destroyed her as a nation. If she had been up to this time an ally, or self-governing province of Great Britain, instead of being incorporated with her, her ruin could hardly have been less complete. In such a case, it is impossible to prevent the weaker going to the wall. It is impossible to prevent more or less abuse of power by the stronger party, and to obviate the jealousy or sycophancy of the leading men of the weaker, who make their own people their prey. We have a picture of Scotland, before and after the union, which may enlighten us much in regard to the case of Ireland, though Scotland never was subject to the worst economical evils of Ireland; economical evils which are the true cause of her miseries, and which can be remedied only by her intimate connection with a country of superior industrial condition and habits.

‘If any one doubts,’ says an eminent Scotchman, ‘of the wretchedness of an unequal and unincorporating alliance, of the degradation of being subject to a provincial parliament and a distant king, and of the efficacy of a substantial union in curing all these evils, he is invited to look to the obvious example of Scotland. When the crowns only were united, and the governments continued separate, the weaker country was the scene of the most atrocious cruelties, the most violent injustice, and the most degrading oppressions. The prevailing religion of the people was proscribed and persecuted, with a ferocity greater than has ever been systematically exercised, even in Ireland; her industry was crippled and depressed by unjust and intolerable restrictions; her parliaments corrupted and overawed into the degraded instruments of a distant court; and her nobility and gentry, cut off from all hope of distinction by vindicating the rights, or promoting the interests, of their country at home, were led to look up to the favour of her oppressors as the only remaining avenue to power, and degenerated, for the most part, into a band of mercenary adventurers, the more considerable aspiring to the wretched honour of executing the orders which were dictated from the south, and the rest acquiring gradually those habits of subserviency and selfish submission, the traces of which are by some

been going on; but the session was not opened till the 2nd of November.

When the speech was promulgated, it was found to be the most offensive that had been uttered by any British monarch since the Revolution. Now, indeed, unless it could presently be shown that the king had been made a tool of by his ministers, there might soon be some ground for the Tory apprehensions about the unpopularity of the sovereign. Except a surrender of the civil list to the consideration of parliament, and a recommendation to provide a regency in case of his death, there was no topic which gratified the expectation of the people. There was much regret at the disturbed state of Europe; determination to uphold the treaties by which the political system of Europe had been established; indignation, contempt, and horror, about disturbances in England and Ireland; a pledge to use all the powers of law and constitution to put down and punish such disturbance; and a lecture on the supreme happiness of those who live under British institutions. While men were gathering together in streets and public buildings to discuss this speech, the turbulent in exasperation at its insolence, and the thoughtful in regret at its hardness, the prime-minister settled everything—the fate of his government, and the course of public affairs for years to come—by a few sentences in the opening debate, which made some people ask whether he had lost his senses, while they revived the Tory party with hopes that some hidden resources of power existed to justify the apparent rashness. In the debate on the address, the Duke of Wellington uttered that celebrated declaration against reform in parliament, which immediately overthrew his power at home, and his reputation as a statesman throughout the world. His personal friends have since accounted for the apparent madness of uttering those words at that moment, by saying that it was a mistake owing to his deafness; and this is quoted as his own plea. A deafness had been long growing upon him which had now become considerable; and it was declared on his behalf that if he had heard what had been said by men of his own party, and what was passing on the benches behind him, he would not have made such a declaration in

The year which was closing was called the year One of the people's cause

It was now fifteen years since the peace. Of these fifteen years, the first seven had been dark and troubled under a discouraging and exasperating Tory rule, during which, however, by virtue of the peace, good things were preparing for a coming time. During the last eight years, there had been vicissitudes of fortune—some exultation and prosperity—more depression and distress, as regarded the material condition of the people, but the country had been incomparably better governed. It was under this better government that the people had learned striking and virtuous lessons about their own power—lessons which had prepared them to require wisely, and conduct magnanimously, the greatest revolution in the history of their country.

It was in the leisure of the new peace that a multitude of minds had received the idea, and made it their own, that the shortest and only safe way of procuring all reforms and all good government was by making the representation as true as it could be made. This became the vital principle of the political life of Great Britain, as soon as the excitements of the war died away, and it must long continue to be so. Among the many reasons which make us now and for ever deprecate war, the chief is, and should ever be, that we would not have the national mind and will called off from this great truth and aim—that the first duty, and most unremitting obligation of a people living under a representative system, is to make the representation true and perfect. In this year One of the people's cause, the people were ready, and they were blessed with rulers who were willing to make a beginning so large and decided as to secure the permanence of the work, as far as they carried it and its certain prosecution through future generations. It is nothing that they did not foresee this further prosecution, nor believe it when it was foretold to them. Great deeds naturally so fill the conceptions and sympathies of the doors, that they are—except a great philosopher here and there—finality men. But those who are not so immediately engaged see further, and remember that sound political institutions are made

perfect very slowly, and by a succession of improvements. There were many, therefore, who in that day of exultation saw more cause for rejoicing than did those who were proudest of the immediate triumph. They saw in the parliamentary reform of Lord Grey a noble beginning of a great work which it might take centuries to perfect, and in every stage of which the national mind would renew its strength and gain fresh virtue and wisdom. They appreciated the greatness of the first effort, by which the impediments to true representation were to be removed, and some steps taken towards a recognition of the vast commercial interests which had risen up in modern times; but they saw that the due equalisation of the landed and commercial interests, and the true proportion of the representation of property and numbers, could not be attained at a stroke, and that much of the noble work of parliamentary reform must remain to occupy and exalt future generations. The wisest and the most eager, however, the oldest and the youngest, desired nothing more than what they now saw; their nation, as a whole, demanding and achieving its own self-improvement, instead of ringing bells and firing cannon about bloody victories obtained in the cause of foreign governments.

It was news enough for one day that this great era was opening, and that Lord Grey stood on the threshold. By the next day, the people were eager to know who were to be his helpers. The newspapers could not give the list of the ministry fast enough. In reading-rooms, and at the corners of streets, merchants, bankers, and tradesmen took down the names, and carried them to their families, reading them to every one they met by the way; while poor men who could not write, carried them well enough in their heads; for most of the leading names were of men known to such of the labouring class as understood their own interest in the great cause just coming on.

Next on the list to Lord Grey was Lord Althorp, as chancellor of the exchequer. He was known as an advocate of the ballot; as having been forward in questions of retrenchment and reform; and as being a man, if of no eminent vigour, of great benevolence, and an enthusiastic love of justice. His abilities as a statesman were now to be tried. Mr. Brougham's name came next. He was to

by an assassin, appointed by lot to be the instrument of the vengeance of his union. A reward of £1000 for the detection of the murderer was offered by the secretary of state, and another £1000 by the father and family of the victim, but no clue was obtained at the time nor for some years afterwards.

As for the dangers which might follow upon the action of government on the great question, the coolest heads had the strongest sense of them. The apprehensions of the anti reformers were all about the consequences of the Reform Bill if carried. The apprehensions of the most thoughtful reformers were of the perils attending its passage. On a superficial view it might appear that the result was so certain that the way could not be much embarrassed, but there was not only the anti reforming aristocracy to be encountered on the one hand but large masses of malcontents on the other. In the estimate of the anti reform forces might be included—possibly, under certain circumstances—the sovereign, certainly, the House of Peers—almost a whole House of Peers, made desperate, not only by fear of loss of political power, but by spoliation of what they considered their lawful and a wholly inestimable property, next the aristocracy in the House of Commons and out of it, who had influence and property of the same kind at stake, and lastly, the whole body of Toryism in England—a party never small, and at this time made particularly active and desperate by a sincere belief that the constitution was likely to be overthrown, and that the English nation would presently be living under mob-rule. Large numbers of this party, who had not the remotest interest in borough property, were as fierce against the reform measure as the peers themselves from this tremendous fear. There was quite as much folly among the lowest classes on the other side. The hungry and the desperately ignorant who are always eager for change, because they may gain and cannot lose believed that parliamentary reform would feed and clothe them and bring work and good wages and a removal of all the taxes. It was too probable that a protracted opposition would raise these poor people in riot, and turn the necessary revolution, far from being a peaceful one, into an over-

throw of law and order. It is necessary to take note of this state of things, in order to understand and appreciate the action of the middle classes during the two following years.

While the ministers were hard at work, preparing their mighty measure, the middle classes were preparing for their support. The action of the non-electors during this month of January was as powerful a satire on the then existing system of representation as could have been displayed. The vast populations of Leeds, Birmingham, and Manchester, and countless hosts of intelligent and enlightened tradesmen and artisans elsewhere, sent shoals of petitions to parliament for a reform of the House of Commons; and they did something more effectual by forming political unions, or preparing for their immediate formation, in case of need. This was the force which kept the peace, and preserved us from disastrous revolution. These people knew what they were about, and they went calmly to their work. Of course, the anti-reformers complained of compulsion, of extorted consent, of unconstitutional forces being put in action. This was true, since they themselves compelled the compulsion, and called out the unconstitutional forces. There was no question about the fact, but only about the justification of it. No one denies that occasions may and do occur when the assertion of a nation's will against either a corrupt government or a tyrannical party is virtuous, and absolutely required by patriotic duty. The fearful and trying question is, when this ought to be done, and how men are to recognise the true occasion when it comes. There probably never was an occasion when the duty was more clear than now. The sovereign and his ministers were on the side of the people; and if the opposing party should prove disloyal to sovereign and people for the sake of their own political power and mercenary interests; if they held out till the one party or the other must yield, it was for the interest of peace, law, order, loyalty, and the permanence of the constitution, that the class most concerned—the orderly middle class, who had the strongest conceivable stake in the preservation of law and peace—should overstep the bounds of custom, and occupy a debatable land of legality, in so far as

fragmentary knowledge. The very few persons who were necessarily admitted to the confidence of the government felt this confidence to be a heavy burden. One, deeply engaged and hard-worked, said afterwards that he was almost afraid to sleep, lest he should dream and speak of what his mind was full of. The great day of disclosure was the 1st of March, when Lord John Russell had the honour—though not a cabinet minister, but on account of his long advocacy of the cause—of bringing forward the measure in the Commons. On that day, the friends of the ministry had dinner-parties, where the guests sat watching the clock, and waiting for tidings. The lord chancellor had promised the hostess of one of these parties that no one should be earlier served with the news than she; and anxiously she sat, at the head of her table, till the packet was brought in which the lord chancellor had despatched, the moment he found that Lord John Russell had begun his speech. As she read aloud, exclamations of surprise at the scope of the scheme burst forth. And so it was, all over the kingdom. During the recess, some of the liberal papers had conjured the people to receive thankfully whatever measure the ministers might offer, and be assured that, however inadequate, they could not have more. Other papers had been more true to their duty, exhorting the people to take nothing less than the whole of what they demanded. If they understood their principle, and were earnest in their demand, they ought not to yield an inch of their ground. It now appeared that there was no faltering on the part of the ministers; no desire that the people should surrender an inch of their ground. They knew that there could be no half-and-half dealing with boroughmongery. It was a vice which must be extinguished, and not an indulgence which might be gradually weakened. By this bill, the practice of boroughmongery was cut up by the roots. This was the essential feature of the measure. Whether the further reforms advocated were complete or inadequate, this opened the way to all else. ‘Like Sinbad,’ as was said at the time, ‘we have first to dash from our shoulders the “Old Man of the Sea,” and afterwards to complete our deliverance.’ It will afterwards appear how partial was the representation proposed

will find great scholars rotting on curacies—brave captains starving in garrets—profound lawyers decayed and mouldering in the Inns of Court, because the parsons, warriors, and advocates of boroughmongers must be crammed to saturation, before there is a morsel of bread for the man who does not sell his votes and put his country up to auction, and though this is of every day occurrence, the borough system, we are told, is no practical evil. But the thing I cannot, and will not bear, is this what right has this lord, or that marquis to buy ten seats in parliament in the shape of boroughs and then to make laws to govern me? And how are these masses of power redistributed? The eldest son of my lord has just come from Eton—he knows a good deal about Æneïs and Dido, Apollo and Daphne, and that is all, and to this boy his father gives a six hundredth part of the power of making laws, as he would give him a horse, or a double barrellled gun. Then Vellum, the steward, is put in—an admirable man—he has raised the estates—watched the progress of the family Road and Canal Bills—and Vellum shall help to rule over the people of Israel. A neighbouring country gentleman, Mr Plumpkin, hunts with my lord—opens him a gate or two, while the hounds are running—dines with my lord—agrees with my lord—wishes he could rival the Southdown sheep of my lord—and upon Plumpkin is conferred a portion of the government. Then there is a distant relation of the same name in the county militia, with white teeth who calls up the carriage at the opera, and is always wishing O Connell was hanged, drawn, and quartered, then a barrister, who has written an article in the *Quarterly* and is very likely to speak, and refute M'Culloch and these five people, in whose nomination I have no more agency than I have in the nomination of the toll keepers of the Bosphorus are to make laws for me and my family—to put their hands in my purse, and to sway the future destinies of this country, and when the neighbours step in, and beg permission to say a few words before these persons are chosen there is a universal cry of ruin, confusion, and destruction, we have become a great people under Vellum and Plumpkin—under Vellum and Plumpkin our ships have covered the ocean—

under Vellum and edge. The very few persons who were strength of the House to the confidence of the government is not reform, but rather a heavy burden. One, deeply

In recognising the fact, said afterwards that he was that such a state of things he should dream and speak of longer, we must remember. The great day of disclosure those who nobly volunteered. Lord John Russell had the the Reform Bill were noble minister, but on account of family, who were laying down of bringing forward the their own, while requiring the same day, the first from others. The borough-wealth of the Russell family was known to be enormous; yet the Duke of Bedford cheered on Lord John Russell in his task. If we read with tender admiration of loyal noblemen and gentry who brought their wealth to the feet of an unprosperous sovereign, and made themselves landless for the sake of their king, what must we feel at this great new spectacle of the privileged classes divesting themselves of privilege for the sake of the people—for the honour and integrity of the country? It was a great deed; and posterity will ever declare it so. It is objected by some that these peers and gentlemen were well aware, and indeed openly avowed, that they could not retain this kind of wealth, nor, perhaps, any other, if reform of parliament were not granted; they apprehended a convulsion, and said so; declaring also that this was the reason why their reforms were made so prompt and sweeping. This is quite true; but it is precisely this which shows how superior these men were to the selfish greed which blinded the eyes of their opponents. They had open minds, clear eyes, calm consciences, and hands at the service of their country; and they therefore saw things in their true light, and turned the pressure of an irresistible necessity into a noble occasion of self-sacrifice, and disinterested care for the public weal; while the opposite order of borough-holders saw nothing, believed nothing, knew nothing, and declared nothing, but that they would not part with their hereditary property and influence. When they protested that to take away their borough property was 'to destroy the aristocracy' they passed a severer satire upon their order than had been invented by any enemy. If the

England could not subsist but upon a rotten borough foundation, it was indeed a different order from that which the world had, for many centuries, supposed, but no one could look upon the dignified head of the prime-minister, or the countenances of his self-sacrificing comrades in the House of Peers, without feeling that the world was right, and that those who said anything so derogatory to the aristocratic tenure in England were basely and sordidly wrong. Lord Eldon was one of these, and in his speech at the Pitt Club supposing that point granted, he went on to his view of the consequences, in the course of which we find him, who ought to have known better, falling into the vulgar error of the aristocracy, of supposing only one class of society to exist below that wealthy one with which they are compelled by their affairs to have business. Lord Eldon, like others who must know better, included under one head ('the lower classes') everybody below the wealthiest bankers—manufacturers, tradesmen, artisans, labourers, and paupers, as we now and then hear fine people confusing the claims of great capitalists and humble cottagers, announcements in town hall meetings and gossip in servants' halls. Lord Eldon must have known, but he seems to have forgotten that there is a large proportion of society composed of the ignorant and hopeless classes, lying below the rank from which he rose, yet this is the representation he gives of the happy

brother Pittites, 'the best supporters of the lower classes would be swept away. In using the term "lower classes" he meant nothing offensive. How could he do so? He himself had been one of the lower classes. He gloried in the fact, and it was noble and delightful to know that the humblest in the realm might, by a life of industry, propriety, and good moral and religious conduct, rise to eminence. All could not become eminent in public life—that was impossible, but every man might arrive at honour, independence, and competence.'

What?—every man?—he whose early years are spent in opening and shutting a door in a coal pit, who does not

know his own name, and never heard of God?—or any one of thousands of hand-loom weavers, who swallow opium on Saturday nights, to deaden the pains of hunger on Sundays?—or the Dorsetshire labourer, whose only prospect is that his eight shillings a week may be reduced to seven, and the seven to six, but never that his wages may rise? May 'every man' of these arrive at honour, independence, and competence? Truly, Lord Eldon did his best to prove how sorely these 'lower classes' needed some kind of representation in parliament, or at least the admission of some who might make known their existence and their claims.

The debate which followed the introduction of the Reform Bill extended over seven nights, between seventy and eighty members delivering their views in the course of that time. The adversaries of the measure argued on grounds more contradictory than are often exhibited, even on great occasions like the present. Some cried out that democracy was henceforth in the ascendant, while others were full of indignation that the qualification was raised, and so many poor freemen disfranchised. Some complained of the qualification as too low, and others as too high. Some insinuated pity for the sovereign, as overborne by factious ministers; others were disgusted at the parade of the king's sanction, and intimated that it was nothing to them what the king thought. Of all the objections uttered, none rose higher in matter or tone than a deprecation of change in a country which had been so great under the old laws; and a remonstrance against lessening the proportionate power of the House of Lords.

On the side of the measure, there was a brief statement of objections on the score of deficiency; but an agreement to work cordially for the bill as it was offered, in the hope of supplying its deficiencies afterwards. Many would have desired an extension of the franchise downwards, as well as upwards and laterally, as was now provided by the removal of many restrictions. Yet more had hoped for the ballot, to purify the elections, and for a shortening of the duration of parliaments. But all agreed to relinquish their minor objects for the time, to secure the overthrow of borough corruption; and the great cry was agreed

which announced that the king was on the way! Some of the peeresses had by this time entered to witness the spectacle of the prorogation. For a few minutes something like order was restored, and Lord Wharncliffe read his proposed address which was as strong a remonstrance, as near an approach to interference with the royal prerogative, as might be expected from the occasion. The lord chancellor re entered the House, and, without waiting for a pause, said, with strong emphasis 'I never yet heard that the crown ought not to dissolve parliament whenever it thought fit particularly at a moment when the House of Commons had thought fit to take the extreme and unprecedented step of refusing the supplies' Before he could be further heard for the cries of 'Hear, hear!' shouts were intermingled of 'The king! the king!' and the lord chancellor again rushed out of the House, rendering it necessary for Lord Shaftesbury to resume the chair. Every moment now added to the confusion. The hubbub heard beyond the House, reached the ear of the king—reached his heart and roused in him the strong spirit of regality.

spoke strongly of the 'most awful predicament of the king and the country, and on the conduct of ministers in 'conspiring together against the safety of the state, and of making the sovereign the instrument of his own destruction,' words which naturally caused great confusion. He was proceeding when the shout again arose 'The king! the king!' and a commanding voice was heard over all solemnly uttering 'God save the king!' Lord Mansfield proceeded, however. The great doors on the right side of the throne flew open still his lordship proceeded. Lord Durham, the first in the hold, carrying the crown proceeded. The king ap, lordship was still proceeding when the peers on either side and behind laid hands on him, and compelled him

to silence, while his countenance was convulsed with agitation.

The king had a flush on his cheek, and an unusual brightness in his eye. He walked rapidly and firmly, and ascended the steps of the throne with a kind of eagerness. He bowed right and left, and desired their lordships to be seated while the Commons were summoned. For a little time it appeared doubtful whether even the oil of anointing would calm the tossing waves of strife; but, after all, the Peers were quiet sooner than the Commons.

That House, too, was crowded, expectant, eager, and passionate. Sir Richard Vyvyan was the spokesman of the opposition; and a very strong one. A question of order arose, as to whether Sir Richard Vyvyan was or was not keeping within the fair bounds of his subject—which was a reform petition; whereas he was speaking on ‘dissolution or no dissolution.’ The speaker appears to have been agitated from the beginning; and there were several members who were not collected enough to receive his decisions with the usual deference. Honourable members turned upon each other, growing contradictory, sharp, angry—even abusive. Lord John Russell attempted to make himself heard, but in vain: his was no voice to pierce through such a tumult. The speaker was in a state of visible emotion. Sir Richard Vyvyan, however, regained a hearing; but, as soon as he was once more in full flow, boom! came the cannon which told that the king was on his way; and the roar drowned the conclusion of the sentence. Not a word more was heard for the cheers, the cries—and even shouts of laughter; all put down together, at regular intervals, by the discharges of artillery. At one moment, Sir Robert Peel, Lord Althorp, and Sir Francis Burdett, were all using the most vehement action of command and supplication in dumb show, and their friends were labouring in vain to procure a hearing for them. The speaker himself stood silenced by the tumult, till the cries took more and more the sound of ‘Shame! shame!’ and more eyes were fixed upon him till he could have made himself heard, if he had not been too much moved to speak. When he recovered voice, he decided that Sir Robert Peel was entitled to address the

House With occasional uproar, this was permitted, and Sir Robert Peel was still speaking when the usher of the black rod appeared at the bar, to summon the Commons to his majesty's presence. Sir Robert Peel continued to

The hundred members who accompanied the speaker to the presence of the king rushed in very tumultuously. There is an interest in the mutual addresses of sovereign and people in a crisis like this which is not felt in ordinary times, and the words of the speaker first and then of the king, were listened to with extreme eagerness.

The speaker said 'May it please your majesty, we your majesty's most faithful Commons approach your majesty with profound respect and awe, in no period of our history, have the Commons House of parliament more faithfully responded to the real feelings and interests of your majesty's loyal dutiful and affectionate people, while it has been their earnest desire to support the dignity and honour of the crown, upon which depend the greatness, the happiness, and the prosperity of this country.'

The king spoke in a firm, cheerful, and dignified tone and manner. The speech which besides referred only to money matters and economy, and to our state of peace with for — — — — — 'I have come to meet with a

induced to resort to this measure, for the purpose of ascertaining the sense of my people in the way in which it can be most constitutionally and most authentically expressed, on the expediency of making such changes in the representation as circumstances may appear to require, and which, founded upon the acknowledged principles of the constitution, may tend at once to uphold the just rights and prerogatives of the crown, and to give security to the liberties of the people. In resolving to recur to the sense of my people, in the present circumstances of the country, I have been influenced only by a paternal anxiety

for the contentment and happiness of my subjects, to promote which, I rely with confidence on your continued and zealous assistance.

'It is over!' said those to each other who understood the crisis better than it was apprehended by the nation at large. 'All is over!' whispered the anti-reformers to each other. The members of both Houses went home that April afternoon, hoarse, heated, exhausted—conscious that such a scene had never been witnessed within the walls of parliament since Cromwell's days. The ministers went home, to take some rest, knowing that all was safe; that is, that to the people was now fairly committed the people's cause.

A proclamation, declaring the dissolution of the parliament, appeared next day; and the new writs were made returnable on the 14th of June.

CHAPTER IV.

General Election—Popular Action—Riots—New House—Second Reform Bill—Committee—Bill passes the Commons—First Reading in the Lords—Debate—Lord Grey—The Bishops—The Bill lost—Prorogation—Vote of Confidence—Riots at Derby and Nottingham—At Bristol—Prevalence of Order.

THE people thoroughly understood that their cause was now consigned to their own hands. In all preceding 'revolutions'—to adopt the term used by the anti-reformers—they had acted, when they acted at all, under the direction of a small upper class who thought and understood for them, and used them as instruments. Now, the thinkers and leaders were of every class, and the multitude acted, not only under orders, but in concert. If for every nobleman and legislator who desired parliamentary reform for distinct political reasons there were hundreds of middle-class men, for every hundred middle-class men there were tens of thousands of the working-classes who had an interest, an opinion and a will in the matter, which made them, instead of mere instruments,

independent, whom they are apt to call the proud Such was the preparation going forward throughout the country while the ministers were at their work in London the rapid social education of all ranks which may be regarded as another of the ever springing blessings of the peace and by which the great transition from the old to the new parliamentary system was rendered safe That the amount of violence was no greater than it was remained and still remains a matter of astonishment to the anti reform party and was a blessing scarcely hoped for on the other side. After the three days in Paris in the preceding July thoughtful Englishmen asked each other with anxiety, whether it was conceivable that their own countrymen would behave in a similar crisis with such chivalrous honour and such enlightened moderation as the French populace The question was not now precisely answered because the crisis was not similar—the British king and his ministers being on the side of the people and the conflict being only with a portion of the aristocracy of birth and wealth, but there was enough of intelligence and moral nobleness in the march of the English movement to inspire Englishmen with a stronger mutual respect and a brighter political hope than they had ever entertained before

Such evidence as there was at present, was window breaking on illumination nights, and hustlings and threatenings in the streets, at the election time which compelled some anti reform candidates and their agents to hide themselves A few scattered instances of this kind of disturbance occurred in England, and in Scotland the riots were really formidable The anti reformers there carried all before them from their possessing almost a monopoly of political power These election days and illumination nights are the occasions when brawlers and thieves co-
harvest, their opp
made use of popular cause

On the dissolution of parliament, the lord mayor sanctioned the illumination of London and the windows of the Duke of Wellington Mr Baring and other leading anti reformers, were broken After the Edinburgh elec-

tion, the lord provost was attacked on the North Bridge, and with difficulty rescued by the military. We happen to know what was thought on the occasion by a reformer noted for his Radicalism: 'As dash went the stones,' he says, 'smash fell the glass, and crash came the window-frames, from nine o'clock to near midnight, reflection arose and asked seriously and severely what this meant: was it reform? was it popular liberty? Many thousands of others who were there must have asked themselves the same questions. . . . The reform newspapers were content to say that the riots reflected no discredit on reformers; the rioters were only "the *blackguards* of the town." . . . I believe that there is now one problem solved by experience, which was hidden in futurity then—namely, that the greater the number of men enfranchised, the smaller is the number of blackguards.'"

The election cry was: 'The bill, the whole bill, and nothing but the bill;' and the result was that such an assemblage of reformers was returned that their opponents styled them a company of pledged delegates, and no true House of Commons. And it was certain that such a thing as they called a true House of Commons they would never more see. Out of eighty-two county members only six were opposed to the bill. Yorkshire sent four reformers; and so did London. General Gascoyne was driven from Liverpool, Sir Richard Vyvyan from Cornwall, Sir Edward Knatchbull from Kent, and Mr. Bankes from Dorsetshire. The Duke of Newcastle could, this time, do nothing with his 'own.' The most remarkable defeat of the ministerial party, but one which was sure to happen, was at Cambridge University, where Lord Palmerston and Mr. Cavendish were driven out by Mr. Goulburn and Mr. W. Peel.

After re-electing the speaker, and hearing from the king's own lips a recommendation to undertake the reform of their House, the Commons went to work again. The bill was introduced on the 24th of June; but the second reading stood over till the 4th of July, that the Scotch and Irish Reform Bills might be brought in. The debate lasted three nights, when a division was taken on the second reading, which gave the ministers a majority of 136 in a House of 598 members.

It was clear that the ministers were so strong that they were sure of their own way in this House, but the strain upon the temper and patience of the large majority was greater than they would have supported in a meaner cause. When we remember that the minority sincerely believed that they were now witnessing the last days of the constitution, we cannot wonder at their determination to avail themselves of all the forms of the House, and of every passing incident, to delay the destruction of the country. They avowed their purpose, and they adhered to it with unflinching obstinacy. The House went into committee on the 12th of July, and it was at once evident that every borough was to be contended for, every population return questioned, every point debated on which an argument could be hung, and this, not on account of the merits of the case, but merely to protract the time, and leave room for 'fate, or Providence, or something,' to interfere. If at midnight, in the hot glare of the lamp, any member dropped asleep, a piqued orator would make that a cause of delay, that he might be properly attended to to-morrow, and another time, the House would sit till the summer sunshine was glittering on the breakfast tables of the citizens, the opposition hoping to wear out the vigilance of the proposers of the bill. The people grew angry, and the newspapers spoke their wrath. It was all very well, they said, to insist on the fullest discussion of every principle, but to wrangle for every item, after the principle had been settled—to do this with the avowed object of awaiting accidents, and in defiance of the declared will of the nation at large was an insolence and obstruction not to be borne. When, towards the end of the month, people began to ask when and how this was to end, the ministers moved that the reform business should take precedence of all other, and it was arranged that the discussion should proceed from five o'clock every day. Before August came in, however, signs appeared of an unappeased discontent on the part of the *non-electors*, who dreaded lest the Leats of August in town and the attractions of that month in the Scotch moors, should draw off their champions from their duty, and it became known in the House that a conference had taken place

between the political unions of Birmingham, Manchester, and Glasgow, in order to agree how long they would wait. The majority in the House thought it right to intimate such facts, to prove the danger of the times. The minority called it stifling discussion by threats, and considered whether they could not be a little slower still, in assertion of their constitutional right of debate. Weeks passed on; the summer heats rose to their height, and declined; the days shortened; honourable members, haggard and nervous, worn with eight hours per night of skirmishing and wrangling, pined for fresh air and country quietness; and still every borough and every population statement was contested. It was the 7th of September before the committee reported. On the 13th and two following days the report was considered, when only a few verbal amendments were proposed. The final debate occupied the evenings of the 19th, 20th, and 21st of September; and at its close, the bill passed the Commons by a majority of 109; the numbers for and against being 345 to 236. Both London and the country had grown tired of waiting, and had somewhat relaxed their attention when they found that the members might be relied on for remaining at their posts; but on this occasion, all were as eager as ever. The House was surrounded by crowds, who caught up the cheers within on the announcement of the majority; cheers which were renewed so perseveringly that it seemed as if the members had no thoughts of going home. There was little sleep in London that night. The cheering ran along the streets, and was caught up again and again till morning. Such of the peers as were in town, awaiting their share of the business, which was now immediately to begin, must have heard the shouting the whole night through. It is certain that it was the deliberate intention of the greater number of them to throw out the bill very speedily. If the acclamations of that night did not raise a doubt as to the duty and safety of their course, they must have been in a mood unlike that of ordinary men, meditating in the watches of the night.

Before daylight, the news was on its way into the country; and wherever it spread, it floated the flags, and

devoted Lucy Hutchinson and her spouse are dear, this event was a mournful one, but the walls remain, and the beauty of the site cannot be impaired while any part of the building meets the eye. The duke recovered £21,000 from the county, as damages, and certainly appeared to suffer much less under the event than his respectable neighbours of the reform party. He evidently enjoyed his martyrdom.

The Bristol mobs have always been noted for their brutality, and the outbreak now was such as to amaze and confound the whole kingdom. It will ever remain a national disgrace that such materials existed in such quantity for London rogues to operate upon. Nothing like these Bristol riots had happened since the Birmingham riots in 1791.

London rogues could have had no such power as in this case if the political and moral state of Bristol had not been bad. Its political state was disgraceful. The venality of its elections was notorious. It had a close corporation, between whom and the citizens there was no community of feeling on municipal subjects. The lower parts of the city were the harbourage of probably a worse scaport populace than any other place in England, while the police was ineffective and demoralised. There was no city in which a greater amount of savagery lay beneath a society proud, exclusive, and mutually repellent, rather than enlightened and accustomed to social co-operation. These are circumstances which go far to account for the Bristol riots being so fearfully bad as they were. Of this city, Sir Charles Wetherell—then at the height of his unpopularity as a vigorous opponent of the Reform Bill—was recorder, and there he had to go in the last days of October, in his judicial capacity. Strenuous efforts had been made to exhibit before the eyes of the Bristol people the difference between the political and judicial functions of their recorder, and to show them that to receive the judge with respect was not to countenance his political course, yet the symptoms of discontent were such as to induce the mayor, Mr Pinney, to apply to the home-office for military aid. Lord Melbourne sent down some troops of horse which were quartered within reach, in the evening.

bourhood of the city. It was an unfortunate circumstance that, owing to the want of a common interest between the citizens and the corporation, scarcely any gentlemen offered their services as special constables but such as were accustomed to consider the lower classes with contempt as a troublesome rabble, and rather relished an occasion for defying and humbling them. Such was the preparation made in the face of the fact that Sir Charles Wetherell could not be induced to relinquish his public entry, though warned of danger by the magistrates themselves; and of the other important fact that the London rogues, driven from the metropolis by the new police, were known to be infesting every place where there was hope of confusion and spoil.

On Saturday, October 29, Sir Charles Wetherell entered Bristol in pomp; and before he reached the Mansion House at noon, he must have been pretty well convinced, by the hootings and throwing of stones, that he had better have foregone the procession. For some hours the special constables and the noisy mob in front of the Mansion House exchanged discourtesies of an emphatic character, but there was no actual violence till night. At night, the Mansion House was attacked, and the Riot Act was read; but the military were not brought down, as they ought to have been, to clear the streets. The mayor had 'religious scruples,' and was 'humane;' and his indecision was not overborne by any aid from his brother-magistrates. When the military were brought in, it was after violence had been committed, and when the passions of the mob were much excited. Sir Charles Wetherell escaped from the city that night. During the dark hours, sounds were heard provocative of further riot; shouts in the streets, and the hammering of workmen who were boarding up the lower windows of the Mansion House and the neighbouring dwellings. On the Sunday morning, the rioters broke into the Mansion House without opposition; and from the time they got into the cellars, all went wrong. Hungry wretches and boys broke the necks of the bottles, and Queen Square was strewn with the bodies of the dead-drunk. The soldiers were left without orders, and their officers without that sanction of the magistracy

tions Men were talking lightly, all over the kingdom of the necessity of swamping the opposition of the peers, they were angry and with reason, with the living men who made the difficulty, and nobody contradicted them when they said that the extinction of the wisdom of these particular men in the national counsels would be no great loss but they did not consider that the existing Roden and Newcastle, and Eldon and Rolle, were not the great institution of the British House of Lords, whose function shone back through the history of a thousand years, and might shine onwards through a thousand years more, if the ignorance and selfishness of its existing majority could be overcome on the present occasion by a long patience and a large forbearance Lord Grey was the last man to degrade his 'order,' if the necessity could by any means be avoided It was his first object to carry the reform of the Commons, but it would well nigh have broken his heart to be compelled to do it through the degradation of the Lords At this time, while, from his silence, multitudes believed what they wished, and confidently expected a large creation of peers, it is now known that he had not yet proposed any such measure to the king

One consequence of the prevalence of an expectation of a batch of new peers, was the parting off from the obstructive Lords of a large number who were called the Waverers There is always such a set of people in such times and greatly do they always embarrass the calculations of the best informed These kept the issue in uncertainty up to the last moment On the one part were the honest and enlightened peers who saw that the end of borough corruption was come On the other part were the honest and unenlightened or the selfish, who would not have our institutions touched on any pretence whatever and between them now stood the Waverers, hoping to keep things as they were, but disposed to yield voluntarily, if they could not conquer, rather than be put down by an incursion of numbers

There was something unusually solemn in the meeting of parliament on the 6th of December ~~It may surprise~~ men now, and it will surprise men more hereafter to remark the tone of awe struck expectation in which men

of sober mind, of cheerful temper, and even of historical learning—that powerful antidote to temporary alarms—spoke and wrote of the winter of 1831–2. A government proclamation, issued on the 22nd of November, with the aim of putting down political unions, was found to be as ineffectual as such proclamations always are against associations which can change their rules and forms at pleasure. It appeared strange that the ministers should now begin to make war upon the unions, when their policy hitherto had been to let them alone; a policy befitting men able to learn by the experience of their predecessors in the case of the Catholic Association. There was a general feeling of disappointment, as at an inconsistency, when the proclamation appeared. It has since become known that the administration acted under another will than their own in this matter. In December, Lord Eldon had an interview with the Duke of Wellington, of which he wrote: ‘I sat with him near an hour, in deep conversation and most interesting. Letters *that he wrote to a great personage* produced the proclamation against the unions. But if parliament will not interfere further, the proclamation will be of little use—I think, of no use.’ It was certainly, at present, of no use. The National Union immediately put out its assertion that the proclamation did not apply to it, nor to the great majority of unions then in existence. So there sat the monstrous offspring of this strange time, vigilant, far-spreading, intelligent, and of incalculable force—a power believed in its season to be greater than that of King, Lords, and Commons; there it sat, watching them all, and ready to take up any duty which any one of them let drop, and force it back into the most reluctant hands. A dark demon was, at the same time, brooding over the land. It chills one’s heart now to read the cholera proclamations and orders of that year, and the suggestions of boards of health, to which men looked for comfort, but from which they received much alarm. Men were not then able to conceive of a mild plague; and ~~what~~ they had heard of the cholera, carried back their imaginations to the plagues of the middle ages. Among ~~many~~ ~~dis-~~ ~~mal~~ recommendations from authority, the one which it almost made the public ill

that the payment of taxes would cease, that other obligations of society would be disregarded, and that the ultimate consequence might be the utter extinction of the privileged orders.' Among the serious and solemn petitions which it is a duty to place upon record, there was a

and free to speak the truth among peers and cottagers, after declaring in regard to the bishops that he 'could not but blush to have seen so many dignitaries of the Church arrayed against the wishes and happiness of the people,' went on to say: 'As for the possibility of the House of Lords preventing ere long a reform of parliament, I hold it to be the most absurd notion that ever entered into human imagination. I do not mean to be disrespectful, but the attempt of the Lords to stop the progress of reform reminds me very forcibly of the great storm of Sidmouth, and of the occasion.

upon that the waves rushed in upon the houses, and everything was threatened with destruction. In the midst of this sublime and terrible storm, Dame Partington, who lived upon the beach, was seen at the door of her house with mop and pattens, trundling her mop, squeezing out the sea-water, and vigorously pushing away the Atlantic Ocean. The Atlantic was roused. Mrs. Partington's spirit was up; but I need not tell you that the contest was unequal. The Atlantic Ocean beat Mrs. Partington. She was excellent at a slop or a puddle, but she should not have meddled with a tempest. Gentlemen, be at your ease; be quiet and steady. You will

The congregation of 7th of May composed been ever held in Great Britain. The numbers did not fall short of 150,000. The hustings were erected at the bottom of the slope of Newhall Hill, in a position so favourable that the voices of most of the speakers reached

to the outskirts of the great assemblage, and to the throngs on the roofs of the surrounding houses. The unions poured in upon the ground in one wide unbroken stream, till the gazers were almost ready to ask one another whether this was not a convention of the nation itself. At the sound of the bugle from the hustings, silence was instantly produced; and Mr. Attwood, the chairman, announced to the assemblage the object of the meeting—to avow the unabated interest and resolute will of the people in the cause of reform, and their determination to support their excellent king and his patriotic ministers in carrying forward their great measure into law. While the chairman was speaking, the Bromsgrove Union, which arrived late, was seen approaching from afar. Their assembled brethren greeted them with the union hymn—deserving of record from being then familiar to every child in the land. It never was so sung before, nor after; for now, a hundred thousand voices pealed it forth in music which has never died away in the hearts of those who heard it. Seventy-four members of the Society of Friends—men of education, who had just joined the union on principle—might now know something of the power of music. A different order of men, who could not be on the ground—some soldiers of the Scots Greys who had quietly joined the union—must have listened from within their barracks with a longing to be on the hill. The Duke of Wellington was reckoning on their services to finish the business, after all; but the hymn seems to tell that the warlike intentions were wholly on one side.

UNION HYMN.

‘Lo! we answer! see, we come,
 Quick at Freedom’s holy call.
 We come! we come! we come! we come!
 To do the glorious work of all;
 And hark! we raise from sea to sea
 The sacred watchword, Liberty!
 God is our guide! from field, from wave,
 From plough, from anvil, and from loorⁿ,
 We come, our country’s rights to save,
 And speak a tyrant faction’s doom.
 And hark! we raise from sea to sea
 The sacred watchword, Liberty!

propose to do with the House of Commons. The present House would certainly never yield up the reform measure, and if, as was reported and believed, the present parliament was to be immediately dissolved, there could be no doubt that the people would return an overwhelming majority of reform members in the new elections.

The Common Council of the city of London were among the petitioners to parliament to refuse the supplies they declared that all concerned in stopping the passage of the Reform Bill were enemies to their country, and they appointed a permanent committee, to sit from day to day, till the measure should be secured. The Livery of London, assembled in Common Hall, adopted exactly the same course. There can be no doubt that both bodies held themselves ready to communicate and co-operate with the political unions which were expected to march up to London, in case of a prolongation of the struggle. Some of the smaller unions discussed plans of marching peaceably to the metropolis and bivouacking in the squares—there to wait till the Reform Bill should become law. The great Birmingham Union, now 200,000 strong, was to encamp on Hampstead Heath, or perhaps Penenden Heath, in order to incorporate with it bodies coming from the south. On the movements of this Birmingham Union, which had so lately uttered its sublime vow under the open sky, all eyes were now turned, and there is reason to believe that, what passed at Birmingham immediately determined the issue of this mighty contention.

Declarations began to appear in the Tory newspapers that all reports of the disaffection of the Scots Greys at Birmingham were mere fabrications of the reformers and that it was a gross and scandalous falsehood that the Duke of Wellington could not rely upon the soldiery. These declarations immediately showed men that such things had been said, and that the reports were considered of importance, and most people believed that they were true. Revelations have since been made which show that there was much truth in them. There had been talk of 'cold iron' on the Tory side, for some days, and the Duke of Wellington had been understood to stand pledged, since the 9th, 'to quiet the country in ten days,' and an

attempt at military government for the time was almost universally looked for. What the duke's intentions were precisely is not known, and perhaps it will never be known; but circumstances have been revealed which show that his reliance at first was more or less on the soldiery; and that he was informed of the vain nature of his reliance immediately before he gave up his enterprise. The earliest hours of his negotiation were employed in sending out feelers of the disposition of the new police; and Colonel Rowan's report was unsatisfactory. From two of the divisions the answer was, that if it was intended for the police to act against the people, they could not be relied on. There were some among the soldiery who reported of themselves to the same effect with the least possible delay, not even waiting to be questioned; and from a passage in a speech of a relative of the Duke of Wellington's on the 16th, it appears that the disinclination to oppose the people was concluded to be prevalent in the army. In the last preceding struggle, in October, the Duke of Wellington had said to Mr. Potter of Manchester, who represented the determination of the working-classes to have reform: 'The people of England are very quiet if they are left alone; and if they won't, there is a way to make them.' In the opinion of his relative, Mr. Wellesley, member for Essex, he was now, on the 16th of May, finding himself mistaken. Mr. Wellesley 'was sorry he had shown so much ignorance of the character of the British people, in supposing that they were not fit to be trusted with those liberties to which we, as reformers, say they are worthily entitled. He had told him so often; and he was astonished that a man of such intelligent mind—a man who had led them on through blood and battle, through danger to victory—should have so mistaken the character of the British people, as to suppose that the red coat could change the character of the man, or to imagine that the soldier was not a citizen.' Some of the yeomanry corps resigned during the critical interval; that of Ware being in such haste to declare themselves on the side of the people, that they assembled immediately on hearing of the retirement of the Whig ministry, and informed the Marquis of Salisbury of their resignations by sending them at midnight

the large majority of whom contemplate the present and the future in all their interests—to enjoy the signal proof now given of the continuous rise and expansion of the popular element in the nation but the most that could be expected from Lord Grey was to perceive and provide for the fact in the noblest and the simplest manner His associations were too much concerned with the past to admit of his rejoicing with an unmingled joy Many of us who rejoiced without drawback at the time, and held the strongest opinions of the folly and selfishness of the Tory peers, can now see that they really were much to be pitied, that it was true that ‘the balance of the constitution was destroyed,’ and that the change was something audacious and unheard of In as far as these things were true, the Conservative peers had a claim to the sympathy of all thoughtful persons in their regrets Their fault and folly lay—that fault and folly which deprived them of popular sympathy—in supposing that the operations of time could be resisted, and their own position maintained, by a mere refusal to give way They lost more than they need have done by a foolish and ungracious resistance, which served but to complete and to proclaim their humiliation It is a fact not to be denied, that, as the kingly power had before descended to a seat lower than that of parliament, the House of Peers now took rank in the government below the Commons It will ever stand in history that the House of Commons became the true governing power in Great Britain in 1832, and that from that date the other powers existed, not by their own strength, but by a general agreement founded on considerations as well of broad utility, as of decorum and ancient affection In as far as the House of Peers was now proved to be destined henceforward—as the royal function had for some time been—to exist only by consent of the people at large, it might be truly said that the constitution was destroyed, and the prime-minister who had conducted the process could not be insensible, even in the moment of his triumph to the seriousness and antiquarian melancholy of the fact however convinced he might be of the historical glories which were to arise out of it

By the Reform Bill, as passed the representative system of the British Islands became this

In England, the county constituencies, which had before been fifty-two, returning ninety-four members, were now increased by the division of counties to eighty-two constituencies, returning 159 members. In Ireland there was no change. In Scotland, the number of constituencies and members remained as before, but some shifting took place to secure a more equitable representation. The great increase in the county representation is the chief of those features which would soon cause the measure to be called—as Lords Grey and Althorp predicted—the most aristocratic measure that ever passed the House of Commons.

All boroughs whose population was, according to the census of 1831, under 2000, were disfranchised. Fifty-six English boroughs, which before returned 111 members, were thus extinguished as constituencies. Such boroughs as had a population under 4000, and had hitherto returned two representatives, were now to have one. These being thirty in number, thirty members were thus reduced. The united boroughs of Weymouth and Melcombe Regis were now to send two members instead of four; and thus was the total reduction of 143 old borough members provided for.

As the total number of representatives was not to be altered, as decided by the House of Commons, the 143 were to be distributed over new or newly arranged constituencies. New and large constituencies in England and Wales received 63. The metropolitan districts and other boroughs with a population of 25,000 and upwards, were now to return two members each; and these took up 22 more. The remaining 21 were to be returned by 21 boroughs whose population amounted to 12,000 and upwards. In Ireland, the increase of the representation was only from 35 to 39 members; with an additional member given to Dublin University. In Scotland, there was much redistribution of the franchise, and change in the formation of constituencies; and the number of town representatives was raised from 15 to 23.

There was much changing of boundaries where a population had grown up outside the old limits, and fix limits to the boroughs which had a large new popul-

pauper class, that the honest and independent of their order were drawn down faster and faster into pauperism, that the class of small shopkeepers were becoming, in increasing numbers unable to pay rates, and compelled, instead, to apply for relief, that country parishes were exhibiting themselves, with less and less shame, as scenes of unprincipled jobbing and scandalous vice, where every one who could, thrust his hand into the public purse, where the honest and independent became the victims of the knavish and reckless, where the unchaste might prosper while the chaste must starve, where the capitalists of the parish must sink under the collision between the magistracy and the paupers, and where ruin impended over all. The amount of money expended for the relief of the poor in England and Wales had risen in half a century from under two millions to above seven millions per annum, and this vast expenditure went to increase instead of to relieve the pauperism of the country. Here was this enormous tax, becoming ruinous by annual increase, less production from the land, less industry among the labourers, more vice, more misery, a great race of illegitimate children growing up, riots by day, fires by night, the stout heart of England sinking, and likely to be soon broken, and all from the existence of a poor law system for whose repeal or alteration there was no popular demand, while it was certain that every item of it would be clutched fast to the last moment by parties and persons the most difficult to deal with, from their lack of either enlightenment or public principle. Next to the reform question, the gravest of the new ministry

assurance upon the poor-rate by the resource of emigration, the question was, how was it to be done?—where were the people to go? The true principles of colonisation were on the eve of being pronounced, but they were not yet understood, and there was the story of the Swan River settlement, new and disheartening within every man's knowledge. The Swan River settlement dates from 1829 as a British colony. The accounts given of the district, on the western coast of New Holland, by Captain Stirling, who became its first

governor, caused the grandest expectations. And the fault of the failure did not lie in any deception about the natural advantages of the place. The fault was in ignorance of the first principles of colonisation. Vast tracts of land were sold or granted to individuals. The colony was to be exempted, as a favour, from any importation of convicts. The settlers were to be allowed 200 acres of land for every labouring man, woman, or child above ten years of age, that they should import into the colony; and forty acres of land were given, up to the end of 1830, for every amount of £3 imported into the settlement in any shape. Thus land superabounded in proportion to capital; and the capital brought in, though so scanty in proportion to the land, abounded in proportion to the labour. The richest of the colonists could obtain no labourers; and they sat down upon their lands, surrounded by their rotting goods, their useless tools, and the frames of houses which there were no hands to erect; without shelter, and certain soon to be without food, if more labour could not be obtained. Instead of more, there was daily less, as the few labourers who were on the spot made use of their first exorbitant earnings to possess themselves of enough of the cheap land to make them their own masters. Now it appeared that the secret of the success of other settlements, pitied for their liability to convict immigration, was in their convict labour; and the Swan River colonists petitioned the government at home to send them convicts to save them from destruction. Some of the settlers wandered away, as they could find opportunity, to other colonies, stript of everything, or carrying the mere wrecks of their expensive outfit, and declaring of the famous Swan River district, 'It is a country to break one's heart;' and, people at home heard such tales of perplexity and disaster as shook the popular confidence in emigration as a resource, and might well make the government hesitate in regarding it as a remedy, in any degree, for the intolerable pressure upon the poor-rate.

And what was the state of older colonies? The moral sense of the nation must be met in regard to the abolition of slavery. From the time of the issue circular in Canning's day—from the time t

all It may be said, and it was said, that a great part of the capacity for government consists in securing good service The Whig ministers pleaded that a man must himself understand the business he wants to have done before he can secure good service from fresh hands However this may be, the fact was that they were incessantly complaining of hardship and misadventure from this cause It is certain also that their power, popularity, and usefulness were seriously impaired by the imperfection of the work they produced, and the flaws in the schemes they proposed Perfection of detail might have sufficed in the
 ip, and com
 the impedi
 , where both

the compensating powers were absent, it is a strong proof how enthusiastic was the national trust that the Whig ministers were enabled to carry the noble series of reforms for which they have a claim to the acknowledgments of far future generations

CHAPTER VII.

Civil List—Pensions—Regal Income—Pauperism—Confusion of Poverty with Pauperism—New Poor-law—Its Principles—Its Machinery—Reception of the Measure—Its Passage and Operation—Factory Children

BEFORE the Wellington administration went out, the House of Commons had resolved that a select committee should examine the civil list, in order to separate the proper expenditure of the crown from a large and various expenditure of another kind which ought to be under the control of parliament, but was not so, from its coming arbitrarily under the head of civil list expenditure The king and his new ministers went heartily to work to carry out the pleasure of the Commons and correct the abuses of the old system The conduct of the sovereign on this occasion was very honourable to him As an honest, plain minded man, it was probably more satis

factory to him to have a certain defined income, paid and accounted for quarterly, than to be troubled with a dozen kinds of revenue, necessitating a vast complication of accounts, and causing him to be continually vexed with applications and complaints about pensions and fanciful claims, and harassed by periodical inquiries and censures in parliament about the pension list. He might see how much ease and relief he would gain by turning over the whole business to parliament for re-arrangement; but that he did see this from the station of the throne was such a proof of good sense, and the grace with which he surrendered everything to the judgment of his ministers and parliament was so entire, that his popularity was as much strengthened as it could be by any one act. He and the queen relinquished all their annuities; and he placed at the disposal of his faithful Commons his whole interest for life in all hereditary revenues, droits of the crown, and casual income from any source whatever, trusting to their judgment and affection to make sufficient provision for the dignity of the royal function, and for the comfort of himself and his consort.

Now was the time for the reformers and economists of the House to speak their minds about the pension list, and to learn all that could be told about it. From this time forward there was to be no more mystery about the granting of pensions. The yearly amount was to be fixed; and all secrecy was to be put an end to. There are many at this day who think it a matter of regret that the occasion was not used for establishing an honourable system of rewards for public service, not official, such as might befit a people now awakening to a sense of the value and dignity of science, literature, and art. For the best benefactors of society—its sages, philosophers, authors, and artists, men whose pursuits are the least likely to obtain pecuniary recompense—there is in England no appropriation worthy of government to offer, or of them to receive. The amount left at the disposal of the sovereign is destined for any kind or degree of real or imagined service, and is far too trifling to be of use in the encouragement of lofty pursuit, or the reward of service. It has to be offered with an apology.

shameless petitioner was no longer to carry home so many shillings or loaves for so many children, while his more honourable neighbour not only went without, but bore part of the cost. Henceforth the indigent must come into the workhouse for relief if he must have it. There stood the great house—with shelter, clothing, and food for the destitute who chose to claim it, but, in justice to the independent poor, and to society at large there were conditions belonging to this relief which ought never to have been objected to by reasonable persons however irksome they might and must be to the idle, dissolute and extremely ignorant, who form a large proportion of the pauper class. One condition was, that the able bodied should work—should do a certain amount of work for every meal. They might go out after the expiration of twenty four hours, but while in the house they must work. The men women, and children must be separated, and the able bodied and infirm. The separation of the men and women—husbands and wives among others—was absolutely necessary to common decency, in an establishment like a workhouse, and that of husbands and wives was required by every consideration of justice to the state, which could not rear a race of paupers within the workhouse, to the prevention of virtuous marriage without. That the aged and infirm should be separated from the able-bodied was necessary to their own quiet and comfort. Their diet included indulgences which others could not have, and the turbulence of sturdy paupers was no fit spectacle for them. That the children should be segregated was necessary to their moral safety and educational training. No part of the new law has occasioned more complaint and opposition than this workhouse classification and no part is more clearly defensible from every point of view, or more evidently necessary. Because the workhouses could not be permitted to be rookeries for pauper families to roost in, they were called prisons, though every man could go out with his family any day, and was kept in only by the inducement of a maintenance. As for the effects of the separation and training of the children, a curious light is thrown upon the subject by a discussion which took place a few years

after the reform was instituted—a discussion among certain barristers on circuit, a large number of whom were dining together, when some circumstance led them to compare their observations on workhouse schools. From the encouragement given to dissoluteness by the old poor-law, the first series of children in the workhouses of some of the rural districts were almost all illegitimate. The question discussed by these barristers was, what the effect on the disrepute of illegitimacy was likely to be, in the course of another generation, of the manifest superiority of the children educated in the workhouses over those of the neighbouring peasantry born in wedlock. The practical conclusion was, that the children of the independent labourers must be educated up to the workhouse schooling point, and as much beyond it as possible.

In order to a complete and economical classification in the workhouses, and for other obvious reasons, the new act provided for unions of parishes—the rating and expenditure of the rates remaining a separate concern. Thus, instead of half-a-dozen small, expensive, and ill-arranged establishments in as many different parishes, one central house, properly prepared for its purposes, would answer all objects, and be under a completely conspicuous management. To afford the necessary control over such a system—a system so new and unwelcome to a host of local authorities and managers—a central board was indispensable, by whose orders, and through whose assistant-commissioners, everything was to be arranged, and to whom all appeals were to be directed. The central board was to consist of three commissioners; and the assistant-commissioners were at first twenty-one, diminishing to nine as the new organisation was completed. No change was proposed in regard to the rateability of property, or the mode of collecting the rate. The business of the new act was with the application of the rate when collected. The distribution was left to guardians and select vestries; and, in the absence of these authorities, to overseers. The discretionary power of magistrates was much contracted, none being left which could interfere with the main aim of the reform—the subordinating the condition of the pauper to that of the independent labourer.

must be remembered—to deal with the needs of our large manufacturing towns, as any other poor law would have been. Of this we shall have to speak under its own date, as also of the changes found to be necessary in the application of the Amendment Act, but, from first to last, its

administration, and of the pregnant victories of the peace.

The poor law inquiry was not the only one which disclosed facts of guilt and misery in our social state which might have lain concealed under the excitements of war, but which became gradually revealed amidst the quietude of peace. The poor-law commissioners had discovered how brutal and wretched was the condition of the children of rural labourers in too many districts of the country, of children who struggled with the pigs for food during the day—doing nothing useful, learning nothing which raised them above the beasts of the field, and at night huddled down on damp straw, under a roof of rotten thatch, or went out to carry poached game, or fire the farmers' stacks. Another picture, equally mournful, was presented from the factory districts. Throughout the manufacturing districts, in ordinary years, there ought to be a sufficient provision for all who are not behind their times, like the poor handloom weaver, who would have power-looms put down, to give them work. Such cannot be effectually aided, but among other classes, if there were sense, knowledge, and goodness, there need have been no poverty at the time we speak of. This knowledge and goodness, however, are what the nation has taken no pains to cultivate in the mass, and to diffuse among the classes which are least able to desire them for themselves, and hence has arisen the misery—the unspeakable disgrace, of the corruption of the parental relation among large numbers of our people. At the time now under review, it became known that parents sold their children to excessive labour, and it has since become known that a considerable number have sold them to death through the burial-clubs—actually poisoned them for the sake of the burial money, after entering the clubs for the very purpose. When Mr

Sadler and Lord Ashley brought forward the subject of the oppression of the factory children in 1833, the question of legal protection to these children was as difficult a one as could be brought under the notice of any ministry and parliament. It is admitted by the most sagacious to be an insoluble difficulty. By guilty neglect we had brought ourselves into an inextricable embarrassment, which has become only more apparent, and not less perplexing to deal with, during all the discussion which has taken place from that day to this. Amidst much legislation which has been ventured upon, the question is apparently as far as ever from being settled—the great question, whether effectual legislation is possible between parents and children, and in defiance of the great natural laws which regulate the operation of labour and capital. By our guilty neglect we had placed in abeyance the still greater natural laws of the human heart, which alone can overrule economical laws; and now we were reduced to try the fearful experiment whether, by interposing thus late with feeble arbitrary decrees and arrangements, we were likely to mitigate or aggravate the existing evil.

Here were children—little creatures whose life should have been spent in growing, in body and mind—employed all day and far into the night, in the monotonous and stupefying work of spinning in the mills. Most of the mills were found to be fairly wholesome; the owners were not oppressors; the pay was good; the work was not in itself severe, or otherwise objectionable; and all representations of the case as, generally speaking, worse than this, were found to be untrue. But it was too true that the parents let out their children to that class of middlemen, the spinners, from whom neither the care of parents nor the consideration of educated masters was to be looked for; and the children were kept too long standing—too long awake—too long on the stretch over work which was not in itself of a hurtful nature. People who thought only of the children's instant welfare, and not of the considerations of justice and of actual practicability with which the case was complicated, clamoured for a law which should restrict the hours of labour, and determine the ages of the persons who should be employed in the

ARISTOTLES Nicomachean Ethics Translated, with Introduction and Notes by the Venerable Archdeacon Browne 5s

— Politics and Economics Translated by E. Walford, M.A., with Introduction by Dr. Gillies 5s

— Metaphysics Translated by the Rev. John H. M. Mahon, M.A. 5s

— History of Animals Translated by Richard Cresswell, M.A. 5s

— Organon or, Logical Treatises, and the Introduction of Porphyry Translated by the Rev. O. F. Owen, M.A. 2 vols 3s 6d each

— Rhetoric and Poetics Translated by T. Buckley, B.A. 5s

ARRIANS Anabasis of Alexander together with the Indica Translated by E. J. Chinnock, M.A., LL.D. With Maps and Plans 5s

ATHENÆUS The Deipnosophists, or, the Banquet of the Learned Translated by Prof. C. D. Yonge, M.A. 3 vols 5s each

BACON'S Moral and Historical Works including the Essays, Apophthegms, Wisdom of the Ancients, New Atlantis, Henry VII., Henry VIII., Elizabeth, Henry Prince of Wales, History of Great Britain, Julius Cæsar, and Augustus Cæsar Edited by J. Devey, M.A. 3s 6d

— Novum Organum and Advancement of Learning Edited by J. Devey, M.A. 5s

BALLADS AND SONGS of the Peasantry of England Edited by Robert Bell 3s 6d

BASS'S Lexicon to the Greek Testament. 2s

BAX'S Manual of the History of Philosophy for the use of Students By E. Belfort Bax 5s

BEAUMONT and FLETCHER their finest Scenes, Lyrics, and other Beauties, selected from the whole of their works, and edited by Leigh Hunt 3s 6d

BECHSTEIN'S Cage and Chamber Birds their Natural History, Habits, Food, Diseases, and Modes of Capture Translated, with considerable additions on Structure, Migration, and Economy by H. G. Adams Together with SWEET BRITISH WARDROBE With 43 coloured Plates and Woodcut Illustrations 5s

BECKMANN (J.) History of Inventions Discoveries and Origins 4th edition revised by W. Francis and J. W. Griffith 2 vols 3s 6d each

BEDES (Venerable) Ecclesiastical History of England. Together with the ANGLO-SAXON CHRONICLE Edited by J. A. Giles, D.C.L. With Map. 5s

BELL (Sir Charles) The Anatomy and Philosophy of Expression, as connected with the Fine Arts By Sir Charles Bell R.S. 7th edition revised 5s

BERKELEY (George) Bishop of Cloyne The Works of Edited by George Sampson With Biographical Introduction by the Right Hon. A. J. Balfour, M.P. 3 vols. 5s each

B'ION See THEOCRITUS

BJORNSSON'S Arne and the Fisher Lassie Translated by W. H. Low, M.A. 3s 6d

BLAIR'S Chronological Tables Revised and enlarged Comprehending the Chronology and History

history of the World, from the Earliest Times to the Russian Treaty of Peace, April 1856. By J. Wilmoughby Rosse. Double vol. 10s.

BLAIR'S Index of Dates. Comprehending the principal Facts in the Chronology and History of the World, alphabetically arranged; being a complete Index to Blair's Chronological Tables. By J. W. Rosse. 2 vols. 5s. each.

BLEEK, Introduction to the Old Testament. By Friedrich Bleek. Edited by Johann Bleek and Adolf Kamphausen. Translated by G. H. Venables, under the supervision of the Rev. Canon Venables. 2 vols. 5s. each.

BOETHIUS'S Consolation of Philosophy. King Alfred's Anglo-Saxon Version of. With a literal English Translation on opposite pages, Notes, Introduction, and Glossary, by Rev. S. Fox, M.A. 5s.

BOHN'S Dictionary of Poetical Quotations. 4th edition. 6s.

— **Handbooks of Athletic Sports.** In 8 vols., each containing numerous Illustrations. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Cricket, Lawn Tennis, Tennis, Rackets, Fives, Golf.

II.—Rowing and Sculling, Sailing, Swimming.

III.—Boxing, Broadsword, Single Stick, &c., Wrestling, Fencing.

IV.—Rugby Football, Association Football, Baseball, Rounders, Fieldball, Quoits, Skittles, Bowls, Curling.

V.—Cycling, Athletics, Skating.

VI.—Practical Horsemanship, including Riding for Ladies.

VII.—Camping Out, Canoeing.

VIII.—Gymnastics, Indian Clubs.

BOHN'S Handbooks of Games. New edition. In 2 vols., with numerous Illustrations 3s. 6d. each.

Vol. I.—**TABLE GAMES**:—Billiards, Chess, Draughts, Backgammon, Dominoes, Solitaire, Reversi, Go-Bang, Rouge et Noir, Roulette, E.O., Hazard, Faro.

Vol. II.—**CARD GAMES**:—Whist, Solo Whist, Poker, Piquet, Ecarté, Euchre, Bézique, Cribbage, Loo, Vingt-et-un, Napoleon, Newmarket, Pope Joan, Speculation, &c., &c.

BOND'S A Handy Book of Rules and Tables for verifying Dates with the Christian Era, &c. Giving an account of the Chief Eras and Systems used by various Nations; with the easy Methods for determining the Corresponding Dates. By J. J. Bond. 5s.

BONOMI'S Nineveh and its Palaces. 7 Plates and 294 Woodcut Illustrations. 5s.

BOSWELL'S Life of Johnson, with the TOUR IN THE HEBRIDES and JOHNSONIANA. Edited by the Rev. A. Napier, M.A. With Frontispiece to each vol. 6 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BRAND'S Popular Antiquities of England, Scotland, and Ireland. Arranged, revised, and greatly enlarged, by Sir Henry Ellis, K.H., F.R.S., &c., &c. 3 vols. 5s. each.

BREMER'S (Frederika) Works. Translated by Mary Howitt. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BRIDGWATER TREATISES
Bell (Sir Charles) on the Hand
With numerous Woodcuts
Kirby on the History, Education
and Instincts of Animals
Edited by T. Ross
With upwards of 100 Woodcuts
2 vols. 5s.

BRIDGWATER TREATISES *continued*

Ridd on the Adaptation of External Nature to the Physical Condition of Man 3s 6d

Chalmers on the Adaptation of External Nature to the Moral and Intellectual Constitution of Man 5s

BRINK (B ten) Early English Literature By Bernhard ten Brink Vol I To Wyclif Translated by Horace M Kennedy 3s 6d

Vol II Wyclif, Chaucer, Earliest Drama Renaissance Translated by W Clarke Robinson, Ph D 3s 6d

Vol III From the Fourteenth Century to the Death of Surrey Edited by Dr Alois Brandl Translated by L Dora Schmitz 3s 6d

— Five Lectures on Shakespeare Translated by Julia Franklin 3s 6d

BROWNE'S (Sir Thomas) Works Edited by Simon Wilkin 3 vols. 3s 6d each

BUCHANAN'S Dictionary of Science and Technical Terms used in Philosophy, Literature, Professions, Commerce, Arts, and Trades 6s

BURKE'S Works. 8 vols. 3s 6d each

I — Vindication of Natural Society — Essay on the Sublime and Beautiful and various Political Miscellanies

II — Reflections on the French Revolution — Letters relating to the Bristol Election — Speech on Fox's East India Bill, &c

BURKE'S WORKS *continued*

III — Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs — On the Nabob of Arcot's Debts — The Catholic Claims, &c

IV — Report on the Affairs of India and Articles of Charge against Warren Hastings.

V — Conclusion of the Articles of Charge against Warren Hastings — Political Letters on the American War, on a Regicide Peace, to the Empress of Russia

VI — Miscellaneous Speeches — Letters and Fragments — Abridgments of English History, &c With a General Index

VII & VIII — Speeches on the Impeachment of Warren Hastings, and Letters. With Index 2 vols. 3s 6d each

— Life By Sir J Prior 3s 6d

BURNEY'S Evelina By Frances Burney (Mme D Arlway) With an Introduction and Notes by A R Ellis. 3s 6d

— Cecilia With an Introduction and Notes by A R Ellis. 2 vols 3s 6d each

BURN (R) Ancient Rome and its Neighbourhood An Illustrated Handbook to the Ruins in the City and the Campagna, for the use of Travellers By Robert Burn M A With numerous Illustrations Maps, and Plans 7s 6d

BURNS (Robert) Life of By J G Lockhart D C L A new and enlarged Edition by William Scott Douglas 3s 6d

BURTON'S (Robert) *Anatomy of Melancholy*. Edited by the Rev. A. R. Shilleto, M.A. With Introduction by A. H. Bullen, and full Index. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

BURTON (Sir R. F.) *Personal Narrative of a Pilgrimage to Al-Madinah and Meccah*. By Captain Sir Richard F. Burton, K.C.M.G. With an Introduction by Stanley Lane-Poole, and all the original Illustrations. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

* * * This is the copyright edition, containing the author's latest notes

BUTLER'S (Bishop) *Analogy of Religion, Natural and Revealed, to the Constitution and Course of Nature*; together with two Dissertations on Personal Identity and on the Nature of Virtue, and Fifteen Sermons. 3s. 6d.

BUTLER'S (Samuel) *Hudibras*. With Variorum Notes, a Biography, Portrait, and 28 Illustrations. 5s.

— or, further Illustrated with 60 Outline Portraits. 2 vols. 5s. each.

CÆSAR. *Commentaries on the Gallic and Civil Wars*. Translated by W. A. McDevitte, B.A. 5s.

CAMOËNS' *Lusiad*; or, the Discovery of India. An Epic Poem. Translated by W. J. Mickle. 5th Edition, revised by E. R. Hodges, M.C.P. 3s. 6d.

CARAFAS (The) of Maddaloni. Naples under Spanish Dominion. Translated from the German of Alfred de Reumont. 3s. 6d.

CARLYLE'S *Sartor Resartus*. With 75 Illustrations by Edmund J. Sullivan. 5s.

CARPENTER'S (Dr. W. B.) *Zoology*. Revised Edition, by W. S. Dallas, F.L.S. With very numerous Woodcuts. Vol. I. 6s.
[Vol. II. out of print.]

CARPENTER'S *Mechanical Philosophy, Astronomy, and Horology*. 181 Woodcuts. 5s.

— *Vegetable Physiology and Systematic Botany*. Revised Edition, by E. Lankester, M.D., &c. With very numerous Woodcuts. 6s.

— *Animal Physiology*. Revised Edition. With upwards of 300 Woodcuts. 6s.

CASTLE (E.) *Schools and Masters of Fence, from the Middle Ages to the End of the Eighteenth Century*. By Egerton Castle, M.A., F.S.A. With a Complete Bibliography. Illustrated with 140 Reproductions of Old Engravings and 6 Plates of Swords, showing 114 Examples. 6s.

CATTERMOLE'S *Evenings at Haddon Hall*. With 24 Engravings on Steel from designs by Cattermole, the Letterpress by the Baroness de Carabella. 5s.

CATULLUS, Tibullus, and the Vigil of Venus. A Literal Prose Translation. 5s.

CELLINI (Benvenuto). *Memoirs of*, written by Himself. Translated by Thomas Roscoe. 3s. 6d.

CERVANTES' *Don Quixote de la Mancha*. Motteaux's Translation revised. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— *Galatea*. A Pastoral Romance. Translated by G. W. J. Gyll. 3s. 6d.

— *Exemplary Novels*. Translated by Walter K. Kelly. 3s. 6d.

CHAUCER'S *Poetical Works*. Edited by Robert Bell. Revised Edition, with a Preliminary Essay by Prof. W. V. vols. 3s. 6d.

CHESS CONGRESS of 1862

A Collection of the Games played
 Edited by J Lowenthal 5s

CHEVREUL on Colour Trans

lated from the French by Charles
 Martel Third Edition, with
 Plates, 5s, or with an additional
 series of 16 Plates in Colours,
 7s 6d

CHILLINGWORTH S Religion

of Protestants A Safe Way to
 Salvation 3s 6d

CHINA, Pictorial Descriptive

and Historical With Map and
 nearly 100 Illustrations 5s

CHRONICLES OF THE CRU

SADES Contemporary Narra
 tives of the Crusade of Richard
 Cœur de Lion, by Richard of
 Devizes and Geoffrey de Vinsauf
 and of the Crusade at St Louis,
 by Lord John de Joinville 5s

CICERO'S Orations Translated

by Prof C D Yonge, M A 4
 vols. 5s each

— Letters Translated by Evelyn
 S Shuckburgh 4 vols 5s each

— On Oratory and Orators
 With Letters to Quintus and
 Brutus Translated by the Rev
 J S Watson, M A 5s

— On the Nature of the Gods
 Divination, Fate, Laws &c
 public, Consulship Translated
 by Prof C D Yonge, M A, and
 Francis Farham 5s

— Academies, De Finibus, and
 Tusculan Questions By Prof
 C D Yonge M A 5s

CICERO'S Offices or, Moral

Duties Cato Major, an Essay
 on Old Age, Laelius, an Essay
 on Friendship, Scipio's Dream
 Paradoxes Letter to Quintus on
 Magistracies Translated by C R
 Fennell 3s 6d

CORNELIUS NEPOS See

Justin

CLARK'S (Hugh) Introduction

to Heraldry 18th Edition, Re
 vised and Enlarged by J R
 Planché, Rouge Croix With
 nearly 1000 Illustrations 5s Or
 with the Illustrations Coloured,
 15s

CLASSIC TALES containing

Rasselas Vicar of Wakefield,
 Gulliver's Travels, and The Senti
 mental Journey 3s 6d

COLERIDGES (S T) Friend

A Series of Essays on Morals,
 Politics and Religion 3s 6d

— Aids to Reflection and the
 CONFESSIONS OF AN INQUIRING
 SPIRIT, to which are added the
 ESSAYS ON FAITH and the BOOK
 OF COMMON PRAYERS 3s 6d

— Lectures and Notes on
 Shakespeare and other English
 Poets Edited by T Ashe 3s 6d

— Biographia Literaria to
 gether with Two Lay Sermons
 3s 6d

— Table Talk and Omniana
 Edited by T Ashe B A 3s 6d

— Miscellanies Aesthetic and
 Literary to which is added
 THE THEORY OF LIFE Col
 lected and arranged by T Ashe
 B A 3s 6d

COMTES Positive Philosophy

Translated and condensed by
 Harriet Martineau With Intro
 duction by Frederic Harrison
 3 vols. 5s each

COMTES Philosophy of the

Sciences being an Exposition of
 the Principles of the Cours de
 Philosophie Positive By G ff
 Lewes. 5s

CONDÉ'S History of the Do

minion of the Arabs in Spain
 Translated by Mrs Foster 3
 vols. 3s 6d each

COOPER'S Biographical Dictionary. Containing Concise Notices (upwards of 15,000) of Eminent Persons of all Ages and Countries. By Thompson Cooper, F.S.A. With a Supplement, bringing the work down to 1883. 2 vols. 5s. each.

COXE'S Memoirs of the Duke of Marlborough. With his original Correspondence. By W. Coxe, M.A., F.R.S. Revised edition by John Wade. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

* * * An Atlas of the plans of Marlborough's campaigns, 4to. 10s. 6d.

— History of the House of Austria (1218–1792). With a Continuation from the Accession of Francis I. to the Revolution of 1848. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

CRAIK'S (G. L.) Pursuit of Knowledge under Difficulties. Illustrated by Anecdotes and Memoirs. Revised edition, with numerous Woodcut Portraits and Plates. 5s.

CRUIKSHANK'S Punch and Judy. The Dialogue of the Puppet Show; an Account of its Origin, &c. With 24 Illustrations, and Coloured Plates, designed and engraved by G. Cruikshank. 5s.

CUNNINGHAM'S Lives of the Most Eminent British Painters. A New Edition, with Notes and Sixteen fresh Lives. By Mrs. Heaton. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

DANTE. Divine Comedy. Translated by the Rev. H. F. Cary, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— Translated into English Verse by I. C. Wright, M.A. 3rd Edition, revised. With Portrait, and 34 Illustrations on Steel, after Flaxman.

DANTE. The Inferno. A Literal Prose Translation, with the Text of the Original printed on the same page. By John A. Carlyle, M.D. 5s.

— The Purgatorio. A Literal Prose Translation, with the Text printed on the same page. By W. S. Dugdale. 5s.

DE COMMINES (Philip), Memoirs of. Containing the Histories of Louis XI. and Charles VIII., Kings of France, and Charles the Bold, Duke of Burgundy. Together with the Scandalous Chronicle, or Secret History of Louis XI., by Jean de Troyes. Translated by Andrew R. Scoble. With Portraits. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

DEFOE'S Novels and Miscellaneous Works. With Prefaces and Notes, including those attributed to Sir W. Scott. 7 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Captain Singleton, and Colonel Jack.

II.—Memoirs of a Cavalier, Captain Carleton, Dickory Cronke, &c.

III.—Moll Flanders, and the History of the Devil.

IV.—Roxana, and Life of Mrs. Christian Davies.

V.—History of the Great Plague of London, 1665; The Storm (1703); and the True-born Englishman.

VI.—Duncan Campbell, New Voyage round the World, and Political Tracts.

VII.—Robinson Crusoe.

DE LOLME on the Constitution of England. Macgregor. 3s

- DEMMINS** *History of Arms and Armour from the Earliest Period* By Auguste Demmin Translated by C C Black, M A With nearly 2000 Illustrations. 7s 6d
- DEMOSTHENES** *Orationes* Translated by C Rann Kennedy 5 vols. Vol I 3s 6d, Vols II-V, 5s each
- DE STAELS** *Corinne or Italy* By Madame de Staël Translated by Emily Baldwin and Paulina Driver 3s 6d
- DEVEY'S** *Logic or the Science of Inference* A Popular Manual By J Devey 5s
- DICTIONARY** of Latin and Greek Quotations including Proverbs Maxims Mottoes Law Terms and Phrases With all the Quantities marked, and English Translations With Index Verborum (622 pages) 5s
- DICTIONARY** of Obsolete and Provincial English. Compiled by Thomas Wright, M A, F S A, &c 2 vols 5s each
- DIDRON'S** *Christian Iconography* a History of Christian Art in the Middle Ages Translated by E J Millington and completed by Margaret Stokes With 240 Illustrations 2 vols 5s each
- DIOGENES LAERTIUS** *Lives and Opinions of the Ancient Philosophers* Translated by Prof C D Yonge, M A 5s
- DOBREES** *Adversaria* Edited by the late Prof Wagner 2 vols 5s each
- DODD'S** *Epigrammatists*. A Selection from the Epigrammatic Literature of Ancient Medæval and Modern Times. By the Rev Henry Philip Dodd, M A Oxford 2nd Edition, revised and enlarged 6s
- DONALDSON'S** *The Theatre of the Greeks* A Treatise on the History and Exhibition of the Greek Drama. With numerous Illustrations and 3 Plans By John William Donaldson, D D 5s
- DRAPER'S** *History of the Intellectual Development of Europe* By John William Draper M D, LL D 2 vols 5s each
- DUNLOP'S** *History of Fiction*. A new Edition Revised by Henry Wilson 2 vols 5s each
- DYER (Dr T H)** *Pompeii its Buildings and Antiquities* By T H Dyer, LL D With nearly 300 Wood Engravings, a large Map and a Plan of the Forum 7s 6d
- *The City of Rome its History and Monuments* With Illustrations 5s
- DYER (T F T)** *British Popular Customs Present and Past*. An Account of the various Games and Customs associated with Different Days of the Year in the British Isles arranged according to the Calendar By the Rev T F Threlton Dyer, M A 5s
- EARLY TRAVELS IN PALESTINE** Edited by Thomas Wright M A With Map of Jerusalem 5s
- EBERS** *Egyptian Princess*. An Historical Novel By George Ebers. Translated by J S Buchheim 3s 6d
- EDGEWORTH'S** *Stories for Children* With 8 Illustrations by L Speed 3s 6d
- ELZES** *William Shakespeare* See SHAKESPEARE
- EMERSON'S** *Works* 3 vols 3s 6d each
- I — *Essays, Lectures and Poems*
- II — *English Traits Nature and Conduct of Life*

EMERSON'S WORKS *continued*.

III.—Society and Solitude—Letters and Social aims—Miscellaneous Papers (hitherto uncollected)—May Day, and other Poems.

ELLIS (G.) Specimens of Early English Metrical Romances. With an Historical Introduction on the Rise and Progress of Romantic Composition in France and England. Revised Edition. By J. O. Halliwell, F.R.S. 5s.

ENNEMOSER'S History of Magic. Translated by William Howitt. 2 vols. 5s. each.

EPICTETUS. The Discourses of. With the ENCHEIRIDION and Fragments. Translated by George Long, M.A. 5s.

EURIPIDES. A New Literal Translation in Prose. By E. P. Coleridge, M.A. 2 vols. 5s. each.

EUTROPIUS.—See JUSTIN.

EUSEBIUS PAMPHILUS, Ecclesiastical History of. Translated by Rev. C. F. Cruse, M.A. 5s.

EVELYN'S Diary and Correspondence. Edited from the Original MSS. by W. Bray, F.A.S. With 45 engravings. 4 vols. 5s. each.

FAIRFOLTS'S Costume in England. A History of Dress to the end of the Eighteenth Century. 3rd Edition, revised, by Viscount Dillon, V.P.S.A. Illustrated with above 700 Engravings. 2 vols. 5s. each.

FIELDING'S Adventures of Joseph Andrews and his Friend Mr. Abraham Adams. With Cruikshank's Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

— History of Tom Jones, a Foundling. With Cruikshank's Illustrations. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Amelia. With Cruikshank's Illustrations. 5s.

FLAXMAN'S Lectures on Sculpture. By John Flaxman, R.A. With Portrait and 53 Plates. 6s.

FLORENCE of WORCESTER'S Chronicle, with the Two Continuations: comprising Annals of English History, from the Departure of the Romans to the Reign of Edward I. Translated by Thomas Forester, M.A. 5s.

FOSTER'S (John) Life and Correspondence. Edited by J. E. Ryland. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Critical Essays. Edited by J. E. Ryland. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Essays: on Decision of Character; on a Man's writing Memoirs of Himself; on the epithet Romantic; on the aversion of Men of Taste to Evangelical Religion. 3s. 6d.

— Essays on the Evils of Popular Ignorance; to which is added, a Discourse on the Propagation of Christianity in India. 3s. 6d.

— Essays on the Improvement of Time. With NOTES of SERMONS and other Pieces. 3s. 6d.

— Fosteriana. Selected and Edited by Henry G. Bohn. 3s. 6d.

GASPARY'S History of Italian Literature. Translated by Herman Oelsner, M.A., Ph.D. Vol. I. 3s. 6d.

GEOFFREY OF MONMOUTH, Chronicle of. — See *Six O. E. Chronicles*.

GESTA ROMANORUM, or Entertaining Moral Stories invented by the Monks. Translated by the Rev. Charles Swan. Revised Edition, by Wynnard Hooper, B.A. 5s.

GILDAS, CL.
O. E. Ch.

- GIBBON'S** Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire Complete and Unabridged with Variorum Notes Edited by an English Churchman With 2 Maps and Portrait 7 vols. 3s 6d each
- GILBERT'S** History Principles and Practice of Banking By the late J W Gilbert FRS New Edition, revised by A S Michie 2 vols 10s
- GIL BLAS** The Adventures of Translated from the French of Lesage by Smollett With 24 Engravings on Steel after Smirke and 10 Etchings by George Cruikshank 6s
- GIRALDUS CAMBRENSIS** Historical Works Translated by Th Forester MA, and Sir R Colt Hoare Revised Edition Edited by Thomas Wright MA, FSA 5s
- GOETHE'S** Faust Part I German Text with Hayward's Prose Translation and Notes Revised by C A. Buchheim, Ph D 5s
- GOETHE'S** Works Translated into English by various hands 14 vols 3s 6d each
- I and II—Autobiography and Annals
- III—Faust Two Parts, complete (Swanwick)
- IV—Novels and Tales
- V—Wilhelm Meister's Apprenticeship
- VI—Conversations with Eckermann and Soret
- VIII—Dramatic Works
- IX—Wilhelm Meister's Travels
- X—Tour in Italy, and Second Residence in Rome
- XI—Miscellaneous Travels.
- XII—Early and Miscellaneous Letters.
- XIII—Correspondence with Zelter
- XIV—Reineke Fox, West Eastern Divan and Achilleid
- GOLDSMITH'S** Works A new Edition, by J W M Cribb 5 vols 3s 6d each
- GRAMMONT'S** Memoirs of the Court of Charles II Edited by Sir Walter Scott Together with the BOSCOBEL TRACTS, including two not before published, &c New Edition 5s
- GRAYS** Letters Including the Correspondence of Gray and Mason Edited by the Rev D C. Tovey, MA Vol I 3s 6d [Vol II in the press]
- GREEK ANTHOLOGY** Translated by George Burges, MA 5s
- GREEK ROMANCES** of Heliodorus Longus and Achilles Tatius—viz, The Adventures of Theagenes & Chariclea, Amours of Daphnis and Chloe and Loves of Clitopho and Leucippe Translated by Rev R Smith, MA. 5s
- GREGORY'S** Letters on the Evidences Doctrines & Duties of the Christian Religion. By Dr Olinthus Gregory 3s 6d
- GREENE MARLOWE, and BEN JONSON** Poems of. Edited by Robert Bell 3s 6d
- GRIMM'S TALES** With the Notes of the Original Translated by Mrs A Hunt With Introduction by Andrew Lang MA 2 vols. 3s 6d each
- Gammer Gretel or German Fairy Tales and Popular Stories. Containing 42 Fairy Tales. Trans by Edgar Taylor With numerous Woodcuts after George Cruikshank and Ludwig Grimm 3s 6d
- GROSSI & Marco Visconti** Translated by A F B The Ballads rendered in English Verse by C. M. I 3s 6d

GUIZOT'S History of the English Revolution of 1840. From the Accession of Charles I. to his Death. Translated by William Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

— History of Civilisation, from the Fall of the Roman Empire to the French Revolution. Translated by William Hazlitt. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

HALL'S (Rev. Robert) Miscellaneous Works and Remains. 3s. 6d.

HAMPTON COURT: A Short History of the Manor and Palace. By Ernest Law, B.A. With numerous Illustrations. 5s.

HARDWICK'S History of the Articles of Religion. By the late C. Hardwick. Revised by the Rev. Francis Procter, M.A. 5s.

HAUFF'S Tales. The Caravan—The Sheik of Alexandria—The Inn in the Spessart. Trans. from the German by S. Mendel. 3s. 6d.

HAWTHORNE'S Tales 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Twice-told Tales, and the Snow Image.

II.—Scarlet Letter, and the House with the Seven Gables.

III.—Transformation [The Marble Faun], and Blithedale Romance.

IV.—Mosses from an Old Manse.

HAZLITT'S Table-talk. Essays on Men and Manners. By W. Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

— Lectures on the Literature of the Age of Elizabeth and on Characters of Shakespeare's Plays 3s. 6d.

— Lectures on the English Poets, and on the English Comic Writers. 3s. 6d.

— The Plain Speaker. Opinions on Books, Men, and Things. 3s. 6d.

— Round Table. 3s. 6d.

HAZLITT'S Sketches and Essays. 3s. 6d.

— The Spirit of the Age; or, Contemporary Portraits. Edited by W. Carew Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

HEATON'S Concise History of Painting. New Edition, revised by Cosmo Monkhouse. 5s.

HEGEL'S Lectures on the Philosophy of History. Translated by J. Sibree, M.A.

HEINE'S Poems, Complete. Translated by Edgar A. Bowring, C.B. 3s. 6d.

— Travel-Pictures, including the Tour in the Harz, Norderney, and Book of Ideas, together with the Romantic School. Translated by Francis Storr. A New Edition, revised throughout. With Appendices and Maps. 3s. 6d.

HELP'S Life of Christophor Columbus, the Discoverer of America. By Sir Arthur Helps. K.C.B. 3s. 6d.

HELP'S Life of Hernando Cortes, and the Conquest of Mexico. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Life of Pizarro. 3s. 6d.

— Life of Las Casas the Apostle of the Indies. 3s. 6d.

HENDERSON (E.) Select Historical Documents of the Middle Ages, including the most famous Charters relating to England, the Empire, the Church, &c., from the 6th to the 14th Centuries. Translated from the Latin and edited by Ernest F. Henderson, A.B., A.M., Ph.D. 5s.

HENFREY'S Guide to English Coins, from the Conquest to the present time. New and revised Edition by C. F. Keary, M.A., F.S.A. 6s.

HENRY OF HUNTINGDON'S History of the English. Translated by T. Forester, M.A. 5s.

- HENRY'S** (Matthew) Exposition of the Book of the Psalms. 5s
- HELIODORUS** Theagenes and Chariclea. — See **GREEK ROMANCES.**
- HERODOTUS** Translated by the Rev Henry Cary, M.A. 3s 6d
- Notes on. Original and selected from the best Commentators. By D. W. Turner, M.A. With Coloured Map. 5s
- Analysis and Summary of. By J. T. Wheeler. 5s
- HESIOD CALLINACHUS and THEOGNIS** Translated by the Rev J. Banks, M.A. 5s
- HOFFMANN'S** (E. T. W.) The Serapion Brethren. Translated from the German by Lt. Col. Alex. Living. 2 vols. 3s 6d each
- HOLBEIN'S** Dance o' Death and Bible Cuts. Upwards of 150 Subjects, engraved in facsimile, with Introduction and Descriptions by Francis Douce and Dr. Thomas Frothingham Dibden. 5s
- HOMER'S** Iliad. Translated into English Prose by T. A. Buckley, B.A. 5s
- Odyssey. Hymns, Epigrams and Battle of the Frogs and Vices. Translated into English Prose by T. A. Buckley, B.A. 5s
- See also **PORK.**
- HOOPERS** (G.) Waterloo. The Downfall of the First Napoleon. A History of the Campaign of 1815. By George Hooper. With Maps and Plans. 3s 6d
- The Campaign of Sedan. The Downfall of the Second Empire, August–September 1870. With General Map and Six Plans of Battle. 3s 6d
- HORACE** A new literal Prose translation, by A. Hamilton Dryce, LL.D. 3s. 6d.
- HUGOS** (Victor) Dramatic Works. Hernani—Ruy Blas—The King's Diversion. Translated by Mrs. Newton Croeland and F. L. Slove. 3s 6d
- Poems, chiefly Lyrical. Translated by various Writers now first collected by J. H. L. Williams. 3s 6d.
- HUMBOLDT'S** Cosmos. Translated by E. C. Otté, B. H. Paul and W. S. Dallas, F.R.S. 5 vols. 3s 6d each, excepting Vol. V. 5s.
- Personal Narrative of his Travels to the Equinoctial Regions of America during the years 1799–1804. Translated by T. Ross. 3 vols. 5s each
- Views of Nature. Translated by E. C. Otté and H. G. Bohn. 5s
- HUMPHREYS** Coln Collector's Manual. By H. N. Humphreys, with upwards of 140 Illustrations on Wood and Steel. 2 vols. 5s each
- HUNGARY** its History and Revolution, together with a copy of the Memoir of Kossuth. 3s 6d
- HUTCHINSON** (Colonel) Memoirs of the Life of. By his Widow, Lucy, together with her Autobiography, and an Account of the Siege of Lathom House. 3s 6d.
- HUNT'S** Poetry of Science. By Richard Hunt. 3rd Edition, revised and enlarged. 5s
- INDIA BEFORE THE SEPOY MUTINY** A Pictorial Description and Historical Account, from the Earliest Times to the Annexation of the Punjab, with upwards of 100 Engravings in Wood, and a Map. 5s
- INGULPH'S** Chronicles of the Abbey of Croyland with the Continuation by Peter of Blois and other Writers. Translated by H. T. Riley, M.A. 5s

IRVING'S (Washington) Complete Works. 15 vols. With Portraits, &c. 3s. 6d. each.

I.—Salmagundi, Knickerbocker's History of New York.

II.—The Sketch-Book, and the Life of Oliver Goldsmith.

III.—Bracebridge Hall, Abbotsford and Newstead Abbey.

IV.—The Alhambra, Tales of a Traveller.

V.—Chronicle of the Conquest of Granada, Legends of the Conquest of Spain.

VI. & VII.—Life and Voyages of Columbus, together with the Voyages of his Companions.

VIII.—Astoria, A Tour on the Prairies.

XI.—Life of Mahomet, Lives of the Successors of Mahomet.

X.—Adventures of Captain Bonnevillle, U.S.A., Wolfert's Roost.

XI.—Biographies, and Miscellaneous Papers.

XII.—XV.—Life of George Washington. 4 vols.

— Life and Letters. By his Nephew, Pierre E. Irving. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

ISOCRATES, The Orationes of Translated by J. H. Freese, M.A. Vol. I. 5s.

JAMES'S (G. P. R.) Life of Richard Cœur de Lion. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— The Life and Times of Louis. XIV. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

JAMESON'S (Mrs.) Shakespeare's Heroines. Characteristics of Women: Moral, Poetical, and Historical. By Mrs. Jameson. 3s. 6d.

JESSE'S (E.) Anecdotes of Dogs With 40 Woodcuts and 34 Steel Engravings. 5s.

JESSE'S (J. H.) Memoirs of the Court of England during the Reign of the Stuarts, including the Protectorate. 3 vols. With 42 Portraits. 5s. each.

— Memoirs of the Pretenders and their Adherents. With 6 Portraits. 5s.

JOHNSON'S Lives of the Poets. Edited by Mrs. Alexander Napier, with Introduction by Professor Hales. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

JOSEPHUS (Flavius), The Works of. Whiston's Translation, revised by Rev. A. R. Shilleto, M.A. With Topographical and Geographical Notes by Colonel Sir C. W. Wilson, K.C.B. 5 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

JOYCE'S Scientific Dialogues. With numerous Woodcuts. 5s.

JUKES-BROWNE (A. J.), The Building of the British Isles: a Study in Geographical Evolution. Illustrated by numerous Maps and Woodcuts. 2nd Edition, revised, 7s. 6d.

— Student's Handbook of Physical Geology. With numerous Diagrams and Illustrations. 2nd Edition, much enlarged, 7s. 6d.

JULIAN, the Emperor. Containing Gregory Nazianzen's Two Invectives and Libanus' Monody, with Julian's extant Theosophical Works. Translated by C. W. King, M.A. 5s.

JUSTIN, CORNELIUS NEPOS, and EUTROPIUS. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. 5s.

JUVENAL, PERSIUS, SULLA and LUCILIUS. Translated by L. Evans, M.A. 5s.

JUNIUS'S Letters. With all the Notes of Woodfall's Edition, and important Additions. 2 vols. 3s. 6d.

- KANT'S Critique of Pure Reason**
Translated by J M D Meiklejohn 5s
- **Prolegomena and Metaphysical Foundations of Natural Science** Translated by E Belfort Bax 5s
- KEIGHTLEYS (Thomas) Mythology of Ancient Greece and Italy** 4th Edition, revised by Leonard Schmitz, Ph D, LL D With 12 Plates from the Antique 5s
- **Fairy Mythology** illustrative of the Romance and Superstition of Various Countries Revised Edition, with Frontispiece by Cruikshank 5s
- LA FONTAINE'S Fables** Translated into English Verse by Eliza Wright New Edition with Notes by J W M Gibbs 3s 6d
- LAMARTINE'S History of the Girondists** Translated by H T Ryde 3 vols 3s 6d each
- **History of the Restoration of Monarchy in France (a Sequel to the History of the Girondists)** 4 vols 3s 6d each
- **History of the French Revolution of 1848** 3s 6d
- LAMB'S (Charles) Essays of Elia and Elia's** Complete Edition 3s 6d
- **Specimens of English Dramatic Poets of the Time of Elizabeth.** 3s 6d
- **Memorials and Letters of Charles Lamb** By Serjeant Talfourd New Edition revised, by W Carew Hazlitt 2 vols 3s 6d each
- LANZONI'S History of Painting in Italy, from the Period of the Revival of the Fine Arts to the End of the Eighteenth Century** Translated by Thomas Moscoe 3 vols 3s 6d each
- LAPPENBERG'S History of England under the Anglo-Saxon Kings** Translated by B Thorpe, F S A New edition, revised by E C Otté 2 vols. 3s 6d each
- LECTURES ON PAINTING** by Barry, Opie, Fuseli Edited by R Wornum 5s
- LEONARDO DA VINCI'S Treatise on Painting** Translated by J F Rigaud, R A With a Life of Leonardo by John William Brown With numerous Plates 5s
- LELAND'S Itinerary** Edited by Laurence Gomme, F S A Vol I [*In the Press*]
- LEPSIUS'S Letters from Egypt Ethiopia and the Peninsula of Sinai** Translated by L and J B Horner With Maps. 5s
- LESSING'S Dramatic Works** Complete Edited by Ernest Bell M A With Memoir of Lessing by Helen Zimmern 2 vols 3s 6d each
- **Læoon Dramatic Notes and the Representation of Death by the Ancients** Translated by F C Beasley and Helen Zimmern. Edited by Edward Bell, M A With a Frontispiece of the Læoon group 3s 6d
- LILLY'S Introduction to Astrology** With a GRAMMAR OF ASTROLOGY and Tables for Calculating Nativities, by Zadkiel 5s
- LIVY'S History of Rome** Translated by Dr Spillan C Edmonstone and others 4 vols 5s each
- LOCKE'S Philosophical Works** Edited by J A St John 2 vols. 3s 6d each
- **Life and Letters** By Lord King 3s 6d
- LOCKHART (J G.)—See BURNS**

LODGE'S Portraits of Illustrious Personages of Great Britain, with Biographical and Historical Memoirs. 240 Portraits engraved on Steel, with the respective Biographies unabridged. 8 vols. 5s. each.

LONGFELLOW'S Prose Works. With 16 full-page Wood Engravings. 5s.

LOUDON'S (Mrs.) Natural History. Revised edition, by W. S. Dallas, F.L.S. With numerous Woodcut Illus. 5s.

LOWNDES' Bibliographer's Manual of English Literature. Enlarged Edition. By H. G. Bohn. 6 vols. cloth, 5s. each. Or 4 vols. half morocco, 2l. 2s.

LONGUS. Daphnis and Chloe. — See GREEK ROMANCES.

LUCAN'S Pharsalla. Translated by H. T. Riley, M.A. 5s.

LUCIAN'S Dialogues of the Gods, of the Sea Gods, and of the Dead. Translated by Howard Williams, M.A. 5s.

LUCRETIVS. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. 5s.

LUTHER'S Table-Talk. Translated and Edited by William Hazlitt. 3s. 6d.

— Autobiography. — See MICHELET.

MACHIAVELLI'S History of Florence, together with the Prince, Savonarola, various Historical Tracts, and a Memoir of Machiavelli. 3s. 6d.

MALLET'S Northern Antiquities, or an Historical Account of the Manners, Customs, Religions and Laws, Maritime Expeditions and Discoveries, Language and Literature, of the Ancient Scandinavians. Translated by Bishop Percy. Revised and Enlarged Edition, with a Translation of the PROSE EDDA, by J. A. Blackwell. 5s.

MANTELL'S (Dr.) Petrifications and their Teachings. With numerous illustrative Woodcuts. 6s.

— Wonders of Geology. 8th Edition, revised by T. Rupert Jones, F.G.S. With a coloured Geological Map of England, Plates, and upwards of 200 Woodcuts. 2 vols. 7s. 6d. each.

MANZONI. The Betrothed: being a Translation of 'I Promessi Sposi.' By Alessandro Manzoni. With numerous Woodcuts. 5s.

MARCO POLO'S Travels; the Translation of Marsden revised by T. Wright, M.A., F.S.A. 5s.

MARRYAT'S (Capt. R.N.) Masterman Ready. With 93 Woodcuts. 3s. 6d.

— Mission; or, Scenes in Africa. Illustrated by Gilbert and Dalziel. 3s. 6d.

— Pirate and Three Cutters. With 8 Steel Engravings, from Drawings by Clarkson Stanfield, R.A. 3s. 6d.

— Privateersman. 8 Engravings on Steel. 3s. 6d.

— Settlers in Canada. 10 Engravings by Gilbert and Dalziel. 3s. 6d.

— Poor Jack. With 16 Illustrations after Clarkson Stanfield, R.A. 3s. 6d.

— Peter Simple. With 8 full-page Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

— Midshipman Easy. With 8 full page Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

MARTIAL'S Epigrams, complete. Translated into Prose, each accompanied by one or more Verse Translations selected from the Works of English Poets, and other so. 6d.

MARTINEAUS (Harriet) *History of England, from 1800-1815* 3s 6d

— *History of the Thirty Years Peace A D 1815-46* 4 vols 3s 6d each

— *See Comte's Positive Philosophy*

MATTHEW PARISS *English History, from the Year 1235 to 1273* Translated by Rev J A Giles D C L 3 vols 5s each

MATTHEW OF WESTMINSTERS *Flowers of History, from the beginning of the World to A D 1307* Translated by C D Yonge, M A 2 vols 5s each

MAXWELLS *Victories of Wellington and the British Armies* Frontispiece and 5 Portraits 5s

MENZEL'S *History of Germany, from the Earliest Period to 1842* 3 vols 3s 6d each

MICHAEL ANGELO AND RAPHAEL *their Lives and Works* By Duppa and Quatre mere de Quincy With Portraits, and Engravings on Steel 5s

MICHELETS *Luther's Autobiography* Trans by William Hazlitt With an Appendix (110 pages) of Notes 3s 6d

— *History of the French Revolution from its earliest indications to the flight of the King in 1791* 3s 6d

MIGNET'S *History of the French Revolution, from 1789 to 1814* 3s 6d

MILL (J S) *Early Essays* by John Stuart Mill Collected from various sources by J W M Gibbs 3s 6d

MILLER (Professor) *History Philosophically Illustrated from the Fall of the Roman Empire to the French Revolution.* 4 vols 3s 6d each.

MILTON'S *Prose Works* Edited by J A St John 5 vols 3s 6d each

— *Poetical Works with a Memoir and Critical Remarks* by James Montgomery an Index to *Paradise Lost*, Todd's Verbal Index to all the Poems and a Selection of Explanatory Notes by Henry G Bohn Illustrated with 120 Wood Engravings from Drawings by W Harvey 2 vols. 3s 6d each

MITFORDS (Miss) *Our Village Sketches of Rural Character and Scenery* With 2 Engravings on Steel 2 vols 3s 6d each

MOLIERES *Dramatic Works* A new Translation in English Prose, by C H Wall 3 vols. 3s 6d each

.. .. .
.. .. .
.. .. .

Thomas New Edition, revised, with 5 Portraits. 2 vols 5s each

MONTAIGNE'S *Essays.* Cotton's Translation, revised by W C Hazlitt New Edition 3 vols 3s 6d each

MONTESQUIEU'S *Spirit of Laws* New Edition, revised and corrected By J V Iritchard, A M 2 vols 3s 6d each

MOTLEY (J L) *The Rise of the Dutch Republic A History* By John Lothrop Motley New Edition, with Biographical Introduction by Moncure D Conway 3 vols 3s 6d each

MORRIS'S *Games of Chess* Being the Matches and best Games played by the American Champion, with 1 explanatory and Analytical Notes by J Lowenthal 5s

MUDIE'S British Birds; or, History of the Feathered Tribes of the British Islands. Revised by W. C. L. Martin. With 52 Figures of Birds and 7 Coloured Plates of Eggs. 2 vols.

NEANDER (Dr. A.). History of the Christian Religion and Church. Trans. from the German by J. Torrey. 10 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Life of Jesus Christ. Translated by J. McClintock and C. Blumenthal. 3s. 6d.

— History of the Planting and Training of the Christian Church by the Apostles. Translated by J. E. Ryland. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— Memorials of Christian Life in the Early and Middle Ages; including Light in Dark Places. Trans. by J. E. Ryland. 3s. 6d.

NIBELUNGEN LIED. The Lay of the Nibelungs, metrically translated from the old German text by Alice Horton, and edited by Edward Bell, M.A. To which is prefixed the Essay on the Nibelungen Lied by Thomas Carlyle. 5s.

NEW TESTAMENT (The) in Greek. Griesbach's Text, with various Readings at the foot of the page, and Parallel References in the margin; also a Critical Introduction and Chronological Tables. By an eminent Scholar, with a Greek and English Lexicon. 3rd Edition, revised and corrected. Two Facsimiles of Greek Manuscripts. 900 pages. 5s.

The Lexicon may be had separately, price 2s.

NICOLINI'S History of the Jesuits: their Origin, Progress, Doctrines, and Designs. With 8 Portraits. 5s.

NORTH (R.) Lives of the Right Hon. Francis North, Baron Guildford, the Hon. Sir Dudley North, and the Hon. and Rev. Dr. John North. By the Hon. Roger North. Together with the Autobiography of the Author. Edited by Augustus Jessopp, D.D. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

NUGENT'S (Lord) Memorials of Hampden, his Party and Times. With a Memoir of the Author, an Autograph Letter, and Portrait. 5s.

OCKLEY (S.) History of the Saracens and their Conquests in Syria, Persia, and Egypt. By Simon Ockley, B.D., Professor of Arabic in the University of Cambridge. 3s. 6d.

OMAN (J. C.) The Great Indian Epics: the Stories of the RAMAYANA and the MAHABHARATA. By John Campbell Oman, Principal of Khalsa College, Amritsar. With Notes, Appendices, and Illustrations. 3s. 6d.

ORDERICUS VITALIS' Ecclesiastical History of England and Normandy. Translated by T. Forester, M.A. To which is added the CHRONICLE OF ST. EVROULT. 4 vols. 5s. each.

OVID'S Works, complete. Literally translated into Prose. 3 vols. 5s. each.

PASCAL'S Thoughts. Translated from the Text of M. Auguste Molinier by C. Kegan Paul. 3rd Edition. 3s. 6d.

PAULI'S (Dr. R.) Life of Alfred the Great. Translated from the German. To which is appended Alfred's ANGLO-SAXON VERSION OF OROSIUS. With a literal Translation, 12 pages, Notes, and an A. ... and G.

- PAUSANIAS'** Description of Greece Newly translated by A. R. Shilleto, M A 2 vols 5s each
- PEARSONS** Exposition of the Creed Edited by F Walford, M A 5s
- PEPYS'** Diary and Correspondence Deciphered by the Rev J Smith, M A from the original Shorthand MS in the Pepys Library Edited by Lord Braybrooke 4 vols With 31 Engravings. 5s each
- PERCYS** Reliques of Ancient English Poetry With an Essay on Ancient Minstrels and a Glossary Edited by J V Pritchard A M 2 vols 3s 6d each
- PERSIUS**—See JUVENAL
- PETRARCH'S** Sonnets Triumphs and other Poems Translated into English Verse by various Hands With a Life of the Poet by Thomas Campbell With Portrait and 15 Steel Engravings 5s
- PHILO JUDEUS** Works of Translated by Prof C D Yonge, M A 4 vols. 5s each
- PICKERING'S** History of the Races of Man, and their Geographical Distribution With AN ANALYTICAL SYNOPSIS OF THE NATURAL HISTORY OF MAN by Dr Hall With a Map of the World and 12 coloured Plates 5s
- PINDAR.** Translated into Prose by Dawson W Turner To which is added the Metrical Version by Abraham Moore 5s
- PLANCHE** History of British Costume from the Earliest Time to the Close of the Eighteenth Century By J R Planché, Somerset Herald With upwards of 400 Illustrations 5s
- PLATO'S** Works Literally translated, with Introduction and Notes 6 vols 5s each
- I—The Apology of Socrates Crito, Phædo Gorgias, Protagoras, Phædrus Theætetus Euthyphron Lysis. Translated by the Rev H Carey
- II—The Republic Timæus, and Critias Translated by Henry Davis
- III—Meno Euthydemus The Sophist, Statesman, Cratylus Parmenides, and the Panquet. Translated by G Burges
- IV—Philebus, Charmides Laches, Menexenus, Hippias Ion The Two Alcibiades, Theages Rivals Hippiarchus, Minos Cleopho, Epistles Translated by G Burges
- V—The Laws. Translated by G Burges
- VI—The Doubtful Works. Translated by G Burges.
- Summary and Analysis of the Dialogues With Analytical Index by A Day, LL.D 5s
- PLAUTUS'S** Comedies Translated by H T Riley, M A 2 vols 5s each
- PLINY'S** Natural History Translated by the late John Bostock, M D FRS and H T Riley M A 6 vols 5s each
- PLINY** The Letters of Pliny the Younger Melmoth's translation revised by the Rev F C T Boanquet, M A 5s
- PLOTINUS** Select Works of Translated by Thomas Taylor With an Introduction containing the substance of Porphyry's Introduction. Edited by G R S Mead, B.A., M.A.S 5s

PLUTARCH'S Lives. Translated by A. Stewart, M.A., and George Long, M.A. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

— **Morals. Theosophical Essays.** Translated by C. W. King, M.A. 5s.

— **Morals. Ethical Essays.** Translated by the Rev. A. R. Shilleto, M.A. 5s.

POETRY OF AMERICA. Selections from One Hundred American Poets, from 1776 to 1876. By W. J. Linton. 3s. 6d.

POLITICAL CYCLOPÆDIA. A Dictionary of Political, Constitutional, Statistical, and Forensic Knowledge; forming a Work of Reference on subjects of Civil Administration, Political Economy, Finance, Commerce, Laws, and Social Relations. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each

POPE'S Poetical Works. Edited, with copious Notes, by Robert Carruthers. With numerous Illustrations. 2 vols. 5s. each.

— **Homer's Iliad.** Edited by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. Illustrated by the entire Series of Flaxman's Designs. 5s.

— **Homer's Odyssey,** with the Battle of Frogs and Mice, Hymns, &c., by other translators. Edited by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. With the entire Series of Flaxman's Designs. 5s.

— **Life,** including many of his Letters. By Robert Carruthers. With numerous Illustrations. 5s.

POUSHKIN'S Prose Tales: The Captain's Daughter—Dobrovsky — The Queen of Spades — An Amateur Peasant Girl—The Shot —The Snow Storm—The Postmaster — The Coffin Maker — Kirdjali—The Egyptian Nights—Peter the Great's Negro. Translated by T. Keane. 3s. 6d.

PRESCOTT'S Conquest of Mexico. Copyright edition, with the notes by John Foster Kirk, and an introduction by G. P. Winship. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

PROPERTIUS. Translated by Rev. P. J. F. Gantillon, M.A., and accompanied by Poetical Versions, from various sources. 3s. 6d.

PROVERBS, Handbook of. Containing an entire Republication of Ray's Collection of English Proverbs, with his additions from Foreign Languages and a complete Alphabetical Index; in which are introduced large additions as well of Proverbs as of Sayings, Sentences, Maxims, and Phrases, collected by H. G. Bohn. 5s.

PROVERBS, A Polyglot of Foreign. Comprising French, Italian, German, Dutch, Spanish, Portuguese, and Danish. With English Translations & a General Index by H. G. Bohn. 5s.

POTTERY AND PORCELAIN, and other Objects of Vertu. Comprising an Illustrated Catalogue of the Bernal Collection of Works of Art, with the prices at which they were sold by auction, and names of the possessors. To which are added, an Introductory Lecture on Pottery and Porcelain, and an Engraved List of all the known Marks and Monograms. By Henry G. Bohn. With numerous Wood Engravings, 5s.; or with Coloured Illustrations, 10s. 6d.

PROUT'S (Father) Reliques. Collected and arranged by Rev. F. Mahony. New issue, with 21 Etchings by D. Maclise, R.A. Nearly 600 pages. 5s.

QUINTILIAN'S Institutes of Oratory, or Education of an Orator. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A. 2 vols. 5s. each.

RACINE S (Jean) Dramatic Works A metrical English version By R Bruce Boswell, M A Oxon 2 vols 3s 6d each

RANKE S History of the Popes their Church and State, and especially of their Conflicts with Protestantism in the 16th and 17th centuries Translated by E Foster 3 vols 3s 6d each

— History of Servia and the Servian Revolution With an Account of the Insurrection in Bosnia Translated by Mrs Kerr 3s 6d

REUMONT (Alfred de) See CARAFAS

RECREATIONS in SHOOTING By 'Craven With 62 Engravings on Wood after Harvey, and 9 Engravings on Steel, chiefly after A Cooper, R A 5s

RENNIE S Insect Architecture Revised and enlarged by Rev J G Wood, M A With 186 Woodcut Illustrations 5s

REYNOLDS (Sir J) Literary Works Edited by H W Beechy 2 vols. 3s 6s each

RICARDO on the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation Edited by E C K. Gonner, M A 5s

RICHTER (Jean Paul Friedrich) *Levana* a Treatise on Education together with the Autobiography (a Fragment), and a short Literary Memoir 3s 6d

— Flower, Fruit, and Thorn Pieces or the Wedded Life Death, and Marriage of Firmian Stanislaus Siebenkaes, Parish Advocate in the Parish of Hulschnippel Newly translated by Lt Col Alex Ewing 3s 6d

ROGER DE HOVEDEN S Annals of English History comprising the History of England and of other Countries of Europe from A D 732 to A D 1201 Translated by H T Riley M A 2 vols 5s each

ROGER OF WENDOVERS *Flowers of History* comprising the History of England from the Descent of the Saxons to A D 1235 formerly ascribed to Matthew Paris Translated by J A Gile D C L 2 vols 5s each

ROME in the NINETEENTH CENTURY Containing a complete Account of the Ruins of the Ancient City the Remains of the Middle Ages, and the Monuments of Modern Times By C. A Eaton With 34 Steel Engravings 2 vols 5s each

— See BURN and DYPR

ROSCOE S (W) Life and Pontificate of Leo X Final edition, revised by Thomas Roscoe 2 vols 3s 6s each

— Life of Lorenzo de Medici called 'the Magnificent' With his poems, letters &c 10th Edition revised with Memoir of Roscoe by his Son 3s 6s

RUSSIA History of from the earliest Period compiled from the most authentic sources by Walter H. Kelly With Portraits. 2 vols 3s 6d each

SALLUST FLORUS and VFL LEIUS PATERCULUS. Translated by J S Watson, M A 5s

SCHILLER S Works Translated by various hands 7 vols. 3s 6s each —

I — History of the Thirty Years War

SCHILLER'S WORKS continued.

II.—History of the Revolt in the Netherlands, the Trials of Counts Egmont and Horn, the Siege of Antwerp, and the Disturbances in France preceding the Reign of Henry IV.

III.—Don Carlos, Mary Stuart, Maid of Orleans, Bride of Messina, together with the Use of the Chorus in Tragedy (a short Essay).

These Dramas are all translated in metre.

IV.—Robbers (with Schiller's original Preface), Fiesco, Love and Intrigue, Demetrius, Ghost Seer, Sport of Divinity.

The Dramas in this volume are translated into Prose.

V.—Poems.

VI.—Essays, *Æsthetical* and *Philosophical*

VII.—Wallenstein's Camp, Piccolomini and Death of Wallenstein, William Tell.

SCHILLER and GOETHE. Correspondence between, from A.D. 1794–1805. Translated by L. Dora Schmitz. 2 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

SCHLEGEL'S (F.) Lectures on the Philosophy of Life and the Philosophy of Language. Translated by the Rev. A. J. W. Morrison, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— **Lectures on the History of Literature, Ancient and Modern.** Translated from the German. 3s. 6d.

— **Lectures on the Philosophy of History.** Translated by J. B. Robertson. 3s. 6d.

SCHLEGEL'S Lectures on Modern History, together with the Lectures entitled *Cæsar and Alexander*, and *The Beginning of our History*. Translated by L. Purcell and R. H. Whitetock. 3s. 6d.

— **Æsthetic and Miscellaneous Works.** Translated by E. J. Millington. 3s. 6d.

SCHLEGEL (A. W.) Lectures on Dramatic Art and Literature. Translated by J. Black. Revised Edition, by the Rev. A. J. W. Morrison, M.A. 3s. 6d.

SCHOPENHAUER on the Fourfold Root of the Principle of Sufficient Reason, and On the Will in Nature. Translated by Madame Hillebrand. 5s.

— **Essays.** Selected and Translated. With a Biographical Introduction and Sketch of his Philosophy, by E. Belfort Bax. 5s.

SCHOUW'S Earth, Plants, and Man. Translated by A. Henfrey. With coloured Map of the Geography of Plants. 5s.

SCHUMANN (Robert). His Life and Works, by August Reissmann. Translated by A. L. Alger. 3s. 6d.

— **Early Letters.** Originally published by his Wife. Translated by May Herbert. With a Preface by Sir George Grove, D.C.L. 3s. 6d.

SENECA on Benefits. Newly translated by A. Stewart, M.A. 3s. 6d.

— **Minor Essays and On Clemency.** Translated by A. Stewart, M.A. 5s.

SHAKESPEARE'S Dramatic Art. The History and C of Shakespeare's Plays. By Ulrici. 3s.

- SHAKESPEARE (William)** A Literary Biography by Karl Elze Ph D LL D Translated by L Dora Schmitz 5s
- SHARPE (S)** The History of Egypt from the Earliest Times till the Conquest by the Arabs A D 640 By Samuel Sharpe 2 Maps and upwards of 400 Illustrative Woodcuts 2 vols 5s each
- SHEPIDAN'S** Dramatic Works Complete With Preface by G G S 3s 6d
- SISMONDIS** History of the Literature of the South of Europe Translated by Thomas Roscoe 2 vols 3s 6d each
- SIX OLD ENGLISH CHRONICLES** viz, ASSER'S LIFE OF ALFRED AND THE CHRONICLES OF ÆTHEWERD GILDAS VENNIUS GEOFFREY OF MONMOUTH, AND RICHARD OF CIRENCESTER Edited by J A Giles D C L 5s
- SYNONYMS and ANTONYMS** or Kindred Words and their Opposites Collected and Contrasted by Ven C J Smith M A Revised Edition 5s
- SMITHS (Adam)** The Wealth of Nations Edited by E Belfort Bax 2 vols 3s 6d each
- Theory of Moral Sentiments with his Essay on the First Formation of Languages to which is added a Memoir of the Author by Dugald Stewart 3s 6d
- SMYTHS (Professor)** Lectures on Modern History from the Irruption of the Northern Nations to the close of the American Revolution 2 vols 3s 6d each
- Lectures on the French Revolution 2 vols 3s 6d each
- SMITHS (Pye)** Geology and Scripture 2nd Edition 5s
- SMOLLETT'S** Adventures of Roderick Random With short Memoir and Bibliography and Cruikshank's Illustrations 3s 6d
- Adventures of Peregrine Pickle in which are included the Memoirs of a Lady of Quality With Bibliography and Cruikshank's Illustrations 2 vols 2s 6d each
- The Expedition of Humphrey Clinker With Bibliography and Cruikshank's Illustrations 3s 6d
- SOCRATES** (surnamed Scholasticus) The Ecclesiastical History of (A.D. 305-445) Translated from the Greek 5s
- SOPHOCLES** The Tragedies of A New Prose Translation with Memoir Notes, &c., by H. I. Coleridge 5s
- The Oxford Translation 5s
- SOUTHEY'S** Life of Nelson With Facsimiles of Nelson's writing Portraits Plans and upwards of 50 Engravings on Steel and Wood 5s
- Life of Wesley and the Rise and Progress of Methodism 5s
- Robert Southey The Story of his Life written in his Letters With an Introduction Edited by John Dennis 3s 6d
- SOZOMEN'S** Ecclesiastical History Comprising a History of the Church from A.D. 324-442. Translated from the Greek Together with the ECLYPTIC HISTORY OF THEOLOGISTS as epitomised by Photius Translated from the Greek by J. E. Wallford, M A 5s

SPINOZA'S Chief Works. Translated, with Introduction, by R. H. M. Elwes. 2 vols. 5s. each.

STANLEY'S Classified Synopsis of the Principal Painters of the Dutch and Flemish Schools. By George Stanley. 5s.

STARLING'S (Miss) Noble Deeds of Women; or, Examples of Female Courage, Fortitude, and Virtue. With 14 Steel Engravings. 5s.

STAUNTON'S Chess-Player's Handbook. A Popular and Scientific Introduction to the Game. With numerous Diagrams. 5s.

— **Chess Praxis.** A Supplement to the Chess-player's Handbook. Containing the most important modern improvements in the Openings; Code of Chess Laws; and a Selection of Morphy's Games. Annotated. 5s.

— **Chess-player's Companion.** Comprising a Treatise on Odds, Collection of Match Games, and a Selection of Original Problems. 5s.

— **Chess Tournament of 1851.** A Collection of Games played at this celebrated assemblage. With Introduction and Notes. 5s.

STOCKHARDT'S Experimental Chemistry. A Handbook for the Study of the Science by simple experiments. Edited by C. W. Heaton, F.C.S. With numerous Woodcuts. New Edition, revised throughout. 5s.

STRABO'S Geography. Translated by W. Falconer, M.A., and H. C. Hamilton. 3 vols. 5s. each.

STRICKLAND'S (Agnes) Lives of the Queens of England, from the Norman Conquest. Revised Edition. With 6 Portraits. 6 vols. 5s. each.

STRICKLAND'S Life of Mary Queen of Scots. 2 vols. 5s. each.

— **Lives of the Tudor and Stuart Princesses.** With Portraits. 5s.

STUART and REVETT'S Antiquities of Athens, and other Monuments of Greece; to which is added, a Glossary of Terms used in Grecian Architecture. With 71 Plates engraved on Steel, and numerous Woodcut Capitals. 5s.

SUETONIUS' Lives of the Twelve Cæsars and Lives of the Grammarians. The translation of Thomson, revised by T. Forester. 5s.

SWIFT'S Prose Works. Edited by Temple Scott. With a Biographical Introduction by the Right Hon. W. E. H. Lecky, M.P. With Portraits and Facsimiles. 11 vols. 3s. 6d. each.

[Vols. I.—V. & VIII. ready.]

I.—Edited by Temple Scott. With a Biographical Introduction by the Right Hon. W. E. H. Lecky, M.P. Containing:—A Tale of a Tub, The Battle of the Books, and other early works.

II.—The Journal to Stella. Edited by Frederick Ryland, M.A. With 2 Portraits of Stella, and a Facsimile of one of the Letters.

III. & IV.—Writings on Religion and the Church. Edited by Temple Scott.

V.—Historical and Political Tracts (English). Edited by Temple Scott.

VIII.—Gulliver's Travels. Edited by G. I. Portrait

SWIFT'S PROSE WORKS *continued*

The order and contents of the remaining volumes will probably be as follows —

VI & VII — Historical and Political Tracts (Irish)

IX — Contributions to the 'Examiner,' 'Tatler,' 'Spectator,' &c

X — Historical Writings

XI — Literary Essays and Bibliography

STOWE (Mrs H B) *Uncle Tom's Cabin, or Life among the Lowly* With Introductory Remarks by Rev J Sherman With 8 full page Illustrations 3s 6d

TACITUS *The Works of* Literally translated 2 vols. 5s each

TALES OF THE GENII, or, the Delightful Lessons of Horam, the Son of Asmar Translated from the Persian by Sir Charles Morell Numerous Woodcuts and 12 Steel Engravings 5s

TASSO'S Jerusalem Delivered Translated into English Spenserian Verse by J H Wiffen With 8 Engravings on Steel and 24 Woodcuts by Thurston 5s

TAYLOR'S (Bishop Jeremy) *Holy Living and Dying* with Prayers containing the Whole Duty of a Christian and the parts of Devotion fitted to all Occasions and furnished for all Necessities 3s 6d

TEN BRINK — See **BRINK**

TERENCE and PHÆDRUS Literally translated by H T Riley, M A To which is added, **SMART'S METRICAL VERSION OF PHÆDRUS** 5s

THEOCRITUS BION MOSCHUS and TYRTÆUS Literally translated by the Rev J Banks, M A. To which are ap-

pended the Metrical Versions of Chapman 5s

THEODORET and EVAGRIUS *Histories of the Church* from A.D. 332 to A.D. 427, and from A.D. 431 to A.D. 544 Translated from the Greek 5s

THIERRY'S *History of the Conquest of England by the Normans, its Causes, and its Consequences, in England, Scotland, Ireland, and the Continent* Translated by William Hazlitt 2 vols 3s 6d each

THUCYDIDES *The Peloponnesian War* Literally translated by the Rev H Dale 2 vols 3s 6d each

— *An Analysis and Summary of* With Chronological Table of Events, &c By J T Wheeler 5s

Illustrated 5s

URES (Dr A.) *Cotton Manufacture of Great Britain, systematically investigated* Revised Edition by P L Simmonds. With 150 original Illustrations 2 vols. 5s each

— *Philosophy of Manufactures* Revised Edition, by P L Simmonds. With numerous Figures Double volume 7s 6d

VASARI'S *Lives of the most Eminent Painters Sculptors and Architects* Translated by Mrs J Foster, with a Commentary by J P Richter, Ph D 6 vols. 3s 6d each

VIRGIL *A Literal Prose Translation* by A Hamilton Bayce, LL D, F.R.S.E. With Portrait 3s 6d

VOLTAIRE'S Tales. Translated by R. B. Boswell. Vol. I., containing *Bebouc*, *Memnon*, *Candide*, *L'Ingénu*, and other Tales. 3s. 6d.

WALTON'S Complete Angler, or the Contemplative Man's Recreation, by Izaak Walton and Charles Cotton. Edited by Edward Jesse. To which is added an account of Fishing Stations, Tackle, &c., by Henry G. Bohn. With Portrait and 203 Engravings on Wood and 26 Engravings on Steel. 5s.

— *Lives of Donne, Hooker, &c.* New Edition revised by A. H. Bullen, with a Memoir of Izaak Walton by Wm. Dowling. With numerous Illustrations. 5s.

WELLINGTON, Life of. By 'An Old Soldier.' From the materials of Maxwell. With Index and 18 Steel Engravings. 5s.

— *Victories of.* See **MAXWELL**.

WERNER'S Templars in Cyprus. Translated by E. A. M. Lewis. 3s. 6d.

WESTROPP (H. M.) A Handbook of Archæology, Egyptian, Greek, Etruscan, Roman. By H. M. Westropp. 2nd Edition, revised. With very numerous Illustrations. 5s.

WHITE'S Natural History of Selborne, with Observations on various Parts of Nature, and the Naturalists' Calendar. With Notes by Sir William Jardine. Edited by Edward Jesse. With 40 Portraits and coloured Plates. 5s.

WHEATLEY'S A Rational Illustration of the Book of Common Prayer. 3s. 6d.

WHEELER'S Noted Names of Fiction, Dictionary of. Including also Familiar Pseudonyms, Surnames bestowed on Eminent Men, and Analogous Popular Appellations often referred to in Literature and Conversation. By W. A. Wheeler, M.A. 5s.

WIESELER'S Chronological Synopsis of the Four Gospels. Translated by the Rev. Canon Venables. 3s. 6d.

WILLIAM of MALMESBURY'S Chronicle of the Kings of England, from the Earliest Period to the Reign of King Stephen. Translated by the Rev. J. Sharpe. Edited by J. A. Giles, D.C.L. 5s.

XENOPHON'S Works. Translated by the Rev. J. S. Watson, M.A., and the Rev. H. Dale. In 3 vols. 5s each.

YOUNG (Arthur). Travels in France during the years 1787, 1788. and 1789. Edited by M. Betham Edwards. 3s. 6d.

— *Tour in Ireland*, with General Observations on the state of the country during the years 1776 - 79. Edited by A. W. Hutton. With Complete Bibliography by J. P. Anderson, and Map. 2 vols. 3s 6d. each.

YULE-TIDE STORIES. A Collection of Scandinavian and North-German Popular Tales and Traditions, from the Swedish, Danish, and German. Edited by B. Thorpe. 5s.

NEW AND FORTHCOMING VOLUMES OF BOHN'S LIBRARIES.

THE PROSE WORKS OF JONATHAN SWIFT Edited by Temple Scott With an Introduction by the Right Hon W E H Lecky, M P In 11 volumes, 3s 6d each

Vol I — 'A Tale of a Tub' 'The Battle of the Books' and other early works Edited by Temple Scott With Introduction by the Right Hon W E H Lecky, M P Portrait and Facsimiles.

Vol II — 'The Journal to Stella' Edited by F Ryland M A With a Facsimile Letter and two Portraits of Stella

Vols III and IV — Writings on Religion and the Church Edited by Temple Scott With portraits and facsimiles of title pages.

Vol V — Historical and Political Tracts (English) Edited by Temple Scott With Portrait and Facsimiles

Vol VIII — Gulliver's Travels Edited by G R Dennis With the original Maps and Illustrations

PRESCOTT'S CONQUEST OF MEXICO Copyright edition with the author's latest corrections and additions, and the notes of John Foster Kirk With an introduction by George Parker Winship 3 vols *[In the Press]*

GRAYS LETTERS Edited by Duncan C Tovey, M A., Editor of 'Gray and his Friends,' &c, late Clark Lecturer at Trinity College Cambridge Vol I 3s 6d *[Vol II in the Press]*

CICERO'S LETTERS The whole extant Correspondence Translated by Evelyn S Shuckburgh, M A In 4 vols 5s each

CARLYLE'S SARTOR RESARTUS With 75 Illustrations. By Edmund J Sullivan 5s

HAMPTON COURT A SHORT HISTORY OF THE MANOR AND PALACE By Ernest Law, B A Illustrated 5s

GASPARY'S HISTORY OF EARLY ITALIAN LITERATURE TO THE DEATH OF DANTE Translated with supplementary bibliographical notes by Herman Oelsner, M A, Litt Vol I 3s 6d

BELL'S HANDBOOKS
OF
THE GREAT MASTERS
IN PAINTING AND SCULPTURE.

EDITED BY G. C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D.

Post 8vo. With 40 Illustrations and Photogravure Frontispiece. 5s. net each.

The following Volumes have been issued :

- BERNADINO LUINI. By GEORGE C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D. 2nd Edition.
VELASQUEZ. By R. A. M. STEVENSON. 2nd Edition.
ANDREA DEL SARTO. By H. GUINNESS.
LUCA SIGNORELLI. By MAUD CRUTTWELL.
RAPHAEL. By H. STRACHEY.
CARLO CRIVELLI. By G. McNEIL RUSHFORTH, M.A.
CORREGGIO. By SELWYN BRINTON, M.A.
DONATELLO. By HOPE REA.
PERUGINO. By G. C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D.
SODOMA. By the CONTESSA LORENZO PRIULI-BON.
LUCA DELLA ROBBIA. By the MARCHESA BURLAMACCHI.
GIORGIONE. By HERBERT COOK, M.A.
MEMLINC. By W. H. JAMES WEALE.
PINTORICCHIO. By EVELYN MARCH PHILLIPPS.
PIERO DELLA FRANCESCA. By W. G. WATERS, M.A.

In preparation.

- EL GRECO. By MANUEL B. COSSIO, Litt.D., Ph.D., Director of the Musée Pédagogique, Madrid.
FRANCIA. By GEORGE C. WILLIAMSON, Litt.D., Editor of the Series.
MANTEGNA. By MAUD CRUTTWELL.
BRUNELLESCHI. By LEADER SCOTT.
REMBRANDT. By MALCOLM BELL.
GIOTTO. By F. MASON PERKINS.
WILKIE. By Lord RONALD SUTHERLAND-GOWER, M.A., F.S.A.
MICHAEL ANGELO. By CHARLES HOLROYD, Keeper of the National Gallery of British Art.
GERARD DOU. By Dr. W. MARTIN, Sub-director of the Royal Print Room, The Hague.
DÜRER. By HANS W. SINGER, M.A., Ph.D., Assistant Director of the Royal Print Room, Dresden.
TINTORETTO. By J. B. STOUGHTON HOLBORN, M.A.
THE BROTHERS BELLINI. By S ARTHUR STRONG, M.A., Librarian to the House of Lords.

Others to follow.

THE CHISWICK SHAKESPEARE.

Illustrated by BYAM SHAW

WITH INTRODUCTIONS AND GLOSSARIES BY JOHN DUNNIS

This Edition of the Works of Shakespeare is being issued in single plays, each containing six full page Illustrations by Mr BYAM SHAW, as well as head and tailpieces. The volumes are printed at the Chiswick Press, price 1s 6d net per volume, handsomely bound in linen, with gilt decoration, or in limp leather, 2s. net. A few copies, to be sold only in sets, printed on Japanese vellum, price 5s net.

Now ready

HAMLET
THE MERCHANT OF VENICE
ROMEO AND JULIET
THE WINTER'S TALE
A MIDSUMMER NIGHT'S DREAM
MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING
ANTONY AND CLOPATRA
TWO GENTLEMEN OF VERONA
KING HENRY IV Part I
KING HENRY IV Part II
CYMBELINE

OTHELLO
MACBETH
AS YOU LIKE IT
THE TIMPIST
KING LEAR
TWELFTH NIGHT
JULIUS CÆSAR
CORIOLANUS
KING JOHN
KING RICHARD II
KING HENRY V

THE MERRY WIVES OF WINDSOR

Further Volumes at Monthly Intervals

* A fascinating little edition. *Notes and Queries*

A cheap, very timely and altogether desirable edition. — *Westminster Gazette*

* But a few years ago such volumes would have been deemed worthy to be considered *éditions de luxe*. To-day the low price at which they are now being re-issued. — *Studio*

New Editions, fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. each net.

THE ALDINE EDITION

OF THE

BRITISH POETS.

'This excellent edition of the English classics, with their complete texts and scholarly introductions, are something very different from the cheap volumes of extracts which are just now so much too common.'—*St. James's Gazette*.

'An excellent series. Small, handy, and complete.'—*Saturday Review*.

- | | |
|--|--|
| Akenside. Edited by Rev. A. Dyce. | Kirke White. Edited, with a
Memoir, by Sir H. Nicolas. |
| Beattie. Edited by Rev. A. Dyce. | Milton. Edited by Dr. Bradshaw.
2 vols. |
| *Blake. Edited by W. M. Rossetti. | Parnell. Edited by G. A. Aitken. |
| *Burns. Edited by G. A. Aitken.
3 vols. | Pope. Edited by G. R. Dennis.
With Memoir by John Dennis. 3 vols. |
| Butler. Edited by R. B. Johnson.
2 vols. | Prior. Edited by R. B. Johnson.
2 vols. |
| Campbell. Edited by His Son-
in-law, the Rev. A. W. Hill. With
Memoir by W. Allingham. | Raleigh and Wotton. With Se-
lections from the Writings of other
COURTLY POETS from 1540 to 1650.
Edited by Ven. Archdeacon Hannah,
D.C.L. |
| Chatterton. Edited by the Rev.
W. W. Skeat, M.A. 2 vols. | Rogers. Edited by Edward Bell,
M.A. |
| Chaucer. Edited by Dr. R. Morris,
with Memoir by Sir H. Nicolas. 6 vols. | Scott. Edited by John Dennis.
5 vols. |
| Churchill. Edited by Jas. Hannay.
2 vols. | Shakespeare's Poems. Edited by
Rev. A. Dyce. |
| *Coleridge. Edited by T. Ashe,
B.A. 2 vols. | Shelley. Edited by H. Buxton
Forman. 5 vols. |
| Collins. Edited by W. Moy
Thomas. | Spenser. Edited by J. Payne Col-
lier. 5 vols. |
| Cowper. Edited by John Bruce,
F.S.A. 3 vols. | Surrey. Edited by J. Yeowell. |
| Dryden. Edited by the Rev. R.
Hooper, M.A. 5 vols. | Swift. Edited by the Rev. J.
Mitford. 3 vols. |
| Falconer. Edited by the Rev. J.
Mitford. | Thomson. Edited by the Rev. D.
C. Tovey. 2 vols. |
| Goldsmith. Revised Edition by
Austin Dobson. With Portrait. | Vaughan. Sacred Poems and
Pious Ejaculations. Edited by the
Rev. H. Lyte. |
| *Gray. Edited by J. Bradshaw,
LL.D. | Wordsworth. Edited by Prof.
Dowden. 7 vols. |
| Herbert. Edited by the Rev. A. B.
Grosart. | Wyatt. Edited by J. Yeowell. |
| *Herrick. Edited by George
Saintsbury. 2 vols. | Young. 2 vols. Edited by the
Rev. J. Mitford. |
| *Keats. Edited by the late Lord
Houghton. | |

* These volumes may also be had bound in Irish
and back by Gleeson White, and;

THE ALL-ENGLAND SERIES

HANDBOOKS OF ATHLETIC GAMES

The only Series issued at a moderate price, by Writers who are in the first rank in their respective departments

The best instruction on games and sports by the best authorities at the lowest prices — *Oxford Magazine*

Small 8vo cloth Illustrated Price 1s each.

- | | |
|---|--|
| Cricket. By the Hon and Rev
E LYTTELTON | Cycling By H H GRIFFIN L A C
NGU CTC With a Chapter for
Ladies by Miss AGNES WOOD |
| Croquet By Lieut Col the Hon
H C NFERHAM | Wrestling By WALTER ARM
strong (Cross-buttocker) |
| Lawn Tennis By H W W
WILBERFORCE With a Chapter for
Ladies by Mrs HILLIARD | Broadsword and Singlestick
By R G ALLANSON WINNAN I O PHIL
LIPPS WOLLEY |
| Tennis and Rackets and Fives
By JULIAN MARSHALL, Major J SPENS
and Rev J A ARNAN TAIT | Gymnastics By A F JEFFKIN
Double vol 2s |
| Golf By H S C EVERARD
Double vol 2s | Gymnastic Competition and Dis
play Exercises Compiled by
F GRAY |
| Rowing and Sculling By W B
WOODGATE | Indian Clubs By G T B CON
BETT and A. F JEFFKIN |
| Sailing By E F KNIGHT dbl vol 2s | Dumb bells By F GRAY |
| Swimming By MARTIN and J
RACSTER COBBETT | Football — Rugby Game By
HARRY VASSALL |
| Camping out By A A MACDON
ELL Double vol. 2s | Football — Association Game By
O W ALCOCK Revised Edition |
| Canoing By Dr J D HAYWARD
Double vol. 2s | Hockey By F S CRESWELL
New Edit on |
| Mountaineering By Dr CLAUDE
WILSON Double vol 2s. | Skating By DOUGLAS ADAMS
With a Chapter for Ladies by Miss L
CHERTHAM and a Chapter on Speed
Skating by a Fen Skater Dbl vol 2s |
| Athletics By H H GRIFFIN | Baseball By NEWTON CHAFF |
| Riding By W A KERR V C.
Double vol 2s | Rounders Fieldball Bowls
Quoits Curling Skittles &c
By J M WALKER and C C MOTT |
| Ladies Riding By W. A. KERR V C | Dancing By EDWARD SCOTT
Double vol 2s |
| Boxing By R G ALLANSON WINNAN
With Prefatory Note by Bat Mullins | |
| Fencing By H A COLMORE DURN | |

THE CLUB SERIES OF CARD AND TABLE GAMES

No well regulated club or country house should be without this useful series of books
Small 8vo. cloth Illustrated. Price 1s each

- | | |
|---|---|
| Whist. By Dr WM. POLE FRS | Dominos and Solitaire
By BERNLEY |
| Solo Whist. By ROBERT F GREEN | Bézique and Cribbage
By BERNLEY |
| Billiards By Major Gen A W
DRAYSON F.R.A.C. With a Preface
by W J Poall | Écarté and Euchre
By BERNLEY |
| Chess By ROBERT F GREEN | Piquet and Publicon Piquet
By BERNLEY |
| The Two-Move Chess Problem
By B G LAWS. | Skat. By LOUIS DIXEL.
* A Skat Scoring-book 1 |
| Chess Openings By I GUNZBERG | Round Games including Poké
Nardoon, Les Victrolas &c
HASTY WEAVER |
| Draughts and Backgammon
By BERNLEY | Parlour and Playground Games
By Mrs. LATERANCE GUNNE |
| Reversi and Go Bang
By BERNLEY | |

BELL'S CATHEDRAL SERIES.

Profusely Illustrated, cloth, crown 8vo. 1s. 6d. net each.

ENGLISH CATHEDRALS. An Itinerary and Description. Compiled by JAMES G. GILCHRIST, A.M., M.D. Revised and edited with an Introduction on Cathedral Architecture by the Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A., F.R.A.S.

BRISTOL. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A.

CANTERBURY. By HARTLEY WITHERS. 3rd Edition, revised.

CARLISLE. By C. KING ELEY.

CHESTER. By CHARLES HIATT. 2nd Edition, revised.

DURHAM. By J. E. BYGATE, A.R.C.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

ELY. By Rev. W. D. SWEETING, M.A.

EXETER. By PERCY ADDLESHAW, B.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

GLOUCESTER. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A. 2nd Edition.

HEREFORD. By A. HUGH FISHER, A.R.E. 2nd Edition, revised.

LICHFIELD. By A. B. CLIFTON. 2nd Edition.

LINCOLN. By A. F. KENDRICK, B.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

NORWICH. By C. H. B. QUENNELL. 2nd Edition.

OXFORD. By Rev. PERCY DEARMER, M.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

PETERBOROUGH. By Rev. W. D. SWEETING. 2nd Edition, revised.

RIPON. By CECIL HALLETT, B.A.

ROCHESTER. By G. H. PALMER, B.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

ST. DAVID'S. By PHILIP ROUSON, A.R.I.B.A.

ST. PAUL'S. By Rev. ARTHUR DIMOCK, M.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

SALISBURY. By GLEESON WHITE. 2nd Edition, revised.

SOUTHWELL. By Rev. ARTHUR DIMOCK, M.A. 2nd Edition, revised.

WELLS. By Rev. PERCY DEARMER, M.A. 2nd Edition.

WINCHESTER. By P. W. SERGEANT. 2nd Edition, revised.

WORCESTER. By E. F. STRANGE.

YORK. By A. CLUTTON-BROCK, M.A. 2nd Edition.

Preparing.

ST. ALBANS. By Rev. W. D. SWEETING.

CHICHESTER. By H. C. CORLETTE, A.R.I.B.A.

ST. ASAPH and BANGOR. By P. B. IRONSIDE BAX.

GLASGOW. By P. MACGREGOR CHALMERS, I.A., F.S.A (Scot.).

LLANDAFF. By HERBERT PRIOR.

MANCHESTER. By Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

Uniform with above Series. Now ready. 1s. 6d. net each.

ST. MARTIN'S CHURCH, CANTERBURY. By the Rev. CANON ROUTLEDGE, M.A., F.S.A.

BEVERLEY MINSTER. By CHARLES HIATT.

WIMBORNE MINSTER and CHRISTCHURCH PRIORY. By the Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

TEWKESBURY ABBEY and DEERHURST PRIORY. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A.

BATH ABBEY, MALMESBURY ABBEY, and BRADFORD-ON-AVON CHURCH. By Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

WESTMINSTER ABBEY. By CHARLES HIATT.

[Preparing.]

BELL'S HANDBOOKS TO CONTINENTAL CHURCHES.

Profusely Illustrated. Crown 8vo, cloth, 2s. 6d. net each.

CHARTRES: The Cathedral and Other Churches. By H. J. L. J. MASSÉ, M.A. *[Ready.]*

ROUEN: The Cathedral and Other Churches. By the R.

AMIENS. By the Rev. T. PERKINS, M.A.

PARIS (NOTRE-DAME). By CHARLES HIATT.

WEBSTER'S INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE

2118 Pages. 3500 Illustrations

87

PRICES.

Cloth, 1/ 11s 6d, half calf, 2/ 2s; half russias, 2/ 5s; full calf, 2/ 8s, full russias, 2/ 12s, half morocco, with Patent Marginal Index, 2/ 8s; full calf, with Marginal Index, 2/ 12s. Also bound in 2 vols, cloth, 1/ 14s, half calf, 2/ 12s, half russias, 2/ 18s, full calf, 3/ 3s; full russias, 3/ 15s.

The Appendices comprise a Pronouncing Gazetteer of the World, Vocabularies of Scripture, Greek, Latin, and English Proper Names, a Dictionary of the Noted Names of Fiction, a Brief History of the English Language, a Dictionary of Foreign Quotations, Words, Phrases, Proverbs, &c, a Biographical Dictionary with 10,000 names, &c, &c

'We believe that, all things considered this will be found to be the best existing English dictionary in one volume. We do not know of any work similar in size and price which can approach it in completeness of vocabulary, variety of information and general usefulness. — *Guardian*

'We recommend the New Webster to every man of business every father of a family, every teacher and almost every student—to everybody, in fact, who is likely to be posed at an unfamiliar or half understood word or phrase.' — *St. James's Gazette*

Prospectuses, with Specimen Pages, on Application

THE ONLY AUTHORISED AND COMPLETE EDITION.

LONDON: GEORGE BELL & SONS, YORK STREET,

